

Overview of Tuna Purse Seine Fisheries by MV SEAFDEC in the Eastern Indian Ocean



Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center Training Department

TD/RES/80

JULY 2003

OVERVIEW OF TUNA PURSE SEINE FISHERIES BY M.V. SEAFDEC IN THE EASTERN INDIAN OCEAN

Arnuphaphinat, Natihee Sulramangani, Siripom Pradit Sulee Rejuctiona, 2013

Overview of Tuna Purce Same Fisheres by M.V. SEARDEC In the Eastern Indue Doesn.

By

Pattarajit Kaewnuratchadasorn Penchan Laongmanee Sukchai Arnuphapboon Natinee Sukramongkol Siriporn Pradit and Sutee Rajruchithong



C Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center

TD/RES/80

July 2003

Bibliographic Citation:

Pattarajit Kaewnuratchadasorn, Penchan Laongmanee, Sukchai Arnuphapboon, Natinee Sukramongkol, Siriporn Pradit, Sutee Rajruchithong, 2003

Overview of Tuna Purse Seine Fisheries by M.V. SEAFDEC in the Eastern Indian Ocean.

TD/RES/80 Training Department/ Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center, Samut Prakan. 29 pp.

ISBN: 974-9509-37-4

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner. Applications for such permission, with a statement of the purpose and extent of the reproduction, should be addressed to the Chief of the Training Department, Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center. The Training Department, P.O.Box 97, Phrasamutchedi, Samut Prakan, 10290, Thailand.

Luby 2003

Overview of Tuna Purse Seine Fisheries by M.V. SEAFDEC in the Eastern Indian Ocean

Pattarajit Kaewnuratchadasorn, Penchan Laongmanee, Natinee Sukramongkol, Siriporn Pradit, Sukchai Arnuphapboon and Sutee Rajruchithong

SEAFDEC/Training Department, P.O. Box 97, Phrasamutchedi, Samut Prakan 10290

Abstract

Tuna purse seine surveys in the Eastern Indian Ocean have been conducted by MV SEAFDEC of the Training Department of SEAFDEC since 1993. There were 87 fishing operations. The total catches was 1,945.55 tons with averaged catch 6.53 tons/ fishing days. Catch composition was consisted of skipjack tuna, the most dominant specie caught at 65.56 %, followed by yellowfin tuna at 20.26%, bigeye tuna at 12.82% and other species at 1.36 %, respectively. The size range of skipjack tuna, yellowfin tuna and bigeye tuna were within ranged between 31-80, 20-136, 31-122 cm FL. The relative length-weight was determined using allometric equation for skipjack tuna (W=0.000006L^{3.3067}), yellowfin tuna (W=0.00003L^{2.9175}) and bigeye tuna (W=0.00001L^{3.1699})

Keywords: Tuna purse seine, skipjack tuna, yellowfin tuna, bigeye tuna, catch composition, length frequency distribution, length-weight relationship

Contents

Abstract	page
Contents	iv
List of Figures	IV V
List of Tables	V
List of appendix	vi
Introduction	1
Methodology	2
1. Fishing vessel MV SEAFDEC	2
2. MV SEAFDEC purse seine net	2
3. Fishing area	2
4. Fishing season	3
5. Data collection	4
Results and Discussions	4
1. Catch	4
1.1 Total catch	4
1.2 Catch composition	7
2. Size of fish caught	10
2.1 Skipjack tuna	10
2.1.1 Length frequency distribution	10
2.1.2 Weight	10
2.1.3 Length-weight relationship	10
2.2 Yellowfin tuna	14
2.2.1 Length frequency distribution	14
2.2.2 Weight	16
2.2.3 Length-weight relationship	16
2.3 Bigeve tuna	17
2.3.1 Length frequency distribution	17
2.3.2 Weight	19
2.3.3 Length-weight relationship	19
Conclusions	20
Acknowledgments	20
References	21



List of Figures

		page
Fig.1	The tuna purse seine fishing ground of M.V. SEAFDEC in the Eastern	
0	Indian Ocean from 1993-2001	3
Fig.2	Girth measurement onboard M.V. SEAFDEC	4
Fig.3	The main tuna species caught by M.V. SEAFDEC: a) Skipjack tuna (Katsunvonus	
-	pelamis); b) Bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus); c) Yellowfin tuna (T. albacares)	5
Fig.4	Comparison between CPUE (tons/days) and effort (fishing days)	6
Fig.5	Catch by species conducted by M.V. SEAFDEC	6
Fig.6	The overall catch composition of tuna purse seine conducted by M.V. SEAFDEC since 1994 until 2001	7
Fig.7	Catch composition by operation of tuna purse seine conducted by M.V. SEAFDEd during 1994 - 2001	C 8
Fig.8	Annual catch composition of tuna purse seine conducted by M.V. SEAFDEC from 1994-2001	9
Fig 9	Length frequency distribution of skipjack tuna by year from 1994-2001	11
Fig 10	Overall length distribution of skipiack tuna, conducted by M.V. SEAFDEC	
1 18.10	during 1994-2001.	12
Fig 11	The ranges of weight of skipjack tuna, conducted by M.V. SEAFDEC during	
1 ig.11	1994-2001	12
Fig.12	Length-weight relationship of skipjack tuna by M.V. SEAFDEC from 1994-2001	13
Fig.13	Overall length distribution of yellowfin tuna from tuna purse seine,	
	conducted by M.V. SEAFDEC during 1994-2001.	14
Fig.14	Length frequency distribution of yellowfin tuna by year from 1994-2001	15
Fig.15	The ranges of weight of yellowiin tuna, conducted by M.V. SEAPDEC during 1994-2001	16
Fig 16	Length-weight relationship of vellowfin tuna by M.V. SEAFDEC from	
115.10	1994-2001	16
Fig.17	Overall length distribution of bigeye tuna from tuna purse seine, conducted	
- 6	by M.V. SEAFDEC during 1994-2001.	17
Fig.18	Length frequency distribution of bigeye tuna by year from 1994-2001	18
Fig.19	The ranges of weight of bigeye tuna, conducted by M.V. SEAFDEC during	
8	1994-2001	19
Fig.20	Length-weight relationship of bigeve tuna by M.V. SEAFDEC from	
1.15.000	1994-2001	19
	List of Tables	
Table	1. Catch, effort and CPUE (tons/ fishing days) by year from 1993-2001	5

List of Appendix

		page
Appendix I.	Research vessel, M.V. SEAFDEC	24
Appendix II.	M.V. SEAFDEC purse seine net plan; the lastest improve	25
Appendix III.	Purse seine fishing operations of M.V. SEAFDEC in the Eastern Indian	
	Ocean from 1993-2001	26



Introduction

Because of the exploitation of coastal resources and habitats in the Southeast Asia countries, which is function of human population size and its level of socio-economic development, the controlling coastal development and resource protecting habitats require improvement. At the same time new fishery resources like tuna and oceanic fish are being investigated as alternatives for the fishermen. Tuna plays a vital role as a product of the fish canning industry, also fresh tuna is extensively used for "Sashimi". During the last decade, tuna have become one of the most important high value fish species and could be a new resource to take the place of coastal resources because it is distributed worldwide in tropical and subtropical waters: Pacific, Atlantic and Indian Oceans.

In the Indian Ocean, tuna fisheries were initiated in 1973 by tuna longline, tuna purse seine and pole and line fishing gear operated by French, Russian, Japanese and Taiwanese fleets (Chantawong, 2000). The main tuna fishing ground is in the western Indian Ocean, where many commercial fleets concentrated their operations, but they changed to the eastern part in 1991(Okamoto,1997) to save the expense of transshipments, Southeast Asia countries became the important and major tuna market of the world. As many fleets unloaded in Singapore, Indonesia and Malaysia before 1994 they then moved to Phuket. (Chantawong, 2000). However, the trend of tuna catch by Japanese purse seiners in the Indian Ocean, decreased from 45,000 mt in 1992 to 7,000 mt in 1999, because of the development of purse seine fishery, reported by Matsumoto (2000).

To utilize tuna resource for regional benefit, SEAFDEC, an intergovernmental organization, has conducted tuna resources surveys since 1993 using M.V. SEAFDEC, the purpose being to promote tuna as a new resource for member countries. M.V. SEAFDEC is the training and research vessel of the SEAFDEC training department and is designed for the basic requirement of tuna purse seine operation in the japanese style. The ship has a capacity of 100 tons for frozen fish storage. The method of fishing used relies greatly on the use of fish aggregating devices. The purpose of the surveys was to collect all possible information of species composition, distribution and abundance of tuna species.

This report provides an overview of tuna fisheries in the Eastern Indian Ocean, with emphasis on the catch data of M.V. SEAFDEC from 1993 to the present.

Methodology

1. Fishing vessel, M.V. SEAFDEC

The fisheries training and research vessel, started her duties in February 1993 and started to conduct tuna purse seine operations in October of the same year. She is a japanese designed purse seiner employing the extensive use of FADs or other drifting objects. The principal particulars, including length overall, tonnage and other details are given in Appendix 1.

2. M.V. SEAFDEC purse seine net

The purse seine net of M.V. SEAFDEC was made by Nichimo Co., Ltd. It has a total net length of 1,155.9 m. Prajakjitt and Munprasit (2001) reported that the net is composed of 20 portions and has 3 developed sections. In the first part, the netting yarn is all nylon and the bunt part (portions 1-2) are constructed of 90-160 ply/90 mm mesh size and 40 ply/105 mm mesh size in the body part of the net (portions 5-18). The wings that are attached to the bunt (portion 3-4) are made of 60 ply/90 mm mesh size and the right wing (portion 19-20) is 60 ply/105mm and 90 ply/105 mm. The upper and lower selvages are made in polyethylene Ultra Cross(PE UC) 320 ply/150 mm 5 meshes deep. The yellow floats are made of plastic EVA, with the dimensions of 160 (L) x190(D) x33 (H.D) and a buoyancy of 4,000 g. The chain is of Super alloy, with a diameter of 11,13 mm attached with a bridle chain with 10 pieces of single rope and plus bridle chain doubled is 64 pieces. The purse ring is made of Galvanized Iron, 22 mm-diameter x 260 mm.

In June 1994, the Japanese Master Fisherman modified the seine net by changing the portions 7-15 from nylon netting of 40 ply/105 mm to tetron 60 ply/210 mm and 70 ply/210 mm. Two double bridle chains alternated with a bridle chain with a single rope. The other component were retained

Subsequently, the floats (Model E-40) on the purse seine net were replaced in August 1997 with model E-50 that has a buoyancy of 5,000 g.

Lastly, the net was improved in August 1998, When two portions were added to the body part of the net. At the present, the M.V. SEAFDEC purse seine net has 22 portions and its length is increased to 1,266.9 m. (Appendix 2)

3. Fishing area

The tuna resource surveys have been conducted in the Eastern Indian Ocean from 1993 to 2001 between latitude 2°N-8°S and longitude 81°-97° E, radiating around the Ninety East Ridge (Fig. 1). It takes 3 days to travel from Phuket to this fishing ground.





4. Fishing season

The tuna resource surveys of M.V. SEAFDEC, are most frequently conducted from October to March of following year (Appendix III), as the northeast monsoon period is the most suitable season for the operations. Generally, the fishing season for tuna in Eastern Indian Ocean is throughout the year, but high peak of production during the northeast monsoon, October to December (Chantawong et,al, 1996). It also found that tuna catch in this area during the southwest monsoon was very poor (Pokapunt, et. al,1999), because of the changing of sea conditions and strong winds affect to the tuna purse seine operations

Data collection

The tuna resource surveys are conducted to collect catch effort, catch composition, individual length and weight data. Tuna and other species samples are collected to determine species composition from the first or second scoop. The variables of tunas recorded are individual fork length (cm) measured from the most anterior part of the fish to the tip of the median caudal fin rays using a measuring board (Fig. 2), and the weight (kg) is measured by spring balance for length frequency distribution and length and weight relationship studies. Fishing effort is considered in terms of catch per unit effort for the numbers of operation in each year.



Fig.2 Girth measurement on board M.V. SEAFDEC.

Results and Discussions

1. Catch

1.1 Total catch

Since 1993-2001, eighty-seven fishing operations have been conducted by the ship in the Eastern Indian Ocean. The majority of catches were skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*), yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) and bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*) (Fig. 3), while other species appear in small quantities. The total catch being 1,945.55 tons; averaged out at a catch per unit effort of 6.53 tons/ fishing day. The catch was greatest in 1994 at 635.4 tons, it then decreased between 1995 and 2001, similar to the results of Nootmorn et, al (2002). However, it is noticeable that in 1999, the catch was very poor because there were only two operations and effort were 27 fishing days during this year. Meanwhile, the trend of catch per unit effort (CPUE), reached a peak of 20.5 tons per fishing days in 1994, decreased from 1995-1999 and increased again from 2000, decreasing again in 2001. It was observed that CPUE in 1999 was very poor, only 0.85 tons/fishing day. Comparison between the year of 2000 and 2001, found that even though fishing days in 2000 were less than in 1999, CPUE was higher. Especially in 2001, there were many operations and fishing days but the catch was small.(Table 1, Fig. 4)



Fig.3 The main tuna species caught by M.V. SEAFDEC: a) Skipjack tuna (Katsuwonus pelamis), b) Bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus) and c) Yellowfin tuna (T. albacares)

Year	Catch (ton)	No. of operation	Effort (Fishing days)	CPUE (tons/Fishing day)
1993	138	10	42	3.29
1994	635.4	17	31	20.50
1995	356	16	26	13.69
1996	218	9	30	7.27
1997	220	7	36	6.11
1998	188	6	36	5.22
1999	23	2	27	0.85
2000	96.648	9	19	5.09
2001	70.5	11	51	1.38

Table 1 Catch, effort and CPUE (tons/fishing day) by year from 1993-2001.



Fig. 4 Comparison between CPUE (ton/fishing day) and effort (fishing day), conducted by M.V. SEAFDEC from 1993-2001.

The amount of catch by species, reached a peak in 1994, then reduced from 1995, except for bigeye tuna the catch of which reduced in 1995 then increased in 1996, after that it reduced again similarly with other species. (Fig 5 a, b and c).



Fig. 5 Catches by species, conducted by M.V. SEAFDEC from 1993-2001.

1.2 Catch composition

From 1993 to 2001, the catch of tuna species by M.V. SEAFDEC was based upon, skipjack tuna, yellowfin tuna and bigeye tuna. Also, other species were caught incidentally in smaller quantities and the catches of non-tuna species are unreported in 1994, 1998 and 1999.

Since 1994, the tuna catches have been identified by species including: skipjack tuna, bigeye tuna and yellowfin tuna but before that year they were not thus defined. As a results of the overall proportions of the catch by species during 1994-2001 shows skipjack tuna was the principal species caught with 65.56 %, followed by yellowfin tuna at 20.26 %, bigeye tuna at 12.82 % and other species at 1.36 % (Fig. 6). In accordance with these results, the proportions of catch by year was the same, that is skipjack tuna, a target species, was the highest percentage of the catch, followed by yellowfin tuna, bigeye tuna and other species (Fig.8). Contrarily, in 1996, bigeye tuna was caught more than skipjack tuna and in 2000, yellowfin tuna was more prevalent than skipjack tuna (48.52 % and 28.59 %). The proportions of catch by stations of different position doesn't shows any trend (Fig.7).



Fig. 6 The overall catch composition of tuna purse seine conducted by M.V. SEAFDEC since 1994 until 2001.

More than 30 non target species were caught during the operation including: rainbow runner, common dolphinfish, triggerfish, carcharinid sharks, wahoo and mackerel scad were predominant aggregated under logs or/and FADs.(Table 2), in consonance with Romanov (2002) and Pokapant (1997). In addition, the purse seine operation conducted in 2001 caught and returned to the sea a rare megamouth shark (*Megachasma pelagios*), just about the first ever recorded in the Indian Ocean (SEAFDEC, 2002) and as the sixteenth specimen in the world (Boonyapiwat and Vithayanon, 2002).









Fig. 8 Annual catch composition of tuna purse seine conducted by M.V. SEAFDEC from 1994-2001.

TILL O T' C		.1	TOTATOTAL	1002 2001
Lable / List of non torge	chacter mere conost	withe net of MA	NHAHI HI HOMM	
TADIC & LISEOF HOU LAPE	SUCCIES WELE CAUPILE	JV LUC HEL UL IVI. V	\cdot $OI/(1) IA IIOIII)$	$JJJ = \Delta UUI$
THE PARTY OF THE P	a present it eres entry and		the second care and the second s	

Family	Scientific name	Common name
Fish		and a second
Alopiidae	Alopias sp.	Pelagic tresher shark
Carcharhinidae	Carcharhinns sp.	Shark
Megachasmidae	Megachasm a pelagios	Megamouth shark
Belonidae	Ty losurus crocodilus crocodilus	Needlefish
Carangidae	Elagatis bipinnulata	Rainbow Runner
	Seriola rivoliana	Longfin yellowtail
	Decapterus macarellus	Mackerel scad
	Decapterus muroadsi	Trevally
	Uraspis helvora	White-tongued trevalle
	Caran & lugubris	Trevally
	Carangoides orthogrammus	Trevally
	Caranx sp.	Trevally
	Bram a dussum ieri	Pomfret
Coryphaenidae	Coryphaena hippurus	Common dolphinfish
	Coryphaena equiselis	Dolphinfish
Lobotidae	Lobotes surinamensis	Tripletail
Kyphosidae	Kyphorus vaigiensis	Brassy chub
	Kyposus cinerascens	Blue seachub
Ephipididae	Platex tiera	Batfish
Sphyraenidae	Sphyraena barracuda	Great barracuda
Istiophoridae	Makaira indica	Black marlin
-	Makaira mazara	Blue marlin
Scomberomoridae	Acanthocybium solandri	Wahoo
Scombridae	Auxis thazard	Frigate tuna
	Katsuwonus pelamis	Skipjack tuna
	Thunnus albacares	Yellow fin tuna
	Thunnus obesus	Bigeve tuna
Balistidae	Canthiderm is maculatus	Rough triggerfish
Monocanthidae	Alutera monoceros	Unicorn leatherjack
	Aluterus scriptus	Unicorn leatheriack
Other		A Strategy Concerning Vision
Octopotidae	Octobus sp.	Octopus

2. Size of fish caught

2.1 Skipjack Tuna

Skipjack tuna, an epipelagic-oceanic species, manifesting like a big school at the surface. They are frequently found throughout the year in all tropical and sub tropical waters, and their spawn twice time a year (FAO,2002). In tuna purse seine fishery, skipjack tuna is the main component species, are often caught in mixed schools of juvenile yellowfin and other species around drifting logs and fish aggregating devices (FADs). During the latter part of 1990s, it was found that the total catches of skipjack tuna in the Indian Ocean around 300 x 10³ metric tons annually (Anon, 2000).

2.1.1 Length frequency distribution

The length-frequency samples of skipjack tuna have been measured onboard since 1994. Their length were within a range between 31-80 cm FL, the average size being around 46-54 cm. The length frequency distribution of skipjack tuna caught is shown in Fig. 9. There are two sizes of skipjack, small and large size in each year, especially in 1999, the proportion of frequency in a small group was greater than in other years. In 1994, the numbers of fish in each group were not different, after that small skipjack were frequently caught. Fig. 10 presents the overall picture of skipjack length distribution that is bimodal, the mode is 45 cm for small size with an average weight of 1.8 kg and is 63 cm for large sizes with an average weight of 4.3 kg.

2.1.2 Weight

Fig. 11 shows the range of sizes of skipjack tuna. It is found that in the year 2000, the individual weight ranged widely from between 0.8 to 11 kg. In 1994, it is noticeable that the average weight of skipjack tuna was bigger than in other years.

2.1.3 Length-weight relationship

The length and weight data were obtained from 5,999 skipjack tuna. The length-weight relationship of the skipjack is as shown in Fig.12. The coefficient for this species is 3.3067, $r^2=0.9458$. Comparison with several papers indicates that this is similar with previous studies in the same area (Table 3).



Fig.9 Length frequency distribution of Skipjack tuna by year from 1994-2001.









Fig. 11 The ranges of weight of Skipjack tuna, conducted by M.V. SEAFDEC during 1994-2001.

Freek length (cm



Fig.12 Length-weight relationship of Skipjack tuna by M.V. SEAFDEC from 1994-2001.

Tuna species	Fishing area	a	b	n	Gear	Source
Skipjack tuna	Eastern Indian Ocean	6*10 ⁻⁶	3.307	5999	PS	the present data of M.V. SEAFDEC
	Eastern Indian Ocean	5*10-6	3.350	355	PS	Nootmorn et al.(2000)
	Eastern Indian Ocean	5*10-6	3.326	179	PS, male	Pokapunt et al. (1999)
	Eastern Indian Ocean	5*10-6	3.323	142	PS, female	Pokapunt et al. (1999)
	Eastern Indian Ocean	$1.7*10^{-5}$	3.014		PS	Tantivala (1997)
Yellowfin tuna	Eastern Indian Ocean	3*10-5	2.916	1744	PS	the present data of M.V. SEAFDEC
	Eastern Indian Ocean	3*10-5	2.900	565	PS	Nootmorn et al.(2000)
	Eastern Indian Ocean	1.4*10 ⁻⁵	3.018	113	PS, male	Pokapunt et al. (1999)
10	Eastern Indian Ocean	3.35*10 ⁻⁴	2.341	107	PS, female	Pokapunt et al. (1999)
	Eastern Indian Ocean	6.91*10 ⁻⁵	2.740	1398	LL	Chantawong (1997)
	Eastern Indian Ocean	4.4*10 ⁻⁵	2.771	-	PS	Tantivala (1997)
Bigeye tuna	Eastern Indian Ocean	1*10-5	3.170	1294	PS	the present data of M.V. SEAFDEC
	Eastern Indian Ocean	1.4*10 ⁻⁵	3.110	419	PS	Nootmorn et al.(2000)
	Eastern Indian Ocean	2.17*10 ⁻⁵	3.000	2707	LL,PS	Chantawong et al. (1999)
	Eastern Indian Ocean	2.7*10 ⁻⁵	2.922	-	PS	Tantivala (1997)
	Eastern Indian Ocean	1.89*10 ⁻⁵	3.040	574	LL	Chantawong (1996)
	Indian Ocean	2.74*10 ⁻⁵	2.910	-	PS	Poreeyanond (1994)

Table 3. Length- Weight relationship data of tuna species by area and authors.

* PS- Purse seine

LL- Longline

2.2 Yellowfin Tuna

Yellowfin tuna, an oceanic and high migratory species occuring above and below the thermoclines, found worldwide in tropical and subtropical seas. They school primarily by size, either in monospecific or multispecies groups. They are caught with a varity of gear types from small-scale to large, deep-sea longliner and purse seiners. Many purse seiners operate widely in equatorial and tropical waters and catch a wide size range of yellowfin tuna, whereas the longline fishery takes mostly adult fish (Hampton, 1999).

2.2.1 Length frequency distribution

A total of 2007 yellowfin tuna were measured and their length ranged between 20-136 cm. The yellowfin tuna had a mean overall length of 62 cm and weight of 6 kg Fig. 13. presents the overall length frequency distribution of yellowfin tuna and this has a two-peak mode at 50 and 80 cm Fig. 14 shows the length frequency distribution of the yellowfin tuna caught by M.V. SEAFDEC in the Eastern Indian Ocean it also indicates that most of the yellowfin tuna were of small size, similar with the findings of Sukramongkol (2000) who reported that most of young yellowfin tuna caught by the net of M.V. SEAFDEC.



Fig. 13 Overall length distribution of Yellowfin tuna from tuna purse seine conducted by M.V. SEAFDEC during 1994-2001.



Fig. 14 Length frequency distribution of yellowfin tuna by year from 1994-2001.

2.2.2 Weight

The weight of yellowfin tuna ranged widely between 0.5-49 kg. In 1999, the range of weight decreased, the maximum weight of this species being only 9 kg. Average weights of this specie were similar, at about 3-7 kg. (Fig. 15)





2.2.3 Length-weight relationship

The length-weight relationship of yellowfin tuna is as shown in Fig. 16. The coefficient for this species is 2.9175, $r^2 = 0.978$.



Fig.16 Length-weight relationship of Yellowfin tuna by M.V. SEAFDEC from 1994-2001.

2.3 Bigeye Tuna

Bigeye Tuna is an oceanic fish, very similar in appearance to yellowfin tuna. It is found worldwide in tropical and subtropical waters and are an important component in tuna fisheries throughout the Indian Ocean. The seine net caught juvenile bigeye tuna that most were immature, similarly to the yellowfin tuna. Previous studies reported by Sukramongkol(2002), that the seine net of M.V. SEAFDEC caught all young bigeye tuna and yellowfin tuna, while 80% of the skipjack tuna caught were adult.

2.3.1 Length frequency distribution

A total of 1,336 bigeye tunas were caught ranging between 31-122 cm. Fig.17 presents the overall length distribution of bigeye tuna and has a dominant size mode ranging between 21-99 cm, with a high peak at 50 cm. Fig. 18 shows the length frequency distribution by year. Kikawa (1953) reported that the maturity size by bigeye tuna ranged between 91-100 cm, therefore almost tuna caught by the seine net of M.V. SEAFDEC were juveniles.







Fig. 18 Length frequency distribution of Bigeye tuna by year from 1994-2001.

2.3.2 Weight

The weights ranged widely between 0.5-38 kg. In 1997, there was widely ranged of weight, the maximum weight of this year was 38 kg. The average weights of this specie were similar, about 2-8 kg. (Fig. 19)



Fig. 19 The ranges of weight of Bigeye tuna, conducted by M.V. SEAFDEC during 1994-2001.

2.3.3 Length-weight relationship

The length-weight relationship of bigeye tuna is as shown in Fig 20. The coefficient for this species is 3.1699, $r^2 = 0.9747$.



Fig. 20 Length-weight relationship of Bigeye tuna by M.V. SEAFDEC from 1994-2001

Conclusions

Purse seining started in the Indian Ocean in the early 1980s, developed rapidly as for industrial purse seine fisheries (Bertignac, 1995). Mostly non-Indian Ocean countries conduct this fishery, although a few local vessels operate under joint-venture arrangements. M.V. SEAFDEC also conducted tuna purse seining in this area, not for commercial purposes but with the aim to seek new fishing grounds for promoting to fishermen in Southeast Asia countries.

The catch of M.V. SEAFDEC in the Eastern Indian Ocean was mainly skipjack tuna, young yellowfin tuna and bigeye tuna similar with Pokapunt (1999) who said that skipjack tuna and yellowfin tuna are the main component in purse seine catch, while bigeye tuna is none significant of the catches. The trend of the tuna catch declined steadily. In this recent year, the catch was very poor, which is probably the influence of over-fishing or changing of environmental conditions in the fishing ground. Suzuki (2002) also indicated that the status of bigeye tuna stock in the Indian Ocean is over-fished and a reduction in catch is necessary to keep the fisheries operational near the MSY level. The problem of the world tropical tuna purse seine fishery, frequently using FADs is catching multi-species including the juveniles of bigeye tuna. It becomes more serious.

It found, moreover, that non target species such as rainbow runners and mackerel scads could be important species for the world fishing market in the future. Romanov (2002) said that bycatch of associated and non-associated species during purse seine fishing for tropical tuna may be rather high, and generally depends on fishing tactics. That it could be supported as new resources in the future, although they are not the main target for tuna purse seines, but during some operations they were caught in large quantities.

Though this fishing ground still is efficient for tuna purse seine fishery, proper management is necessary, to retain and extend these new resources.

However, the limitation of this study is the fishing season, M.V. SEAFDEC worked in the Indian Ocean only through the period of October to March, so there is much data for that period, but not for other times. It can not be concluded that the M.V. SEAFDEC working period is appropriate for a complete survey.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr. Somboon Siriraksophon, Research Division Head for his advice and comments. Also, thanks to Mr. Aussanee Munprasit, Mr. Isara Chanrajkit and Mr. Pratakphol Prajakjitt for their technical comments. Thanks to Mr. Wirote Laongmanee for preparing all maps in this paper. Special thanks to crews of M.V. SEAFDEC for their kind assist during the surveys.

References

- 2000. Indian Ocean Tuna Fisheries Data Summary 1989-1998. IOTC data summary Anon. No.20, 111 pp. 2002. The first megamouth shark recorded on M.V. SEAFDEC. SEAFDEC Anon. Newsletter Vol 25 No.1:January-March 2002,p.4. Bertinac, M. and D. Ardill. 1995. Some interaction issues in the fisheries for tunas and tuna-like fishes of the Indian Ocean, Status of interactions of pacific tuna fisheries in 1995. Proceeding of the second FAO expert Consultation on Interaction of Pacific Tuna Fisheries, Japan 23-31 January 1995. 15 pp. Boonyapiwat, S. and C. Vithayanon.2002. Megamouth shark #16 in the Eastern Indian Ocean. http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/fish/Sharks/Megamouth/Mega16.htm Chantawong, P., S. Chairat and U. Nirun. 1996. Tuna Purse Seine Fishery in the Eastern Indian Ocean. Thai Fisheries Gazette Vol.49 No.2 March-April 1996: p.141-153. Chantawong, P. 1997. Some biological parameters and longline fishery of tunas in the Eastern Indian Ocean. Technical paper no.43. Andaman Fisheries Development Center, Department of Fisheries, Thailand. 30 pp.
- Chantawong, P., S. Panjarat and W. Singtongyam. 1999. Preliminary results on fisheries and biology of bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*) in the Eastern Indian Ocean. Paper presented at the first meeting of working party on Tropical tunas. Vitoria, Seychelles. 28 August-1 September 1999. 15 p.
- Chantawong, P. 2000. Tuna Fisheries in the Eastern Indian Ocean, 1993-1998. Thai Fisheries Gazette Vol.53 No.2 March-April 2000: p.139-148.
- FAO. 2002. Species Identification Sheets. http://www.fao.org/fiservlet.
- Hampton, J., A. Lewis and P. Williams. 1999. The Western and Central Pacific tuna fishery: 1998 overview and status of stocks. Tuna fisheries assessment report no.1. The secretariat of the Pacific community Noumea, New Caledonia. 39 pp.
- Kikawa, S. 1953. Observation on the spawning of the big eyed tuna (*Parathunnus mebachi*, Kishiniuye) near the southern Marshall Islands. Contr. Nankai. Reg. Fish. Res. Lab., 1(42): 10 p.
- Matsumoto, T., T. Nishida and H. Okamoto. 2000. Japanese tuna fisheries in the Indian Ocean, up to 1999. Paper presented at the second meeting of working party on Tropical tunas. Vitoria, Seychelles. 23-27 September 2000.7 p.
- Nootmorn, P., S. Panjarat, S. Hoimuk and W. Singtongyam. not pulished. Thai Tuna Fisheries, Mukmsnee, in the Indian Ocean,1998 to 2000 (in Thai).16 pp.
- Nootmorn, P., et al. 2002. Tuna purse seine landings in Phuket, Thailand from 1993 2001. Working paper on tropical tunas presented at the 4th WPTT, Shanhai, Republic of China. 10pp.
- Okamoto, H. and N. Miyabe. 1997. Review of Japanese tuna fisheries in the Indian Ocean. National Research Institute of Far Sea Fisheries.12 pp.
- Pokapunt W. 1997. The tuna purse seine trail with thai fishermen (in Thai). Technical paper No.54:March 1997. Oceanic Division, Department of Fisheries.46 pp.
- Pokapunt W., V. Sornvijit, and N. Thongyou. 1999. Tuna caught by purse seining in the Eastern Indian Ocean. Paper presented at the first meeting of working party on Tropical tunas. Vitoria, Seychelles. 28 August-1 September 1999. 3 p.

- Poreeyanond, D. 1994. Catch and size groups distribution of tunas caught by purse seining survey in the Arabian Sea, western Indian Ocean,1993. Proceeding of the expert Consultation on Indian Ocean Tunas, 5th Session, Mahe, Seychelles,4-8 October 1993. IPTP Coll. Vol.8: 53-54.
- Prajakjitt, P. and A. Munprasit. 2001. Priliminary results on the exploration of tuna resources in the Eastern Indian Ocean. TD /RES /51,Training Department, Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center. 29 pp.
- Romanov, E.V. 2002. Bycatch in the tuna purse seine fisheries of the western Indian Ocean. Fish. Bull. 100(1): 90-105
- Sukramongkol, N. 2000. Priliminary results on by catch from tuna purse seine fishery in the Eastern Indian Ocean, SEAFDEC Newsletter Vol.23 No.1:p.8
- Suzuki, Z. 2002. Memorandum on regulatory measures for purse seine fisheries in the western tropical Indian Ocean. Paper presented at IOTC of working party on Tropical tunas.
- Tantivala, C.1997. The studies on tuna shoals associated with floating objects in the Indian
 Ocean (in Thai). Technical paper No. 51. Oceanic Fisheries Division. Department of Fisheries, Bangkok. 26 p.

Appendix I Research vessel, M.V. SEARDEN

Appendix

Landb kovien perpendición literális moldel Depris to argen concerne de L. molde Depris to argen concerne de L. molde Depris to argen concerne de L. molde Depris to argent de la molde literális literális

Appendix I Research vessel, M.V. SEAFDEC



Principle Particulars of M.V. SEAFDEC

Length over all	65.02 m
Length between perpendiculars	57.00 m
Breadth, molded	12.00 m
Depth to superstructure deck, molded	7.10 m
Depth to upper deck, moldedranged	4.70 m
Draft, molded	4.658 m
Service speed at 4.50 m draft	14.3 knots
Gross tonnage	1178 t
Net tonnage	354 t
Fish hold capacity (bale)	145.38 m ³
Freezing room capacity (grain)	20.48 m ³
Freezing ability (brine)	20 t/day
Freezing ability (airblast)	1.6 t/day

				1					THUR PARTY	10.1101
P = Porton 1.P = 75 meter DR = Tutine (Polypop/iene) DCR = Tutine () : 3	Rape Cross Rope	1 1	etran Net etran Rope etran Occes Rope oper Alloy Chain	N HD FE OC FE EVA - 5 FE	Nylon Net Mash Daydi Nesh Daydi Nyethrifene Ultra Cross Net Nyethrifene Ultra Cross Net Plastic Rook EVA Nodel E - 50 Ruoyaroy 5,000 gram 1	Traffore Colour	A.	TR & DR	The Third Ir (1996	mprove 3)
The state of the s	~~~~~	NN NN	~~~~	NANAAAAAAAA	DCI do. 35 time			DH da, 22 mm DCR da, 45 mm		11 63. 22 mm TCh 59. 45 mm
Contraction Rate 30%	-	28.5		÷	28.55				Total Length 1	266.9 m.
18 6.3 105 Portion No.		216			1/1 0 CT - 0 CT - 0 CT	13 12 13 19 1	1 19 P 1	912 1 91	1 22 P	6.6 27
1 1P 1 2P	3.6	4	2		PR UC 320 Philame	add a second second a second	-			1
a [1324 Physics mm 2 14 Physi	N 1205/30 mm	120#/00 tom 00 MD X 2			N 00 Ply/tob mm. too MD x 19 cs w 465 multitor mm. too MD x 19 cs				1	52 ES
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1				•	21 (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10					
Color 2, 2 Color 2, 2 Color 2, 2 Color Paycon marker Color Paycon marker Color 0, 2 Color 0, 2 Color 0, 2	N SO Parao ann	10 Ph/96 mm	N 40 Py/105 mm	min colloyed life 1	T (6) Mod 18 mm 100 (00,43 × 10		Aur Der M. Sames späck Andre der M. Sames	N 60 Fly 10 mm	All N (N (000/uL 0947
Peril 50 merci 100 MIC X 50 MIC 110 MI							21 Y	e K		04 140 001 M
+		-			T 72, Py, 220 mm			-		-
N 128 Physics and the state of	N 90 Physio min. 500 MD x 1	T.		tutta acara		- Alexandre		A SO Phy 105 men.	T	
		01 M	Photo en		A 90 Psy/105 een. 100 MD x 1			1 X DW 001		S
51 190m 160m	1 189 m l	198.m I	210 m	220.5 m 231 m	ing too appropriates may	* Z31 m 1 220	m 210m 19	98.5 m 1 189 m	1 178.5 m	-
Contraction Rate 20 %	**	99 10	25	+	10.01				Total Lero	ges 1313.7 m
21 1 1 120 Chain Arrangement		246			882 *** ****	1 month of a first of the second s	TAA. 121 CT. ANT	The second	3 (13 mm)	1. TM-N3 -
26.2 Protect Direct Dir			2787		Ett	157.5	157.5		126 amontococococo	37.5
	7	>	>	>		>	0.75m 1.5m	Z25 m 3.00 m	\succ	5
Bridie Chain (TM 10-10 mm die. Bridie Chain () Double Double Plus Single	Rope (26 mm d		total 82 Pea	Purte Wire Artangement Mart Wire Rope Canter Wire Rope Forward Wer Rope	2100 m 33 m 761 m Total 2,894 m	Purse R 1011 22 x 200 82 29	on O 22 mm	3.75 m	
Towing Line Arranement (Dry Wire Rope)	Towing Line Wire		19 mm	.x 1,000 m	Towing Line Wire	Total		260 mm		Samson R
Dry Wre Rope				N	an Plane Wire	Contar Puri	a Wire Forwar	nd Purse Wire	II Wet W	fea Rope

Appendix II M.V. SEAFDEC purse seine net plan; the lastest improve

Appendix III Purse seine fishing operations of M.V. SEAFDEC in the Eastern Indian Ocean from 1993-2001

Vear	Date	Latitude	I onoitude	Total catch		Total weight	by species (kg)	
Trut	Part	mmmer	miguor	(kg)	SKJ	BET	YFT	Other spp.
1993	31-Oct-93	03_22.0 S	82_20.54 E	20000	14000	3000	3000	0
	04-Nov-93	01_00.79 S	88_28.13 E	2000	0	0	0	0
	16-Nov-93	01_43.32 N	91_59.75 E	3000	1350	13	50	300
	17-Nov-93	01_09.29 N	91_48.75 E	8000	1600	0	5600	800
	18-Nov-93	01_22.11 N	91_48.94 E	6000	2400	0	3000	600
	10-Feb-94	00_24.62 S	89_24.88 E	10000	9006	0	1000	0
	15-Feb-94	00_20.3 S	81_21.8 E	4000	2800	0	1200	0
	6-Mar-94	01_18.9 S	89_59.1 E	13000	7800	52	00	0
	7-Mar-94	01_04.85 S	90_16.90 E	60000	42000	18(00(0
	9-Mar-94	00_39.26 S	90_37.89 E	12000	9600	2400	0	0
1994	26-Oct-94	02_00.9 N	90_12.10 E	120000	96000	6000	18000	0
	31-Oct-94	00_54.72 N	89_49.46 E	40000	28000	4000	8000	0
	12-Nov-94	02_18.81 S	88_00.42 E	10000	8000	500	1500	0
	13-Nov-94	02_39.71 S	87_33.40 E	30000	25500	1500	3000	0
	14-Nov-94	04_11.68 S	86_15.63 E	45000	36000	2250	6750	0
	15-Nov-94	04_29.90 S	86_07.22 E	10000	8000	500	1500	0
	16-Feb-95	01_49.31 S	94_17.36 E	70000	63700	2100	4200	0
	18-Feb-95	02_51.74 S	95_13.82 E	1000	700	100	200	0
	21-Feb-95	02_02.06 S	90_48.36 E	15000	0006	2250	3750	0
	22-Feb-95	01_08.88 S	89_53.84 E	25000	20000	2500	2500	0
	7-Mar-95	00_28.77 N	89_56.84 E	123000	110700	6150	6150	0
	8-Mar-95	00_46.76 S	90_47.45 E	50000	35000	2500	12500	0
	22-Mar-95	00_08.20 N	92_43.7 E	1000	400	0	600	0
	23-Mar-95	00_10.0 S	90_53.43 E	75000	45000	22500	7500	0
	26-Mar-95	00_34.40 S	88_43.2 E	400	0	0	400	0
	27-Mar-95	00_26.5 S	91_14.6 E	15000	9000	3000	3000	0
	28-Mar-95	00_16.88 N	91_39.98 E	5000	3500	500	1000	0

		Other
om 1993-2001	at by species (kg)	YFT
Indian Ocean fi	Total weigh	BET
) in the Eastern		SKJ
V. SEAFDEC	H	e Iotal carc
erations of M		Longitud
ine fishing op		Latitude
Durse se	and form	Date
20/ III - 1	A) TH XIDU	

				1		Total weight by	spence (181	
Vear	Date	Latitude	Longitude	Total catch - (kg)	SKJ	BET	YFT	Other spp.
TAM	- AND- AND CO	IN E WY TH	87 47 0 F.	5000		т.		
1995	25-Oct-95	04_19.1 N	86 40.1 E	3000	10-	J.		-
	26-Oct-95	03 20.3 N	84_30.7 E	30000	0.4	1		1
	21-00ct-95	03 05.8 N	83_56.7 E	40000	1			1
	30-Oct-95	03_17.0 N	84_27.0 E	22000	0050	850	1640	10
	10-Nov-95	04_41.43 N	93_41.79 E	5000	0167	546	2058	182
	11-Nov-95	04_51.49 N	91_17.89 E	7000	71840	3680	14120	360
	12-Nov-95	05_28.05 S	90_29.0 E	40000	2612	200	1188	0
	14-Nov-95	04_56.35 S	86_17.71 E	4000	11850.00	1170.00	1950.00	30.00
	2-Mar-96	06_01.12 S	88_57.84 E	15000	38780.00	2420.00	11715.00	2585.00
	5-Mar-96	06_47.69 S	92_05.70 E	0000	00.00200	1608.00	3312.00	448.00
	6-Mar-96	06_45.80 S	92_26.60 E	8000	10406.00	418.00	11176.00	0.00
	7-Mar-96	06_35.50 S	92_15.10 E	12000	10275.00	1155.00	3285.00	285.00
	19-Mar-96	05_00.5 S	94_39.31	00001	7250.00	0.00	2700.00	50.00
	20-Mar-96	04_41.47 S	94_37.38 1	10000	67500.00	1875.00	5625.00	0.00
	21-Mar-96	04_17.56 S	94_39.93 I	/2000	200-000-10	11.3	I.	4.
1006	25-Oct-96	05_40.1 S	94_36.81	00075 2			t	
NECT	28-Oct-96	05_10.1 S	87_07.1]	40000		1	L	
	31-Oct-96	02_09.2 S	87_04.6	E 5000	1400 00	56.00	1976.00	1280.00
	11-Nov-96	01_38.6 S	92_33.4	E 8000	11035.00	0.00	16695.00	7280.00
	12-Nov-96	01_58.9 S	92_51.6	E 35000	00.00491	38580.00	3000.00	0,00
	14-Nov-96	04_55.9 S	94_24.3	E 60000	1448	2432	3976	144
	14-Mar-97	02_53.6 S	87_29.0	E 8000	0667	1860	3140	10
	15-Mar-97	03_52.6 S	86_07.9	E 10000	1140	7660	10220	980
	16-Mar-97	· 03_49.3 S	85_56.24	E ZUNUN				

X		T	T	Total catch		Total weight	by species (kg)	
ICAL	Date	гашиас	ronglidde	(kg)	SKJ	BET	YFT	Other spp.
1997	26-Oct-97	02_57.67 S	87_29.93 E	2000	586	450	956	8
	28-Oct-97	02_31.05 S	82_29.55 E	18000	14580	882	2538	0
	21-Feb-98	05_02.3 S	94_16.3 E	5000.00	4325.0	175.0	500.0	0.0
	23-Feb-98	04_47.3 S	89_02.0 E	70000.00	53270.0	12810.0	3710.0	210.0
	25-Feb-98	04_59.5 S	89_24.9 E	25000.00	18575.0	5425.0	1000.0	0.0
	12-Mar-98	02_01.7 S	92_55.6 E	40000.00	27240.0	3120.0	9640.0	0.0
	13-Mar-98	04_53.3 S	95_14.9 E	60000.00	48420.0	7560.0	4020.0	0.0
1998	27-Nov-98	04_45.3 S	97_33.4 E	7000.00	5481.0	280.0	1239.0	0.0
	29-Nov-98	07_33.8 S	91_07.6 E	10000.00	6880.0	770.0	2350.0	0.0
	1-Dec-98	07_40.1 S	93_21.4 E	17000.00	11801.4	533.8	4664.8	0.0
	20-Feb-99	02_57.6 S	87_11.5 E	67000.00	48240.00	16750.00	2010.00	0.00
	6-Mar-99	01_09.1 S	86_50.2 E	50000.00	15750.00	4000.00	30250.00	0.00
	8-Mar-99	00_32.7 S	87_25.3 E	37000.00	9583.00	9065.00	18352.00	0.00
1999	29-Nov-99	02_20.6 S	90_39.8 E	20000.00	9352.00	5888.00	4760.00	0.00
	1-Dec-99	02_33.6 S	90_36.3 E	3000.00	2615.10	384.90	0.00	0.00
2000	6-Feb-01	01_01.1 S	87_03.2 E	648	95.00	158.10	172.60	222.30
	10-Feb-01	00_16.6 S	86_49.3 E	15,000	4515.00	5805.00	4260.00	420.00
	11-Feb-01	00_22.8 S	87_57.7 E	2,500	0.00	0.00	0.00	2500.00
	27-Feb-01	01_01.1 S	87_03.2 E	22,000	3432.00	0.00	16786.00	1782.00
	1-Mar-01	02_25.4 S	90_17.7 E	18,000	3186.00	4500.00	9882.00	432.00
	3-Mar-01	03_37.8 S	95_36.5 E	3,000	2466.00	432.00	0.00	102.00
	5-Mar-01	01_26.3 S	92_38.5 E	25,000	7010.00	3665.00	13540.00	785.00
	6-Mar-01	01_33.0 S	93_44.8 E	10,000	6666.00	978.00	2140.00	216.00
	20-Mar-01	00_52.0 S	89_57.5 E	500	257.00	28.00	117.50	97.50

Appendix III (cont.) Purse seine fishing operations of M.V. SEAFDEC in the Eastern Indian Ocean from 1993-2001

-
2
0
C1
-
0
č
5
G
8
0
dH.
C
25
U.
0
0
0
-
11
P
9
-
-
H
63
ų.
S
ca
E
6
-
F
13
0
(II)
0
9
T.
7
4
II
5
-
5
r .
4
A
ter
-
0
0
G
0
· Ħ
T
H
U
0
0
00
C
12
4
(3)
5
0
S
03
S
4
2
P
-
1
5
I
0
100
O
(c
I (c
II (c
III (c
(III (c
ix III (c
dix III (c
ndix III (c
endix III (c
endix III (c
pendix III (c
ppendix III (c

Tear Date Latinue Latinue <thlatin< th=""> <thlatin< th=""> <thlatin< th=""></thlatin<></thlatin<></thlatin<>	T	T CLASS	otal catch		Total weight 1	by species (kg)	
200118-Dec-0102_37.6 S92_22.4 E2000.0079219-Dec-0102_12.1 S92_17.6 E3000.0094620-Dec-0103_47.9 S91_36.1 E9000.0046521-Dec-0103_49.4 S89_56.0 E5000.0029421-Dec-0105_46.0 S82_19.5 E8000.0045723-Dec-0106_08.0 S82_52.5 E2000.001321-Jan-0202_16.3 S90_18.1 E1500.000.2-Jan-0201_46.9 S89_19.1 E2000.0017103-Jan-0201_48.8 S89_03.3 E10000.00797	e rantude rongi	ituae	(kg)	SKJ	BET	YFT	Other spp.
19-Dec-0102_12.1 S92_17.6 E3000.0094620-Dec-0103_47.9 S91_36.1 E9000.0046521-Dec-0103_49.4 S $89_56.0 E$ 5000.00 29423-Dec-0105_46.0 S $82_19.5 E$ 8000.00 45724-Dec-0105_46.0 S $82_52.5 E$ 2000.00 13224-Dec-0106_08.0 S $82_52.5 E$ 2000.00 13224-Dec-0106_08.0 S $82_52.5 E$ 2000.00 1322-Jan-0201_46.9 S $89_19.1 E$ 1500.00 0.13-Jan-0201_48.8 S $89_03.3 E$ 10000.00 17103-Jan-0201_48.8 S $89_03.3 E$ 10000.00 797	1 02_37.6 S 92_22	2.4 E	2000.00	792.00	115(0.00	58.00
$20-Dec-01$ $03_{-}47.9$ S $91_{-}36.1$ E 9000.00 465 $21-Dec-01$ $03_{-}49.4$ S $89_{-}56.0$ E 5000.00 294 $23-Dec-01$ $05_{-}46.0$ S $82_{-}19.5$ E 8000.00 457 $24-Dec-01$ $06_{-}08.0$ S $82_{-}52.5$ E 2000.00 132 $1-Jan-02$ $02_{-}16.3$ S $90_{-}18.1$ E 1500.00 0.1 $2-Jan-02$ $01_{-}46.9$ S $89_{-}19.1$ E 20000.00 1710 $3-Ian-02$ $01_{-}48.8$ S $89_{-}03.3$ E 10000.00 797	1 02_12.1 S 92_17	7.6 E	3000.00	946.74	113.70	1721.01	218.55
$21-Dec-01$ $03_{-}49.4$ S $89_{-}56.0$ E 5000.00 294.5 $23-Dec-01$ $05_{-}46.0$ S $82_{-}19.5$ E 8000.00 457.5 $24-Dec-01$ $06_{-}08.0$ S $82_{-}52.5$ E 2000.00 132.5 $1-Jan-02$ $02_{-}16.3$ S $90_{-}18.1$ E 1500.00 $0.1.5$ $2-Jan-02$ $01_{-}46.9$ S $89_{-}19.1$ E 20000.00 1710.5 $3-Ian-02$ $01_{-}48.8$ S $89_{-}03.3$ E 10000.00 797.5	1 03_47.9 S 91_30	6.1 E	9000.00	4657.50	417.60	3553.20	371.70
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 03_49.4 S 89_50	6.0 E	5000.00	2945.00	95.50	1843.50	116.00
24 -Dec-01 $06_{-}08.0$ S $82_{-}52.5$ E 2000.00 132 1 -Jan-02 $02_{-}16.3$ S $90_{-}18.1$ E 1500.00 0.1 2 -Jan-02 $01_{-}46.9$ S $89_{-}19.1$ E 20000.00 1710 3 -lan-02 $01_{-}48.8$ S $89_{-}03.3$ E 10000.00 797	1 05_46.0 S 82_19	9.5 E	8000.00	4576.00	0.00	3388.00	36.00
$1-Jan-02$ $02_{-1}6.3$ S $90_{-1}8.1$ E 1500.00 0.1 $2-Jan-02$ $01_{-4}6.9$ S $89_{-1}9.1$ E 20000.00 1710 $3-Jan-02$ $01_{-4}8.8$ S $89_{-0}3.3$ E 10000.00 797	1 06_08.0 S 82_52	2.5 E	2000.00	132.40	0.00	1716.40	151.20
2-Jan-02 01_46.9 S 89_19.1 E 20000.00 1710 3-Jan-02 01 48.8 S 89 03.3 E 10000.00 797	2 02_16.3 S 90_18	8.1 E	1500.00	0.00	0.00	1427.40	72.60
3-lan-02 01 48.8 S 89 03.3 E 10000.00 797	2 01_46.9 S 89_19	9.1 E	20000.00	17100.00	1304.00	1520.00	76.00
	2 01_48.8 S 89_03	3.3 E	10000.00	7970.00	930.00	740.00	360.00
4-Jan-02 01_07.2 S 88_57.3 E 8000.00 395	2 01_07.2 S 88_57	7.3 E	8000.00	3952.00	1136.00	2592.00	320.00
18-Jan-02 02_17.9 S 88_12.7 E 2000.00 151	2 02_17.9 S 88_12	2.7 E	2000.00	1519.40	186.20	294.40	0.00

