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## FOREWORD

Under ASEAN-SEAFDEC Fisheries Consultative Group (FCG) Scheme, Thailand takes duty as the lead country among ASEAN member countries and the Training Department (TD) takes as a lead department of SEAFDEC to implement the coastal resources management program. This program is mainly supported by Japanese Trust Funds.

Under the coastal resources management program, TD and Department of Fisheries (DOF), Cambodia collaborated in formulating and planning the collaborative coastal fisheries management project. An aim of the collaborative project is to promote and achieve sustainable use of fisheries resources. TD and the DOF, Cambodia agreed to transfer essence of technologies, accumulated knowledge and lesson learned, which gain through the implementation of coastal fisheries management project to other SEAFDEC member countries through the SEAFDEC's information mechanism. This information may help ASEAN-SEAFDEC member countries consider their own policies and formulate a new direction for cost-effectiveness of coastal fisheries resources management plan and implementation.


## PREFACE

Prior to formulation of the project document for "Integrated Coastal Resources Management Project in Shihanoukville (ICRM-SV)", the preliminary socio-economic survey was conducted on 14 - 17 March 2005 in Commune Teuk Thla, Sihanoukville, Cambodia, with the joint team of DOF Cambodia, Fisheries Office Sihanoukville and SEAFDEC/TD.

Preliminary Socio-economic Survey or Rapid Rural Appraisal is a repertoire of rapid approaches to collecting information and data and identifying problems. It is a vital step to take upon formulating a rural development project for any implementing agency, especially relevant for coastal fisheries development encompassing the total community development aspect that looks at problems outside of the fisheries sector, in such areas as cottage or small scale industry development and house holding. It is not only conducive to provide basic data and information which will constitute the backbone of the project streamline but also as a milestone for the operational status in the project area and, thereby, the project can use it as a means of measuring the degree of achievement with the period of project operation. Additionally, a fishing and fish handing technologies survey was simultaneously carried out as a complementary survey, the content of which will be reported separately.
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## 1. BACKGROUND

A new program on "Capacity Building of Human Resources and Participation in Integrated Coastal Resources Management" was approved at the $27^{\text {th }}$ PCM meeting held from $6^{\text {th }}-9^{\text {th }}$ December 2004. Component 2 of this program lies in "Extension of the project concept to other member countries" which means that knowledge and experience gained through the CBRM project operation and management in Chumphon Province, Thailand, and in Langkawi Island, Malaysia, will be disseminated to other SEAFDEC member countries. Some other member countries have expressed their requests to initiate a CBRM project including Brunei Darussalam, Indonesia, Cambodia and Myanmar, it was decided to commence the $3^{\text {ni }}$ project in Cambodia taking various advantages into account compared with the others appellants.

Subsequent to the decision, a preliminary mission was mounted in early January 2005 to inspect the proposed project site and to formalize the project operation and management modes (refer to the Travel Report to Sihanoukville, Cambodia - 2, Etoh.S. January 2005). During the visit by the mission a new project site was proposed by the DOF Cambodia and judging from the various factors and aspects it was agreed to commence the project at this site from January 2005. The proposed site is called Prey Nop 2, which has two communes; i.e. Teuk Tla and Teuk Laak. The former has already institutionalized a fishermen's cooperative type organization called "Community Fisheries" and initiated group activities, while the latter is not yet organized. Given the fact that there would be a limitation in operational capacity of the project, it was further decided to concentrate the project activity in Teuk Tla Commune in the $1^{\text {s }}$ stage and to expand the scope of the activity into Teuk Laak later.

Hence, a preliminary socio-economic survey was conducted on $14^{\text {th }}-17^{\text {th }}$ March 2005 in Commune Teuk Tla consistent with the tentative project work-plan to understand the socio-economic conditions in the project operational area. The rapid survey that was employed this time is essential and conducive for outsiders to learn, in a short period, about a community, an area or an activity or possibly specific problems they knew little about and, therefore, it constitutes an essential step for the commencement of any project involving community development. It is also conducive as a milestone for the pre-operational status in the project area and, thereby, the project can use it as a means of measuring the degree of achievement with the period of project operation. Additionally, a fishing gear and operation survey was carried out in parallel, the content of which will be reported separately.

## 2. OBJECTIVES OF THE SURVEY

The objectives of the survey are;
a. To generally identify the socio-economic status of the fishing communities,
b. To identify the current status of local practices in coastal resources management
c. To identify gender roles in community economic development,
d. To identify the role of the Community Fisheries (CF) members and their function to develop community economics and manage coastal resources
e. To identify problems, basic needs and local people's interests to provide appropriate extension services and capacity building of the local people development program to encourage them to participate in community development,
f. To identify and classify the prevailing fishing methods and fishing force
vis

## 3. EXPECTED OUTPUTS OF THE SURVEY

The expected output of the survey was to reveal information about:
a. The socio-economic status of the fishing community; which gives a general picture of local people's employment. This can categorize and prioritize sources of income of local people. This information is useful to arrange and design activities for community development.
b. The current status of coastal resources management; which gives a picture of the degree of participation to CBRM and its awareness by local fishers. This will be an indicative milestone for project achievement.
c. Gender roles in community economic development and resources management are measured. This will give the project implementers and extension officers a clear orientation for their approach.
d. The role of the Community Fisheries (CF) members and their function; which is useful information to provide further and advanced activities to encourage the CF and members to attain a strengthening of the CF to develop the community and manage coastal resources.
e. The priority of basic needs and local people's interests in community are properly identified, and that are conducive in deploying the extension services and community development programming.
f. The currently prevailing fishing and fish handling methods are identified and classified which are further elaborated through a separate survey on fishing and fish handling technology.

## 4. SURVEY DESIGN

The survey used the rapid rural appraisal method, a repertoire of approaches in collecting information and identifying problems in local communities. The main component of the survey consisted of interviewing stakeholders using local language along with a questionnaire sheet, which was prepared in English. The responses were translated into English and recorded on the questionnaire sheets by the interviewers. The framework of the questionnaire design is explained in Annex 2 and the questionnaire sheet is shown in Annex 3.

A preliminary discussion on the content of the questionnaire was held on $14^{\mathrm{th}}$ March 2005 in Sihanoukville among the representatives of DOF Cambodia, the interviewers and the SEAFDEC/TD project staff. In this meeting, the purpose of the survey, the methodology, the tactful way of interviewing and subsequent compilation was explained. A note on the discussion is attached as Annex 1 .

## 5. SCALE OF THE SURVEYAND TARGET GROUPS OF SAMPLING

The survey sample groups were fisherfolk in four villages within Commune (Sangkat) Teuk Thla - Pre Pros, Prek Sangke, Prek Tal and Kampong Chin - from which 112 interviews were held based on the number of households in the villages as shown in the following tablel. The representation by the survey target groups vary from $12.2 \%$ to $14.4 \%$ with an average of $13.3 \%$, which is within an acceptable range.

Table 1: Interviews conducted in 4 villages

| Village | Population | Household | Interviewed | Representation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prek Pros | 1,833 | 317 | 41 | 12.9\% |
| Prek Sanke | 924 | 201 | 29 | 14.4\% |
| Prek Tal | 779 | 156 | 19 | 12.2\% |
| Kampong Chin | 1,432 | 165 | 23 | 13.9\% |
| Total : | 4,968 | 839 | 112 | 13.3\% |

## 6. INTERVIEWING

As aforementioned, prior to initiating the survey, a workshop was organized to give an orientation for the surveying methodology on $14^{\text {lh }}$ March 2005 in Shihanoukville for all survey interviewers.

The interview was conducted with 4 to 5 pairs of interviewers consisting of one SEAFDEC/TD researcher and one DOF officer in principle. Two DOF officers, however, could manage to conduct interviewing alone from the second day, which facilitated the survey to a great extent. The interviewers who participated in the survey are shown in the following list.

## DOF Cambodia

1. Mr. Pich Sereywath
2. Mr. Lim Nguonkruy
3. Mr. Seng Leang
4. Mr. Ti Boros
5. Mr. Nen Chamreaun
6. Mr. Kang Maxey

SEAFDEC/TD

1. Mr. Sei Etoh
2. Dr. Taweekiet Amornpiyakrit
3. Ms. Sumitra Ruangsivakul
4. Ms. Siriporn Pradit
5. Ms. Siriporn Pangsorn

Fisheries Officer, Phnom Penh
Fisheries Officer, Phnom Penh
Fisheries Officer, Phnom Penh
Fisheries Officer, Sihanoukville
Fisheries Officer, Sihanoukville
Fisheries Officer, Sihanoukville

## Project Leader

Fishing gear Technology/Training Div.
Socio-economic / Research Div.
Socio-economic / Research Div.
Socio-economic / Research Div.

The interviews took place over three days from $15^{\text {th }}-17^{\text {th }}$ March 2005 with the following schedule.

- $15^{\text {th }}$ March: Prek Pros (30 interviews)
- $16^{\text {th }}$ March : Prek Pros ( 11 interviews) and Prek Sangke (29 interviews)
- $17^{\text {dh }}$ March : Prek Tal (19 interviews) and Kampong Chin (23 interviews)


## 7. RESULT

The raw data was compiled and processed by each topic in line with the categorized analytical data and information sheets as shown in Annex 4. The result of analysis is shown in detail in Annex 5. Based upon these figures, the narrative analysis is made as follows.

## Part -I: General information

Part I-1: Fishermen's age variation

1. By village, the fishermen age in Prek Pros has percentages in the range of $25-35$ years ( $19.5 \%$ ), $36-45$ years ( $43.9 \%$ ) followed by $46-55$ years ( $24.4 \%$ ), $56-65$ years ( $9.8 \%$ ), and $66-75$ years $(2.4 \%)$ respectively. Prek Sangke village the age range is highest in the range of $36-45(37.9 \%), 25-$ 35 years ( $31.0 \%$ ), $16-24$ years $(13.8 \%), 56-65$ years ( $10.3 \%$ ), and $46-55$ years $(6.9 \%)$ respectively. In the Prek Tal village the highest age range is between $16-24$ years ( $42.1 \%$ ); followed by $36-45$ years ( $21.1 \%$ ), $46-55$ years ( $10.5 \%$ ), $56-65$ years ( $10.5 \%$ ), $25-35$ years ( $10.5 \%$ ) and $66-75$ years ( $5.3 \%$ ). Kampong Chin village the highest age range is between $36-45$ years $(56.5 \%), 25-35$ years $(21.7 \%), 16-24$ years ( $13.0 \%$ ), and $46-55$ years ( $8.7 \%$ ) respectively.
2. As a whole, the age of fishermen which has the highest percentage in range is $36-45$ years $(41.1 \%)$, followed by $25-35$ years ( $21.4 \%$ ), $46-55$ years ( $14.3 \%$ ), 16-24 years(13.4\%), $56-65$ years ( $8.0 \%$ ) and 66-75 years ( $1.8 \%$ ) respectively

## Part I-2: Marital status

1. In total, the fishers' marital status is married ( $90.2 \%$ ) followed by single ( $5.4 \%$ ), widow ( $3.6 \%$ ) and widower $(0.9 \%)$ respectively.
2. In total, the fishers' marital status by sex is married ( $93.4 \%$ ), single ( $5.3 \%$ ) and widower ( $1.3 \%$ ) for male, while married ( $83.3 \%$ ), single ( $5.6 \%$ ) and widow (11.1\%) for female.

## Part 1-3: Occupations of fishermen

1. By village, in every village the highest percent of occupations is fisheries combined with agriculture which is highest in Prek Pros ( $17.5 \%$ ), Prek Sangke ( $9.8 \%$ ), Prek Tal ( $8.4 \%$ ) and Kampong Chin (5.6\%).
2. In total, the occupation of fishers which has the highest percentage is fisheries combined with agriculture ( $41.3 \%$ ), fisheries only ( $21.7 \%$ ), fisheries combined with livestock ( $12.6 \%$ ), fisheries combined with general labour ( $10.5 \%$ ), others $(7.0 \%$ ), fisheries combined with trading ( $4.9 \%$ ) and fisheries and processing ( $2.1 \%$ ) respectively.
3. By age group, the fishers in the age range of $36-45$ years has a highest number of people who involve in several occupations, follow with the age range of $25-35$ years, $46-55$ years, $16-24$ years, $56-65$ years and $66-75$ years, respectively.
4. No fisher is engaged in aquaculture business even in the combined form.
5. Out of fishers who are engaged in fisheries business, $76.7 \%$ of them are making their livings with other occupations like agriculture (mostly rice cultivation) and livestock farming and only $23.3 \%$ of them are full-time fishers.
6. Some fishers have multi-combined occupations with fisheries. This resulted in the total fishers' number of 143 against 112 interviewers.
7. Ratios in full-time fishers and part-time fishers by the age group are $31.6 \%$ against $68.4 \%$ in 16-24 years, $28.6 \%$ against $71.4 \%$ in $25-35$ years, $23.6 \%$ against $76.4 \%$ in $36-45$ years, $15.8 \%$ against $84.2 \%$ in $46-55,11.1 \%$ against $88.9 \%$ in $56-65$ years and $0 \%$ against $100 \%$ in $66-75$ years.
8. By village, the ratios of full-time fishers in interviewees are $10.0 \%, 41.4 \%, 31.6 \%$ and $39.1 \%$ in Prek Pros, Prek Sangke, Prek Tal and Kampong Chin respectively.

## Part I-4: Number of family/child(ren) per household

1. Prek Pros village has the highest percent of family with child(ren) ( $85.4 \%$ ), followed by Kampong Chin ( $82.6 \%$ ), Prek Sanke ( $79.3 \%$ ) and Prek Tal ( $73.3 \%$ ).
2. In total, the families with child(ren) occupy $81.2 \%$ and without child is $18.8 \%$.
3. The average number of children in a household varies from 3.2 (Prek Pros) to 2.4 (Prek Tals) with the mean figure of 2.9.
4. The average number of family members is 6.4 varying from 7.4 to 5.1

## Part I-5: Education level of fishermen

1. By village, the fishers who have no institutional education background are dominant in Prek Tal (52.6\%) followed by Kampong Chin (27.3\%), Prek Sangke (21.4\%) and Prek Pros (14.6\%). The fishers whom obtained the education up to primary school are of highest in Kampong Chin (59.1\%) followed by Prek Pros (58.5\%), Prek Sangke (57.1\%) and Prek Tal (31.6\%).The fishers who are educated up to lower secondary school are of highest in Prek Pros (24.4\%) followed by Prek Sangke ( $17.9 \%$ ), Prek Tal (15.8\%) and Kampong Chin (13.6\%). The fishermen who are educated to Upper secondary school are only two persons each from Prek Pros and Prek Sangke.
2. As a whole, the majority of fishers are educated in primary schools ( $53.6 \%$ ) followed by lower secondary schools ( $19.1 \%$ ) and upper secondary school ( $1.8 \%$ ). The non-educated fishers reach to $25.2 \%$.
3. By age group, the age range of 66-75 years bears 100 percent with a non-educational background followed by $56-65$ years ( $33.3 \%$ ) and 16-24 years ( $33.3 \%$ ), 46-55 years ( $26.7 \%$ ), $36-45$ years ( $22.2 \%$ ) and $25-35$ years ( $16.7 \%$ ).
4. On the other hand, the majority of the age range $46-55$ years received primary school education by 73.3.\% followed by $25-35$ years ( $58.3 \%$ ), 36-45 years ( $57.8 \%$ ), $16-24$ years ( $40.0 \%$ ) and $56-65$ years (22.2\%).
5. For lower secondary education, $33.3 \%$ of fishers with a $56-65$ years age range enjoy followed by $16-24$ years ( $26.7 \%$ ), 25-35 years ( $20.8 \%$ ) and $36-45$ years ( $20.0 \%$ ).

## Part I-6: Average monthly income of fishers

1. For the average monthly income by age group, the fishers with the age range of $16-24$ years enjoy the highest income (USD 53.0) prominently followed by the age range of 46-55 years (USD 32.7), 66-75 years (USD 28.7), 25-35 years (USD 24.50) and 56-65 years (USD 18.37) respectively.
2. The fishers working in the combined business of trading fetch the highest income by USD 70.0 followed by full-time fishers (USD 41.4), part-time fishers combined with agriculture (USD 35.2), with general labour (USD 16.3), with processing (USD 13.8) and with livestock farming (USD 4.7).
3. Prek Pros is enjoying the highest average income (USD 31.1) followed by Kampomg Chin (USD 29.6), Prek Tal (USD 27.4) and Prek Pros (USD 24.2).
4. The mean monthly income for a household is

## Part I-7: Relationship between education level and monthly incomes

1. The average monthly income a for non-educated, primary school graduate, lower school graduate and upper school graduate are USD $61,74,83$ and 71 respectively.

## Part I-8: Asset ownership by fishermen

1. Prek Sange village comprises a highest number of boat owners ( $86.2 \%$ ) followed by Prek Tal (73.7\%), Kampong Chin (65.2\%) and Prek Pros (56.1\%).
2. Apart from boats, the other assets that most fishers occupy are houses ( $97.0 \%$ ), land ( $91.8 \%$ ), farmland ( $61.3 \%$ ), cattle ( $32.0 \%$ ), buffalos ( $22.9 \%$ ), chickens ( $15.0 \%$ ), motorbikes (13.7), bicycles (9.5\%), ducks ( $1.3 \%$ ) and others ( $6.0 \%$ ).
3. No fisher owns a vehicle.
4. The ownership of house and land varies from $93.3 \%, 95.8 \%, 97.8 \%, 100 \%$, and $100 \%$ to $100 \%$ for the age group of $16-24,25-35,36-45,46-55,56-65$ and $66-75$ respectively.
5. There is no conspicuous trend for ownerships of other properties by age groups except in the age group of 66-75 years who do not own any property other than houses, land and farms.

Preliminary Socio-Economic Survey in Commune Teuk Thla, Sihanoukville, Cambodia

## Part I-9: Region

1. Of 112 interviewees, 69 fishers ( $61.6 \%$ ) are Buddhist, 42 (37.5\%) are Muslim and only $1(0.9 \%)$ is Christian.
2. Almost $100 \%$ population are Buddhist both in Prek Pros and kampong Chin, while in Prek Sanke and Prek Tal Muslim is overwhelmingly predominant ( 82.8 and $94.7 \%$ respectively).

## Part II : Engagement in the Fisheries Sector

## Part II -1 : Fishing boat

1. Out of the total number of interviewees ( 112 persons), 109 persons were fishers. Among these fishers, 32 persons ( $29.4 \%$ ) do fishing without boats. The remaining 77 fishers ( $70.6 \%$ ) do fishing with boats.
2. The total number of fishing boats is 79 ( 2 fishers own 2 boats each). Out of these 79 boats, 58 boats $(73.4 \%$ ) are not motorized and the remaining 21 boats ( $26.6 \%$ ) are motorized with either outboard motors (OBM) or inboard motors (IBM) with the numbers of 17 and 4 respectively. The ratios of OBM and IBM in all fishing boats are $21.5 \%$ and $5.1 \%$ respectively.
3. Of these motorized boats (non-motorized boats are not liable for obtaining licenses from DOF Cambodia), only 2 boats( $9.5 \%$ ) are licensed while the remaining 19 boats ( $90.5 \%$ ) are unlicensed.

## Part II -2 : Fishing gear used

1. 12 different types of fishing gear prevail in the project operational area. These are: Crab Trap ( $39.1 \%$ ), hand push net ( $31.2 \%$ ), hand shellfish collection ( $9.4 \%$ ), fish gill net ( $7.2 \%$ ), crab gillnet ( $2.9 \%$ ), mullet gillnet ( $2.9 \%$ ), mackerel gillnet ( $2.2 \%$ ), hand crab fishing ( $1.4 \%$ ), hooks and lines fishing $(1.4 \%)$, shrimp gillnet $(0.7 \%)$, set bag-net / stow net $(0.7)$ and cast net $(0.7 \%)$.
2. The most popular fishing; i.e. crab trap, is operated by non-motorized boats $(68.5 \%)$, motorized boats ( $29.6 \%$ ) and foot fishers ( $1.9 \%$ ).
3. Push net are operated by foot fishers ( $55.8 \%$ ), non-motorized boats ( $39.5 \%$ ) and OBM boats (4.7\%).
4. Gill net fishing is operated by non-motorized boats ( $70 \%$ ) and OBM boats ( $30 \%$ ).

## Part II -3 : Fishing gear used/type of fishing boat and number of crew

1. The highest number of crew onboard is 3 persons with shrimp gillnet gear and mackerel gillnet which are operated by OBM boat. The crew members belong to the same family of the owner and are not employed crew.
2. 2 crew are engaged in hand fishing shellfish, which are operated with non-motorized boats and in crab trap fishing and fish gillnetting, which are operated by OBM boats.
3. Other than the above, fishing operations are carried out with a single crew.

## Part II -4 : Fishing season/fishing days/fishing hours by fishing method

1. Most interviewees answer that fishing seasons are through the year round except shrimp gillnet fishing ( 5 months per year).
2. The highest fishing days per year is 283 days in crab tarp fishing, followed by 276 days in mullet gillnet, 255 days in fish gillnet, 240 days in mackerel gillnet and set bag-net, 235 days in hand push net and 227 days in crab gillnet. The fishing days in shrimp gillnet and crab hand fishing are as short as 35 and 72 days respectively.
3. Average fishing hours per days of every gear is varies from $5-10.5$ hours.

## Part II -5: Average fish catch per type of boat/day

1. The average fish catch per trip (day) varies from $1.7-20 \mathrm{~kg}$. The detail is as follows; crab gillnet average catch: $5 \mathrm{~kg} /$ trip, shrimp gillnet: $10 \mathrm{~kg} /$ trip, crab trap: from $1-5.1 \mathrm{~kg} /$ trip depending on the types of fishing boat, fish gillnet: $4.1-4.7 \mathrm{~kg} /$ trip, mackerel gillnet: $20 \mathrm{~kg} /$ trip, mullet gillnet: $6 \mathrm{~kg} /$ trip, hand push net: $3.4-4.2 \mathrm{~kg} /$ trip, hand crab fishing: $1.7 \mathrm{~kg} /$ trip, hand shell-fishing: $2-6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{trip}$ and set bagnet: $8 \mathrm{~kg} /$ trip.

## Part II-6: Disposal of fishing catches

1. Fish catches are disposed in three ways: family consumption - $6.4 \%$, for sale $-85.5 \%$ and processing - $8.1 \%$

## Part II -7: Marketing outlets of fish catches

1. In total, $95 \%$ of fish are marketed through middlemen and the remaining $5 \%$ are sold through local markets.

## Part II -8: Average fish sales

1. Average fish sales per boat/day by a different type of fishing method varied from 1 to 20 Kg , The detail is as follows; crab gillnet: 4.6 kg , shrimp gillnet: 8.0 kg , crab trap: from $1.0-5.1 \mathrm{~kg}$ depending on the types of fishing boat, fish gillnet: 4.0 kg , mackerel gillnet: 20 kg , mullet gillnet: 5.0 kg , hand push net: $2.8-3.3 \mathrm{~kg}$, hand crab fishing: 1.5 kg and hand shell-fishing: $1.6-6.0 \mathrm{~kg}$.

## Part II -9 : Economics of fishing operation

1. The economic status in fishing operation is tabulated as follows.

Table 2 : Monthly Profit and loss calculation

| No. | Fishing method | Sales <br> (USD) * | Fishing * <br> operation |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Main- <br> tenance | Sub-total | Net income <br> (USD) | Remarks |  |  |  |
| 1 | Crab gillnet | 132.0 | 16.0 | 5.9 | 21.9 | 110.1 | NM boat |
| 2 | Shrimp gillnet | 600.0 | 270.0 | 11.5 | 281.5 | 318.5 | OBM boat |
| 3 | Crab trap | 62.0 | 20.0 | 6.3 | 26.3 | 35.7 | NM boat |
| 4 | Fish gillnet | 42.0 | 8.0 | 5.3 | 13.3 | 28.7 | NM boat |
| 5 | Mackerel gillnet | 120.0 | 26.0 | 2.8 | 28.8 | 91.2 | OBM boat |
| 6 | Mullet gillnet | 68.0 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 9.8 | 58.2 | NM boat |
| 7 | Hand push net | 64.0 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 60.9 | Foot fisher |
| 8 | Hand crab fishing | 50.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 48.0 | Foot fisher |
| 9 | Hand shell <br> fishing | 32.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 28.0 | Foot fisher |
| 10 | Set bag net | 26.0 | 10.0 | 6.4 | 16.4 | 9.6 | NM boat |

[^0]
## Part II-10: Source of credit and amount

1. $48.0 \%$ of fishers enjoy loans in one way or another, while the rest $51.4 \%$ are not.
2. There are three sources of credit; i.e. Commercial Bank ( $40 \%$ ), middlemen $(40 \%)$ and other sources like relatives ( $20 \%$ ) and no government loan agency functions in the area.
3. The amount of loan to be used for the fisheries purpose varies from USD 61.8-1,050.0 and for the non-fisheries purpose varies from USD 152.5-250.0.
4. By village, the Prek Pros village is enjoying the highest Oamount of loan (USD 1,965 ) followed by Kampong Chin (USD 1,146), Prek Sangke (USD 791) and Prek Tal (USD 2).
5. Out the total loan credited by 4 villages, $84.0 \%$ is used for the fisheries purpose while the balance $16.0 \%$ is for non-fisheries purpose.

## Part III : Gender role through time-consumed in working

## Part III - 1: Involvement of women in fisheries

1. About $30-40 \%$ women in the three villages; i.e. Prek Pros, Prek Sangke and Prek Tal, are involved in fishing gear repair and preparation. In Kampong Chin, no contribution to fishing gear repair and preparation by woman is made.
2. About $10-20 \%$ women in all villages are involved in fishing activities.
3. About $10-24 \%$ women in all villages are involved in fish trading.
4. About $10-17 \%$ women in the three villages; Prek Pros, Prek Tal and Kampong Chin are involved in general labouring work. No woman in Prek Sangke is involved in this work.
5. Less than $10 \%$ women in the three villages; i.e. Prek Pros, Prek Sangke and Kampong Chin, are involved in fish processing work. But, no woman contributes to it in the village of Prek Tal.
6. No contribution is made to fish culturing as no household participated in this survey is engaged in aquaculture.
7. To fishing gear repair and preparation work, the women contribute about 12 days per month and about 3 hours a day on average.
8. To fishing activity, the women contribute about 16 days a month and about 12 hours per day on average.
9. To fish trading, the women contribute about 18 days a month and about one-hour per day.
10. To fish processing, the women contribute about 18 days a month and about 4 hours a day.
11. By total, the involvement of women in fisheries can be divided into fishing gear repair and preparation $42 \%$, fish trading $27 \%$, fishing $23 \%$ and fish processing $8 \%$.

Part III - 2 : Involvement of woman in household work and other businesses

1. In almost all household, the housewives are involved in household work every day without a break for over 8 hours a day on average.
2. About $10 \%$ housewives are engaged in labouring work other than fisheries.

## Part III: 3 Involvement of men in fisheries

1. $63.4 \%$ men are involved in fishing gear repair and preparation for 3.7 hours a day with 20.4 days a month on average followed by $48.2 \%$ for fishing activity for 8.2 hours a day with 22.5 days a month, $9.8 \%$ for fish trading for 1.1 hours a day with 21.4 days a month and $3.3 \%$ for fish processing for 5.7 hours a day with 17.0 days a month.

Part III - 4: Involvement of men in household work and other businesses

1. $15 \%$ men are involved in labouring work or other work than fisheries as side business for 6.3 hours a day with 14.3 days a month on average..
2. $79.0 \%$ men contribute house hold work to their families for 3.4 hours with 20.2 days a month..

## Part IV : Role of fishermen participation in Community Fisheries (CF) activities or other fishery or community related activities

## Part IV - 1: Membership of Community Fisheries (CF) and other groups

1. By village, the membership of Community Fisheries (CF) is high in Prek Sangke ( $79 \%$ ), followed by Prek $\operatorname{Pros}(71 \%)$, $\operatorname{Prek} \operatorname{Tal}(41 \%)$ and Kampong Chin( $17 \%$ ).
2. In total, the member of CF is $58 \%$ and non-CF members was $42 \%$.
3. Only one person in Prek Pros village belongs to other group (political party member) in addition to the CF member. Other than him, no fisher surveyed belongs to any group but CF

Part IV - 2 : Involvement in organizational activities (day/hour per month/year)

1. In total, the CF's member is involved in the patrolling activity ( $71 \%$ ) and involved in the CF meeting (29\%).
2. The CF meeting is normally summoned as much as 30 times a year and a meeting lasts for 4 hours per time (or day). For patrolling activity, it is normally conducted 20 days per month and for 3 hours a day on average.

Part IV - 3 : Participation in community development works classified by age group

1. In total, participation in the patrolling activity by CF members varies depending on age groups; i.e. the age group $36-45$ years ( $14.3 \%$ ) is highest followed by $25-35$ years ( $5.4 \%$ ), $46-55$ years $(3.6 \%), 56-65$ years $(3.6 \%), 16-24$ years ( $1.8 \%$ ) and $66-75$ years $(0 \%)$.
2. In total, the participation to the CF meeting by the members varies as follows; the age group 3645 years ( $28.6 \%$ ) followed by $25-35$ years ( $17.9 \%$ ), $56-65$ years ( $12.5 \%$ ), $46-55$ years ( $10.7 \%$ ), $16-24$ years $(1.8 \%)$ and $66-75$ years $(0 \%)$.

Part IV - 4: Incentive for member's participation

1. Among the members of CF , the highest percentage of people that participate in CF activities was Kampong Chin village ( $100 \%$ ); followed by Prek Sangke ( $74 \%$ ), Prek Pros ( $72 \%$ ) and Prek Tal (67\%).
2. There is no incentive provided to all CF members when they participate in the CF activities.
3. For participation in the other group activities, some incentive is provided in term of kind like lunch etc.

## Part V: Problems, interests, needs and future expectations

## Problems

## Issues in fishery

1. The most serious problem that fishers in Teuk Thla Sangkat are currently facing is "intrusion by illegal or industrial fishing boats" of which almost $80 \%$ of interviewees complain and also some fishers ( 3.6 percent) complain of no intervention by the authorities.
2. Over $20 \%$ of interviewees are concerned about the decline in fisheries resources and climate changes.
3. About $7 \%$ of the interviewees complain of the price hike of bait fish especially for crab fishers.
4. About $5 \%$ of them complain of obsolete or small fishing boats and environmental degradation.

Issues in Socio-economic / infrastructure
5. About $17 \%$ of them complain of a lack of a water supply system followed by medical care facilities ( $13 \%$ ), electricity supply system ( $8 \%$ ), road connections ( $4 \%$ ), educational facilities ( $2 \%$ ) etc. Some ( $1 \%$ ) complain of the non-existence of toilet facilities in the houses.
6. About $13 \%$ of them complain of shortage of income to support the families followed by no other alternative jobs exist than fisheries (5\%), no job opportunities for the family ( $1 \%$ ) and no language education ( $1 \%$ ).
Issues in agriculture and livestock
7. The most serious problem lies in seawater flow into the paddy field crossing over the dike of which $4 \%$ of them complain, followed by the shortage of funds to procure animals (3\%) and lack of knowledge on animal raising ( $1 \%$ ).

## Interest

Issues in fisheries

1. Most of them are interested in having training in various subjects like new or improved fishing methods ( $37 \%$ ), fish processing ( $14 \%$ ), marine engine repair and maintenance ( $13 \%$ ), aquaculture (5\%), fishery resources management (5\%), boat building (3\%), extension services ( $1 \%$ ), fish trading $(1 \%)$ and fisheries regulation ( $1 \%$ ).
Issues in socio-economics / infrastructure
2. Similarly most of them are interested in having training in various subjects like a guide to start a business ( $8 \%$ ), tailoring ( $6 \%$ ), barbering ( $2 \%$ ), cooking ( $1 \%$ ) etc.
3. Some are interested in learning English (4\%), continuation of school (1\%), participation in women's activities ( $1 \%$ ) etc.

## Immediate needs

## Issues in fisheries

1. Many interviewees express their need in the procurement of motorized/ larger fishing boats ( $11 \%$ ) followed by more fishing gear ( $8 \%$ ).
2. About $6 \%$ of them express their need for the authorities to intervene in the prevention of illegal fishing.
3. About $3 \%$ of them express their need to procure an engine for the patrolling boat and also a CF premises (2\%)
4. Some ( $2 \%$ ) demand well organized marketing channels.
5. Some (2\%) request to set up a public or CF's credit scheme with low interest rates.

Issues in socio-economics / infrastructure
6. About $63 \%$ of them express their acute need to install a water supply system followed by an electric supply system (34\%) and construct clinics / hospitals (35\%) and schools (5\%).
7. Some ( $21 \%$ ) express their need to construct toilet facilities in their own houses.
8. Also road construction ( $10 \%$ ) and a public transportation network ( $2 \%$ ) are demanded.

Issues in agriculture and livestock
9. About $5 \%$ of them request construction of dikes to protect seawater flow into paddy fields.
10. About $3 \%$ of them demand more spacious land to cultivate ( $3 \%$ ), procurement of more fertilizer (3\%), development of an irrigation system (3\%) etc.

## Future expectations

Topies in fisheries

1. About $51 \%$ of the fishers interviewed have desires to change their occupations to animal husbandry ( $15 \%$ ) followed by factory labourers ( $14 \%$ ), agriculture ( $5 \%$ ), aquaculture ( $5 \%$ ), mechanics / drivers ( $3 \%$ ), trading ( $2 \%$ ) and any other jobs than fisheries $(7 \%)$.
2. But, still $11 \%$ of them express their desire to continue fishing and some ( $5 \%$ ) are willing to continue subject to improvement of fishing methods.
3. Some ( $16 \%$ ) of them express ambitious views in the possibility to operate larger and motorized fishing boats ( $12 \%$ ) and to establish fish processing factories ( $4 \%$ ).
4. Some ( $8 \%$ ) of them express their wish that a well controlled coastal resources management system be introduced.
Topics in Socio-economics/infrastructure
5. Most interviewees are concerned for their families like providing job opportunities ( $15 \%$ ), good education ( $15 \%$ ), construction of spacious houses ( $4 \%$ ), more civilized lives ( $2 \%$ ) and ensuring sufficient incomes to sustain even modest lives ( $1 \%$ ) etc.
Topics in agriculture/livestock
6. About $5 \%$ of them have an expectation to obtain more animals and more spacious land ( $2 \%$ )
7. Only minor though, $1 \%$ of them expect to control strict cutting of trees.

## 8. FINDINGS AND ANLYTICAL OBSERVATIONS

Based upon the above analytical results, the following findings and observations were derived.

## Part I: General information

Age groups of fishermen

1. 41 percent of fishermen surveyed are aged between $36-45$ years which is almost 10 years younger compared with those in Malaysia and Thailand. The fishermen under 45 years old occupy $75.9 \%$ while over 45 are only $24.1 \%$. (Comparison with the national average age should be made.)
2. It is noteworthy that the age group of 16-24 years is predominant in Prek Tal and followed by the age group of 46-55 unlike the other three villages. There must be some underlying reasons for this phenomenon which should be clarified.
3. The average age of fishers surveyed is 38.8 years old.

Marital status
4. Most ( 94 percent) male heads of households surveyed are married, one is single and two widowers.
5. About $11 \%$ of female households are widows, which is much higher compared with the case of widowers.
Occupation
6. Only 23 percent of the fishers surveyed are exclusively engaged in fisheries activities. Others are engaged as part-time fishers with other occupations like agriculture (44 percent), livestock farming ( 14 percent), general labour ( 11 percent), trading ( 5 percent) and processing ( 2 percent). Some of them ( 31 fishers at maximum) are engaged in multi-combined occupations with fisheries.
7. There is a clear tendency in the correlation between full-time fishers against part-time fishers by the age group; aged fishers tended to opt for combined occupations. (This is contrary to the trend of most other countries.)
8. Prek Sangke village is more dependent on fisheries followed by Kampong Chin. Combined occupations are more prevalent in Prek Pros followed by Prek Tal.
Family structure
9. About 20 percent of fishing households surveyed have no children.
10. About 80 percent of households surveyed have child(ren).
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11. The average number of children in the households surveyed is 2.9 persons varying from 3.2 to 2.4 .
12. The average of family members is 6.4 persons per household.

Education
13. 54 percent of fishermen surveyed had completed primary school, 19 percent had completed lower secondary and 2 percent upper secondary school.
14. Higher education levels were more evident in younger age groups in general except the youngest age group 16-24 years. Almost one third of them are not educated at all.
Monthly income
15. The average monthly income for the target group in the project operational area is USD 28.6 per household.
16. Fishers engaged in trading as part-time occupation earn the most, followed by full-time fishers. It is noticeable that the income level of full-time fishers is higher than the part-time fishers except for those working with a trading business.
17. There are wide variations in income level among different occupations ranging from USD 70.0 (part-time fishers working with trade) to USD 4.7 (part-time fishers working with livestock farming).
18. There is some difference in income level among four villages varying from USD 31.1 to USD 24.2.
19. There is a general trend that more educated fishers earn more, except in the age group of 6675 which is commonly treated as the workforce of easy or retired job and naturally the average income is comparatively lower than the younger groups. This trend is more conspicuous in the younger age groups under 35 years.
Ownership of fishing boats and other assets
20. According to the survey, 68.8 percent of fishermen are boat owners but no significant variation of ownership by the age groups was noticed.
21. Most fishermen ( $97.0 \%$ ) surveyed lived in their own homes with land.
22. More than a half of the fishermen ( $61.3 \%$ ) surveyed own the farm land they are living on.
23. The major transportation means in the villages are bicycles and motorbikes but the possession of those is limited to only $13.7 \%$ and $9.5 \%$ for motorbikes and bicycles respectively.
24. About one third of fishers own cattle.
25. There is no noticeable difference of the ownerships of properties by age group.
26. There is no noticeable difference in distribution of properties among 4 villages.

## Religion

27. In the area, $61 \%$ fishers are Buddhist, $37 \%$ are Muslim and Christian is negligible to $0.9 \%$.
28. Among those, Buddhist population are extremely (almost $100 \%$ ) predominant both in Prek Pros and Kampong Chin, while the majority of Muslim population are living in both Prek Sangke and Prek Tal.

## Part II: Engagement in the fisheries sector

## Fishing boats

1. Almost 70 percent of fishers (as a unit of household) are boat owners and no employed crew exist. (N.B. There is some discrepancy in the figures with the compiled data in Part I-Ownership of fishing boats and other assets).
2. Almost $30 \%$ fisheries are engaged in capture fishing without using boats.
3. Of these motorized boats, over $80 \%$ are motorized with out-board motors (OBM) and $20 \%$ with inboard motors..
4. Almost 90 percent of the fishing vessels were unlicensed.
5. IBM boats are utilized only for crab trap fishing and OBM boats are mainly used for crab trap fishing and gillnet fishing.

## Fishing method

6. There are 12 fishing methods being employed in the project operational area. These are; crab trap, hand push net, hand shellfish collection, fish gill-net, crab gillnet, mullet gillnet, mackerel gillnet, hand crab fishing, hook \& line fishing, shrimp gillnet, set bag-net and cast net in order of common use.
7. Hand push nets are mainly operated by either foot fishers or non-motorized fishing boats. Also, some OBM boats are also in operation.
8. Most fishing operations using fishing boats are carried out with a single crew except shrimp gillnet, crab trap, fish gillnet and mackerel gillnet fishing which are operated by $2-3 \mathrm{crew}$ who are normally from the same family of the owner (captain).
Fishing efforts
9. Most fishing is carried out throughout the year round except shrimp gillnet fishing which is limited to 5 months (due to the regulation ??).
10. The fishing days per year vary from 283 days to 227 days depending on the fishing methods with the average of 252.7 days.
11. Fishing hours per a fishing trip varied depending on fishing methods from 5 hours to 10.5 hours within a day.
Fish catch
12. The mean fish catch per boat / day daily fish sales are listed as follows;

Table 3. : Fish catch and sales

| Fishing methods Dails | Daily catch ( Kg ) | Daily sales (Kg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Crab gillnet | 5.0 | 4.6 |
| - Shrimp gillnet | 10.0 | 8.0 |
| - Crab trap | $1.0-5.1$ | $1.0-5.1$ |
| - Fish gillnet | 4.1-4.7 | 4.0 |
| - Mackerel gillnet | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| - Mullet gillnet | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| - Hand push net | 3.4-4.2 | $2.8-3.3$ |
| - Hand Crab fishing | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| - Hand shell fishing | $2.0-6.0$ | 1.6-6.0 |
| - Set bagnet (small shrimp) | p) 8.0 | 0 (All are processed) |

## Fish distribution and marketing

13. The majority of fish catch are disposed for sale, leaving only $6.4 \%$ and $8.1 \%$ for family consumption and further processing.
14. Fish catches are marketed through middlemen at the rate of $95 \%$ while the remainder is sold at local markets.
Credit scheme
15. Almost half of fishers enjoy loan in one way or another, while the rest are not.
16. There are three sources that provide fishers with loans; e.g. Commercial Bank, middlemen and other sources like relatives, but no appropriate government agency is functioning.
17. About $84 \%$ of a loan is used for fisheries purpose.

Income
18. The monthly net incomes per boat or a fisher in case of foot fisher are calculated in the Table 4 below.
19. Among all types of fishing method, the crab gillnet and shrimp gillnet fishing attract a better income.

Preliminary Socio-Economic Survey in Commune Teuk Thla, Sihanoukville. Cambodia

Table 4 : Net income by fishing operation

| Fishing methods | Net income(USD) | Fishing boat | No. of crew | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Crab gillnet | 110.1 | NM boat | 1 |  |
| - Shrimp gillnet | 318.5 | OBM boat | 3 |  |
| - Crab trap | 35.7 | NM boat | 1 |  |
| - Fish gillnet | 28.7 | NM boat | 1 |  |
| - Mackerel gillnet | 91.2 | OBM boat | 3 |  |
| - Mullet gillnet | 58.2 | NM boat | 1 |  |
| - Hand push net | 60.9 | Foot fisher | 1 |  |
| - Hand Crab fishing | 48.0 | Foot fisher | 1 |  |
| - Hand shell fishing | 28.0 | Foot fisher | 1 |  |
| - Set bagnet | 9.6 | NM boat | 1 | Small shrim |

## Part III: Gender roles

1. About 10 percent of women interviewed have work outside the home.
2. Most fishermen are involved in various work like fishing gear repairing and preparation, fish trading, fish processing etc in addition to their fishing activities.
3. About $80 \%$ of the fishermen interviewed, except widowers, spent between 3 and 4 hours a day for over 20 days a month on household work.

## Part IV: Fishermen's participation in social organizations

## Membership of Community Fisheries

1. $58 \%$ of fishers surveyed are members of CF .
2. Those fishers are exclusively members of CF. It seems that no other group exists in the communities.
3. Among the 65 members of CF out of the 112 interviewees, two are committee members and the rest are ordinary members.
4. The proportion of member for CF is higher in Prek Sangke (79.3\%), followed by Prek Pros but lower in Prek Tal and Kampong Chin (only 17.4\%).
Activity of Community Fisheries
5. About 3 fourths of CF members dedicate to patrolling for around 3 hours a day with 20 days' participation per month.
6. The CF meeting are fairly frequently held; about 30 times a year, and about $30 \%$ fishermen participated in the FC meeting.
7. The most dedicated age group for participation in the community activities like patrolling and the CF meeting is the one $36-45$ years followed by $25-35$ years and $56-65$ years. The younger age group like the 16-24 years is not eager.
8. The most dedicated village for participation in the community activities is Kampong Chin followed by the other three villages which show more or less the same degree of attendance.

## Part V : Problems, interests, needs and future expectations

Problems

1. The most serious problem for the fishers in the area is encroachment by illegal or industrial fishing boats.
2. The fishers feel that the authority has put little effort into preventing this illegal fishing.
3. The fishermen feel concerned about dwindling fisheries resources and environmental degradation.
4. Almost 70 percent fishers are boat owners. This is primarily due to the fact that the fishing boats in the area are generally small and operated by $1-2$ fishers. There is no employed crew as such, because most fishing is carried out within family members. About 30 percent of the fishers are engaged in capture fishing without a boat. This peculiarity should be taken into account in fisheries development in the area.
5. Almost one fourth of fishing boats are non-motorized. The remaining ones are motorized by either in-board engines ( $5.1 \%$ ) or out-board engines ( $21.5 \%$ ). However, $90 \%$ of them are not licensed. This should be clarified when the project begins.
6. There are 12 fishing methods prevailing in the area. Among these, the most popular one is crab trap fishing.
7. The fishers are generally engaged in fishing throughout the year round without distinct off-seasons. The average fishing days varied from 227 to 283 days depending fishing methods, which are relatively high. The average fishing hours varied from $5-11$ hours per day.
8. The average fish catch per boat per day varies from 1.7 to 20.0 Kg depending on the fishing methods.
9. The majority of fish catch are marketed through the middlemen and a few are brought to the markets in a fresh form. Only $6 \%$ are turned to further processing. This proved the traditionally strong ties with the middlemen. The project should put this factor into consideration when envisaging improvement of fish handling technique on-board.
10. The highest net income per head is derived from crab gillnet fishing followed by shrimp gillnet fishing and the lowest one is in set-bagnet fishing. The detailed figures in Table 4: Net income by fishing operation should be retained as the baseline data constituting a mile stone.
11. Only 10 percent of housewives are working outside other than housekeeping works. Women's working forces are idling.
12. Fishermen are generally involved in housekeeping work in addition to their professional work.
13. About a half of fishers are the members of Community Fisheries. The proportion of membership varies depending on villages.
14. The majority of the CF members voluntarily participate in the patrolling.
15. The most serious problem for the fishers is encroachment by illegal or industrial fishing boats. The fishers feel that the authorities have put little efforts on it. The project ought to put more efforts to alleviate the situation.
16. The fishers feel that more serious problems lie in the improvement of basic infrastructure necessary to enjoy civilized lives like water and electricity supply and sewage systems, public transportation system, schools and hospitals, which are beyond the project mandate.
17. The fishers are already concerned about dwindling fishery resources and environmental degradation.
18. The major problem for those who engaged in both agriculture and fisheries lies in seawater flowin of the cultivated land. This is also beyond the capacity of the project.
19. Most fisheries feel that reinforcement of patrolling is necessary. The project should exert every effort on it.
20. Creation of a public credit line with marginal interests is necessary.
21. It is so pessimistic to see that more than half of the fishers are willing to change the profession to other occupations like agriculture, animal husbandry etc., remaining only $10 \%$ fishers want to stick to fisheries. Efforts should be so made as to encourage the fishers to continue the professional line. This can be one of indicators to measure the attainment of the project goals.
22. Some data obtained through respective socio-economic survey conducted in the three sister projects are listed in the following table 5 for comparison.

Table 5: Comparison with other sister project sites

| Item <br> (In average) | Project site |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Sihanoukvill <br> (Cambodia) <br> $(2005)$ | Chumphon <br> (Thailand) <br> $(2001)$ | Lnagkawi <br> (Malaysia) <br> $(2003)$ |
| 1. Monthly income per house hold (USD) | 28.6 | 41.8 | 128.8 |
| 2. Age of fisherman (year) | 38.8 | 43 | 48.3 |
| 3. Family member of household (person) | 6.4 | 5 | 6.0 |
| 4. Number of children per household (person) | 2.9 | 2 | 2.5 |
| 5. Ratio of boat-owner (\%) /1 | 70.6 | 90 | 66.0 |
| 6. Fishing day per month (day) | $18.9-23.6$ | $20-25$ | $11.0-26.0$ |
| 7. Fishing hour per day (hour) | $5.0-11.0$ | $10-15$ | - |
| 8. Housekeeping work by married fisherman <br> - Hours per day (hour) <br> - Days per month (day) | 3.5 | - | 3.0 |

11 : In case of Shihanoukville, Almost $30 \%$ fishers are engaged in foot fishing without using boats.
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Note on<br>the Discussion on Base Line Survey in Sihanoukville, Cambodia On 14 March 2005

Sumitra Ruangsivakul<br>$20^{\text {th }}$ March 2005

## 1. List of Participants:

DOF Cambodia

1. Mr. Duong Samath
2. Mr. Pich Sereywath
3. Mr. Lim Nguonkruy
4. Mr. Seng Leang
5. Mr. Ti Boros
6. Mr. Nen Chamreaun
7. Mr. Kang Maxey

SEAFDEC/TD

1. Mr. Sei Etoh
2. Dr. Taweekiet Amornpiyakrit
3. Ms. Sumitra Ruangsivakul
4. Ms. Siriporn Pradit
5. Ms. Siriporn Pangsorn

Chief, Fisheries Office, Sihanoukville
Fisheries Officer, Phnom Penh
Fisheries Officer, Phnom Penh
Fisheries Officer, Phnom Penh
Fisheries Officer, Sihanoukville
Fisheries Officer, Sihanoukville
Fisheries Officer, Sihanoukville

Project Leader<br>Fishing gear Technology<br>Socio-economic<br>Socio-economic<br>Socio-economic

## 2. Meeting content

The meeting discussing the program management of base line survey to be conducted on 15-17 March 2005 was held at the DOF's Sihanoukville Office at 16.30 PM on 14 March. The minutes of meeting is as follows.

1. All participants introduced themselves, from Cambodia and SEAFDEC/TD
2. Mr. Etoh informed on the reason of this survey as saying that this survey will find the problem, the level of fishermen and what kind of future expectation they deem. The result of base line survey will be incorporated in the project document.
3. Mr. Etoh explained the questionnaire detail in line by line. The survey is conducted in the two parts; i.e. Socio-economic and fishing gear surveys.
4. The part of fishing gear, the results will be shown on what the current fishing technology and identification of the improvement need.
5. The method of collection of information along with the questionnaire was explained. It would be carried out by interviewing the randomly selected potential stakeholders by DOF Cambodian staff in local language and recorded by SEAFDEC/TD staff in English. It will take 30 minutes per interview. One team composed of the combination of two persons (one SEAFDEC/TD and DOF' Cambodia).
6. To cover 4 villages, 5 teams of socio economic survey and one team of fishing gear survey will be assigned.

| Conhoneits of questiontara | Panameters | Rationale | Outo xt of the Confoneat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Part I: General information | 1. Age <br> 2. Marital status <br> 3. Number of chillten <br> 4. Efuc ational level <br> 5. Occuration <br> 6. Source of daily income <br> 7. Asset ownershif | Comespondext to Objective (a) | Gererally views information of fishers in the project are a to arrange activities appropriately based on occupation and educational level |
| Part II: Engagement in ficheries Sector | 1. Fishing boat: length and license <br> 2. Type of fishing gear, sescons and roumbers <br> 3. Fishing ground <br> 4. Catch distribution: by source, species and purpose of distribution <br> 5. Total expenditures of fishing operation: by type of fishing gesa <br> 6. Gross income from sale of fish products: by species | Comespondert to Objective (b) | Tiews capacity of fishers in captore fisheries, characteristics in fishing operation by differert types of fishing gear and ary measures taken for coastalresourcesmanazemert |
| Part III: Gender role through time-consuning in employment | 1. Infiching operation, harve sting and house- <br> keeping <br> 2. Duty in household <br> 3. Participation in social and re ligions ceremonies | Corresponderit to Objective (c) | Wievs role of ficher, fishers' wive s and wornen in commanity' e conowic de velopment and resource management, inc huding strengthening of family relationshị. This is useful to provide extension program or training courses for the specific target groups and appropriate time-consoming aIIangement |
| Part IV: Role of Commmity Fisher ies'mambers and its functions in comprority deve lopment and resource maragemert | 1. Members status:member of committee, re gular member <br> 2. Wembers paticipating in Commonity Fisheries (CF), its artivitics sund other ormm antivitions <br> 3. Categories of CFFs activities and staths | Correspondert to Objective (d) | Vievs functional peffomance of Community Fisheries and its activities, howr active andeffective it is to contribute commanity econonnic development and resource manazement. Fishers'partic ipation and level are irformative to arrange activilies with the ir contribution. |
| Part V: Arg' problem encourtared, ary interests errisaged, aryrneeds desired and any expectation in the fotirle dreame | 1. Clarification of problems, needs, interests and expectation in the near fundre | CoIrespondeyt to Objective (e) | Views fichers'parspectives, problems currently facing, funtre plans and dreams, that can measure the degree of negative or positive life styles. |

## Annex 3

## Questionnaire for Preliminary Socio-economic Community Survey in Teuk Tla Commune

Name of interviewer:
Name of Respondent: $\qquad$
Village.
Date of interview:
Part I: General Information

| Age | ................................ year. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Marital Status | 1. Single <br> 2. Married <br> 3. Widow <br> 4. Widower |
| Composition of family Number of Children | 1. Adult (Male......., Female. $\qquad$ Total..........) <br> 2. Child under 15 (Male. $\qquad$ Female $\qquad$ Total .........) |
| Educational Level (The master of the family only) | O Primary school O lower secondary school <br> O Upper secondary school O None, other....... |
| Occupations (The source of income for the household) | Fishing only Aquaculture only Fisheries and agriculture Fisheries and trading Fisheries and laboring Fisheries and processing Fishing and aquaculture Fishing and livestock Others. $\qquad$ |
| Source of total monthly income | Fisheries (..........RYO) O Agriculture (.......RYO) Trading (..........RYO) ○ Laboring (...........RYO) Processing (........RYO) ○ Aquaculture (......RYO) Live stock (.........RYO) O Tourism (.........RYO) Others (..............RYO) |
| Monthly expenditure | 1.Water (......................RYO), <br> 2. Electricity (.............RYO) <br> 3. Foods / drinks $\qquad$ RYO), <br> 4. Clothing (. $\qquad$ RYO), <br> 5. Education (. $\qquad$ RYO), <br> 6. Social affair ( $\qquad$ .RYO) <br> 7. Entertainment $\qquad$ RYO), <br> 8. Transportation $\qquad$ RYO), <br> 9. Others, pls. specify (. $\qquad$ |
| Asset ownership (current prices) | Fishing boat (.....RYO/unit), (....RYO/unit), House ( $\qquad$ RYO/unit), (.....RYO/unit) Land (........ha) Farmland / paddy field (.......ha) Live stocks (cow ..... , buffalo......) Car (.......RYO/unit), (......RYO/unit) |

## Part II: Engagement in Fisheries Sectors

1. Type of engagement
a) Capture fisheries (Boat owner...... Crew.......)
b) Aquaculture (Owner......, Employee......)
c) Fish processing (Owner......, Employee ......)
d) Fish trading (Owner......, Employee......)
e) Others (Please specify, if more than one)
2. Fishing boat (only for boat owners)

| (1). <br> Fishing boat | (2).In or outboard motor and HP of engines if with engine | (3). <br> made <br> (Wooden or FRP) | (4). <br> Overall <br> Length <br> (m) | (5). Price <br> of boat <br> when <br> purchased <br> (Ryo) | (6). Age of boat after construetion | (7). Licensing register (licensed or unlicensed) | (8). <br> Main <br> fishing <br> gear <br> used | (9). Number of crew | (10). <br> From <br> where <br> was it <br> purchased <br> or built? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

3. Fishing season and fishing ground by type of fishing gear

| (1).Type of <br> Gear and <br> no. of gear | (2). <br> Fishing <br> ground <br> (please <br> indicate <br> on map) | (3).Fishing <br> season <br> (month) | (3). No. <br> of <br> fishing <br> days per <br> year | (4). No. <br> of <br> hauls <br> per <br> fishing <br> trip | (5). No. of <br> fishing <br> hours a <br> day (from <br> departure <br> to return) | (6).Quantity <br> of Catch <br> (Kg) <br> (Average <br> catch per <br> trip) | Remarks <br> (consecutive <br> fishing day <br> etc.) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

4. Catch utilization per trip

4a. Purpose of Consumption

| (1). Type of major <br> species of fish | Utilization (\%) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | (2).For family <br> consumption | (3). Sale | (4). Processing - <br> what Form? | (5). For feed for <br> aquaculture |
| A. |  |  |  |  |
| B. |  |  |  |  |
| C. |  |  |  |  |
| D. |  |  |  |  |
| E. |  |  |  |  |
| F. |  |  |  |  |

4b For sale of daily catch (average quantity and market price)

| To whom | Fish |  | Crab |  | Shrimp |  | Shellfish |  | Squid |  | Others |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kg | RYO <br> Per <br> kg | Kg | RYO <br> Per kg | Kg | RYO | Kg | RYO <br> Per kg | kg | RYO <br> Per <br> kg | kg | RYO <br> Per <br> kg |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

5a Operational cost and returns per trip

| (1).Type of fishing gear | Operational costs (RYO) |  |  |  |  |  | (7).Total gross income (RYO) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\text { (2). } \mathrm{Fu}$ el | (3).L.oil | (3). <br> Food | (4).Salar <br> y | (5).Bai $\mathrm{t}$ | (6).Other <br> s |  |
| A. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

5b Maintenance costs
a) Fishing gear.
.RYO/month
b) Boat.
.RYO/year

5 c Do you have any loan taken and from whom and how much for what and monthly repayment?

| (1).Have any <br> loan taken (yes <br> or no) | (2).From whom | (3).For what | (4). How much <br> (RYO) | (5).Monthly <br> repayment <br> (RYO) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A. |  |  |  |  |
| B. |  |  |  |  |
| C. |  |  |  |  |
| D. |  |  |  |  |

## 6. Aquaculture

6.a. Fish ponds or cages for aquaculture (Pond $\qquad$ Cage $\qquad$

| (1) Species <br> (Aquaculture) | (2) Number <br> of cages or <br> ponds | (3) Average <br> size of cage or <br> pond | (4) Stock density <br> (Pcs. per cage or <br> pond) | (5) Number <br> of workers | (6) Price of <br> a pond or a <br> cage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

6.b. Seed supply and marketing for aquaculture

| (1) Species <br> (Aquaculture) | (2)Fingering <br> supply <br> (from who?) | (3) Average price <br> of <br> fingering(RYO/Pc.) | (4) <br> Duration of <br> culturing <br> (month) | (5) Average <br> total <br> production <br> per year <br> (Kg) | (6) Average <br> market <br> price <br> (RYO/Kg) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A. |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. |  |  |  |  |  |

6.c. Monthly operational cost for aquaculture

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { (1) Species } \\ \text { (Aquaculture) }\end{array}$ | Operational cost (RYO) |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Total } \\$\end{array} |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | (3) Medicines | (4) Labour |  |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{l}(5) Other <br>

materials\end{array}\right)\)

Part III: Gender role through time-consuming in working for a month

| (1) Activity | Male |  | Female |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | (2) Working <br> day | (3) No. of <br> hours | (4) Working <br> day | (5) No. of <br> hours |
|  <br> preparation |  |  |  |  |
| Fishing |  |  |  |  |
| Fish trading |  |  |  |  |
| Fish processing |  |  |  |  |
| Fish culturing |  |  |  |  |
| Laboring other than fisheries |  |  |  |  |
| Household works |  |  |  |  |

Part IV: Role of fishermen participation in Community Fisheries (CF) activities or other fishery or community related activities

1. Participation in CF and group activities

| Group | Position held | Participation |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Main <br> Activity <br> No.of days <br> per month | Hours per <br> day | Any Incentive <br> provided? If yes, <br> how much per <br> month or in kind? |
| (1) CF |  |  |  |  |
| (2) Others: |  |  |  |  |
| (3) Others |  |  |  |  |

1. Participation of CF members in community development and resource management (CF Member only)

| Position held | CF Activities |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Patrolling | Other: Other: | Other: |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Part V: Problems, interests, needs and expectations in the future after incomes have increased.

Problems: ( not only in fisheries sectors)
1.
2.
3.

Interests: (for example: training in improved fishing technique, fish processing etc.)
1.
2.
3. $\qquad$

Immediate Needs: (for example infrastructure construction, access to credit scheme etc.)
1.
2.
3. $\qquad$

## Future Expectation (any vision)

1. 
2. 
3. 

## Thanks for cooperation !

## Annex 4

## List of analytical data and information sheets

## No Data number Particulars

1. Part I-1 Fishermen's age variation
2. Part I-2 Marital status
3. Part $\mathrm{I}-3$ Occupation of fishermen
4. Part I-4 Number of family / child(ren) per household
5. Part I-5

Education level of fishermen
06. Pert I-6 Average income of fishermen( by occupation)
07. Part $\mathrm{I}-7$ Relation between education level and income
08. Part I-8 Asset ownership by fishermen
09. Part II -1 Number of fishing boats by OBM/IBM and by licensed/unlicensed
10. Part II - 2 Fishing gear used
11. Part II-3 Fishing gear used/ type of fishing boat and number of crew
12. Part II - 4 Fishing season/fishing days/fishing hours by fishing method
13. Part II -5 Average fish catch per boat/day/year
14. Part II - 6 Disposal of fish catches
15. Part II -7 Marketing outlets of fish catches
16. Part II -8 Average fish sales
17. Part II -9 Economics of fishing operation
18. Part II -10 Source of credit and amount
19. Part III-1 Involvement of women in fisheries
20. Part III-2 Involvement of women in household works and other businesses
21. Part III-3 Involvement of men in household work and other businesses
22. Part IV - 1 Membership of CF and other groups
23. Part IV - 2 Involvement in organizational activities (days/hours per month/year)
24. Part IV - 3 Participation in community development works or group activities (days/hours per month/year)
25. Part IV - 4 Incentive of member's participation
26. Part V-1 Insight to problems
27. Part $\mathrm{V}-2$ Insight to interests
28. Part $\mathrm{V}-3$ Insight to immediate needs
29. Part V - 4 Insight to future expectation by village/total
by village/total by village/total by village/total by total
Remarks
by village/total
by total
by village/total
by village/total
by village/total
) by village/total
by total
by village/total
by village/total by village/total by total
by total
by total
by total
by total
by total
by total by village/total by village/total

$$
x+20
$$

by village/total by vllage/total by village/total by village/total by village/total

## Annex 5-1

## Analytical Result - Part I

## Part I: General Information

Part I-1 Fishermen's age variation
a) Fishermen's age variation by village

| No. | Age group | Fishing village |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Prek Pros | Prek Sangke | Prek Tal | Kampong Chin |  |
| 1 | $16-24$ | 0 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 15 |
| 2 | $25-35$ | 8 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 24 |
| 3 | $36-45$ | 18 | 11 | 4 | 13 | 46 |
| 4 | $46-55$ | 10 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 16 |
| 5 | $56-65$ | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 9 |
| 6 | $66-75$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 1. Fishermen's age variation by village
b) Fishermen's age variation by total (in percentage)

| No. | Age group | Fishing village |  |  |  | Total <br> $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Prek Sangke | Prek Tal | Kampong Chin | $\%$ |  |
| 1 | $16-24$ | 0.0 | 13.8 | 42.1 | 13.0 | 13.4 |
| 2 | $25-35$ | 19.5 | 31.0 | 10.5 | 21.7 | 21.4 |
| 3 | $36-45$ | 43.9 | 37.9 | 21.1 | 56.5 | 41.1 |
| 4 | $46-55$ | 24.4 | 6.9 | 10.5 | 8.7 | 14.3 |
| 5 | $56-65$ | 9.8 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 8.0 |
| 6 | $66-75$ | 2.4 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 1.8 |
| Total \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 2. Fishermen's age variation by village (in percentage)


Figure 3. Fishermen's age variation by total (in percentage)


Figure 4. Fishermen's age structure

## Part I-2 Marital status

a) Marital status by village

| Village | Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |  |
|  | Single | Married | Widower | Single | Married | Widow |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 41 |
| Prek Sangke | 2 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 29 |
| Prek Tal | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 19 |
| Kampong Chin | 1 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 23 |
| Total | 4 | 71 | 1 | 2 | 30 | 4 | 112 |

b) Marital status by total (in percentage)

| Village | Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  | Total (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |  |
|  | Single | Married | Widower | Single | Married | Widow |  |
| Prek Pros | 0.0 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.4 | 1.8 | 36.6 |
| Prek Sangke | 1.8 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 8.0 | 0.9 | 25.9 |
| Prek Tal | 0.9 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 17.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0.9 | 15.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 20.5 |
| Total (\%) | 3.6 | 63.4 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 26.8 | 3.6 | 100.0 |



Figure 5. Marital status by total (in percentage)

## Part I-3 Occupation of fishermen

a) Occupations of fishermen by age group (by village)

| Age group | Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fisheries only | Aqua. only | Combination with other profession |  |  |  |  |  | Others |  |
|  |  |  | Aqua. | Agri. | Trading | Gen.labour | Processing | Livestock |  |  |
| 16-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Prek Sangke | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Prek Tal | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Kampong Chin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Sub-total: | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 20 |
| 25-35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| Prek Sangke | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| Prek Tal | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Kampong Chin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| Sub-total: | 8 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 29 |
| 36-45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 26 |
| Prek Sangke | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 15 |
| Prek Tal | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| Kampong Chin | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 14 |
| Sub-total: | 13 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 61 |
| 46-55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 12 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Prek Tal | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Kampong Chin | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Sub-total: | 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 20 |
| 56-65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Prek Tal | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sub-total: | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| 66-75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Prek Tal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sub-total: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 4 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 52 |
| Prek Sangke | 12 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 38 |
| Prek Tal | 6 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 25 |
| Kampong Chin | 9 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 28 |
| Total | 31 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 7 | 15 | 3 | 18 | 10 | 143 |
| Summary (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 6.3 | 3.5 | 36.4 |
| Prek Sangke | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 26.6 |
| Prek Tal | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 17.5 |
| Kampong Chin | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 19.6 |
| Total (\%) | 21.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.3 | 4.9 | 10.5 | 2.1 | 12.6 | 7.0 | 100.0 |

Remark: Aqua. $=$ Aquaculture, Agri $=$ Agriculture, Gen.$=$ General


Remark: in the legend details box every items is including fisheries
Figure 6. Occupations of fishermen by village
b) Occupations of fishermen by age group (by total)

| Age group | Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fish. only | Aqua. only | Combination with other profession |  |  |  |  |  | Others |  |
|  |  |  | Aqua. | Agri. | Trading | Gen. Labour | Processing | Livestock |  |  |
| 16-24 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 20 |
| 25-35 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 29 |
| 36-45 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 61 |
| 46-55 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 20 |
| 56-65 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| 66-75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 31 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 7 | 15 | 3 | 18 | 10 | 143 |

Remark: Aqua. $=$ Aquaculture, Agri $=$ Agriculture, Gen.$=$ General


Remark: in the legend details box every items is including fisheries
Figure 7. Occupations by age group
c) Principal occupations in the fishing community

| Occupation | Fisheries <br> only | Combined <br> with <br> Agri. | Combined <br> with <br> Trading | Combined <br> with <br> Gen.Labour | Combined <br> with <br> Processing | Combined <br> with <br> Livestock | Others | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Fishermen | 31 | 59 | 7 | 15 | 3 | 18 | 10 | 143 |
| in percentage (\%) | 21.7 | 41.3 | 4.9 | 10.5 | 2.1 | 12.6 | 7.0 | 100.0 |

Remark: Interviewees in Prek Pros, Prek Sangke, Prek Tal and Kampong Chin are 41, 29, 19 and 23 respectively : Agri $=$ Agriculture, Gen.$=$ General


Remark: in the legend details box every items is including fisheries
Figure 8 . Occupations of fishermen by total (in percentage)

## Part I-4 Number of family/child(ren) per household

Structure of family

| Village | Number of interviewees | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Average No. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { family member } \end{array}$ | Household (Composition of family) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Without child |  | With Child(ren) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Average No. of Children |
| Prek Pros | 41 | 7.4 | 6 | 14.6 | 35 | 85.4 | 3.2 |
| Prek Sangke | 29 | 6.1 | 6 | 20.7 | 23 | 79.3 | 2.7 |
| Prek Tal | 19 | 5.1 | 5 | 26.3 | 14 | 73.7 | 2.4 |
| Kampong Chin | 23 | 6.1 | 4 | 17.4 | 19 | 82.6 | 2.7 |
| Total | 112 | 6.4 | 21 | 18.8 | 91 | 81.3 | 2.9 |



Figure 9. Family structure with child (ren) and without child by total (in percentage)


Figure 10. Family structure with child (ren) and without child by village in percentage
a) Prek Pros
b) Prek Sangke
c) Prek Tal
d) Kampong Chin

## Part I-5 Education level of fishermen

a) Education level of fishermen by age (by village)

| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Age } \\ \text { group } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Village |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prek Pros |  |  |  | Prek Sangke |  |  |  | Prek Tal |  |  |  | Kampong Chin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | None | P.S. | L.S.S. | U.S.S. | None | P.S. | L.S.S. | U.S.S. | None | P.S. | L.S.S. | U.S.S. | None | P.S. | L.S.S. | U.S.S. | None | P.S. | L.S.S. | U.S.S. |
| 16-24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 0 |
| 25-35 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 1 |
| 36-45 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 26 | 9 | 0 |
| 46-55 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| 56-65 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 66-75 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 6 | 24 | 10 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 28 | 59 | 21 | 2 |

Remark P.S. = Primary School, L.S.S $=$ Lower Secondary School, U.S.S $=$ Upper Secondary School


Remark P.S. = Primary School, L.S.S $=$ Lower Secondary School, U.S.S $=$ Upper Secondary School
Figure 11. Education level of fishermen by village
b) Education level of fishermen by age (by total)

| Age group | Number of interviewees | Education level (number) |  |  |  | Education level (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | None | P.S. | L.S.S. | U.S.S. | None | P.S. | L.S.S. | U.S.S. |
| 16-24 | 15 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 33.3 | 40.0 | 26.7 | 0.0 |
| 25-35 | 24 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 16.7 | 58.3 | 20.8 | 4.2 |
| 36-45 | 45 | 10 | 26 | 9 | 0 | 22.2 | 57.8 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
| 46-55 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 26.7 | 73.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 56-65 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 33.3 | 22.2 | 33.3 | 11.1 |
| 66-75 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 110 | 28 | 59 | 21 | 2 |  |  |  |  |

Remark P.S. $=$ Primary School, L.S.S $=$ Lower Secondary School, U.S.S $=$ Upper Secondary School


Remark P.S. = Primary School, L.S.S = Lower Secondary School, U.S.S = Upper Secondary School
Figure 12. Education level of fishermen by age group
c) Education level of fishermen

| Village | Number of interviewees | Education level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number |  |  |  | Percentage (\%) |  |  |  |
|  |  | None | P.S. | L.S.S. | U.S.S. | None | P.S. | L.S.S. | U.S.S. |
| Prek Pros | 41 | 6 | 24 | 10 | 1 | 14.6 | 58.5 | 24.4 | 2.4 |
| Prek Sangke | 28 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 21.4 | 57.1 | 17.9 | 3.6 |
| Prek Tal | 19 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 52.6 | 31.6 | 15.8 | 0.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 22 | 6 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 27.3 | 59.1 | 13.6 | 0.0 |
| Total | 110 | 28 | 59 | 21 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Total (\%) |  | 25.5 | 53.6 | 19.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |

Remark: 1 person in Kampong Chin Village was not specify educational level and I person in Prek Sangke was specific only study Arab but he does not mention the education level. So two of them were excluded from this table
: P.S. = Primary School, L.S.S = Lower Secondary School, U.S.S = Upper Secondary School


Remark P.S. = Primary School, L.S.S = Lower Secondary School, U.S.S = Upper Secondary School
Figure 13. Education level of fishermen by Total

## Part I-6 Mean monthly income of fishermen

a) Monthly income (in USD) of fishermen by age/village

| Age group | Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fisheries only | Combination with other profession |  |  |  |  |  | Others |
|  |  | Fisheries | Agri. | Trading | Gen.labour | Processing | Livestock |  |
| 16-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Prek Sangke | 116.88 | 22.50 | 4.17 | 200.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Prek Tal | 26.50 | 10.31 | 27.09 | - | 35.63 | - | - | 0.10 |
| Kampong Chin | 28.13 | 40.00 | 46.25 | - | 10.00 | - | 4,17 | 3.00 |
| 25-35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 102.50 | 42.50 | 6.11 | 75.00 | 21.36 | - | - | 25.00 |
| Prek Sangke | 71.75 | 55.83 | 55.94 | 22.50 | 3.00 | 12.50 | 2.08 | - |
| Prek Tal | 25.00 | 37.50 | 3.65 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kampong Chin | 56.25 | 33.44 | 20.59 | - | 2.08 | - | 8.86 | - |
| 36-45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 15.00 | 54.26 | 26.73 | 19.75 | 16.00 | 15.00 | 6.02 | 8.94 |
| Prek Sangke | 46.92 | 37.00 | 49.93 | 40.00 | - | - | 4.17 | 22.50 |
| Prek Tal | 37.50 | 28.75 | 97.25 | - | 25.00 | - | 2.29 | - |
| Kampong Chin | 28.27 | 34.82 | 13.48 | 75.00 | 15.00 | - | 8.09 | 30.00 |
| 46-55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | - | 51.55 | 22.09 | - | 7.50 | - | 10.42 | - |
| Prek Sangke | - | 18.75 | 65.63 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Prek Tal | 32.50 | 60.00 | 112.50 | - | - | - | - | 37.50 |
| Kampong Chin | 48.75 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 56-65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | - | 40.00 | 30.99 | - | - | - | 3.50 | - |
| Prek Sangke | - | 40.83 | 23.61 | - | - | - | 2.08 | - |
| Prek Tal | 19.50 | 1.00 | 6.25 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kampong Chin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 66-75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | - | 37.50 | 3.54 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Prek Sangke | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Prek Tal | - | 10.00 | 96.20 | - | 12.50 | - | - | - |
| Kampong Chin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Remark: Agri $=$ Agriculture, Gen.$=$ General
b) Mean monthly income (in USD) of fishermen by occupation

| Age group | Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fisheries only | Combination with other profession |  |  |  |  |  | Others |  |
|  |  | Fisheries | Agri. | Trading | Gen. labour | Processing | Livestock |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Prek Sangke | 350.63 | 22.50 | 4.17 | 200.00 | - | - | - | - | 577.30 |
| Prek Tal | 53.00 | 61.88 | 135.47 | - | 71.25 | - | - | 0.10 | 321.70 |
| Kampong Chin | 28.13 | 80.00 | 92.50 | - | 10.00 | - | 4.17 | 3.00 | 217.80 |
| Sub-total: | 431.75 | 164.38 | 232.14 | 200.00 | 81.25 | - | 4.17 | 3.10 | 1116.79 |
| 25-35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 307.50 | 170.00 | 18.34 | 75.00 | 42.71 | - | - | 25.00 | 638.55 |
| Prek Sangke | 215.25 | 335.00 | 223.75 | 22.50 | 3.00 | 25.00 | 2.08 | - | 826.58 |
| Prek Tal | 25.00 | 37.50 | 3.65 | - |  | - | - | - | 66.15 |
| Kampong Chin | 56.25 | 133.75 | 41.17 | - | 2.08 | - | 17.71 | - | 250.96 |
| Sub-total: | 604.00 | 676.25 | 286.91 | 97.50 | 47.79 | 25.00 | 19.79 | 25.00 | 1782.24 |
| 36-45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 15.00 | 922.50 | 267.27 | 79.00 | 16.00 | 15.00 | 42.17 | 26.83 | 1383.77 |
| Prek Sangke | 281.50 | 185.00 | 199.72 | 40.00 | . | - | 4.17 | 67.50 | 777.89 |
| Prek Tal | 37.50 | 86.25 | 194.50 | - | 50.00 | - | 2.29 | - | 370.54 |
| Kampong Chin | 141.33 | 243.75 | 67.40 | 75.00 | 30.00 | - | 16.17 | 30.00 | 603.65 |
| Sub-total: | 475.33 | 1437.50 | 728.89 | 194.00 | 96.00 | 15.00 | 64.80 | 124.33 | 3135.84 |
| 46-55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | - | 515.50 | 154.66 | - | 7.50 | - | 31.25 | - | 708.91 |
| Prek Sangke | - | 37.50 | 131.25 | - | - | - | - | - | 168.75 |
| Prek Tal | 32.50 | 60.00 | 112.50 | - | - | - | - | 37.50 | 242.50 |
| Kampong Chin | 97.50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 97.50 |
| Sub-total: | 130.00 | 613.00 | 398.41 | - | 7.50 | - | 31.25 | 37.50 | 1217.66 |
| 56-65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | - | 120.00 | 123.96 | - | - | - | 3.50 | - | 247.46 |
| Prek Sangke | - | 122.50 | 70.83 | - | - | - | 2.08 | - | 195.41 |
| Prek Tal | 19.50 | 1.00 | 6.25 | - | - | - | - | - | 26.75 |
| Kampong Chin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-total: | 19.50 | 243.50 | 201.04 | $-$ | - | - | 5.58 | - | 469.62 |
| 66-75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | - | 37.50 | 3.54 | - | - | - | - | - | 41.04 |
| Prek Sangke | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | ¢ |
| Prek Tal | - | 10.00 | 96.20 | - | 12.50 | - | - | - | 118.70 |
| Kampong Chin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-total: | $-$ | 47.50 | 99.74 | - | 12.50 | - | - | - | 159.74 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 322.50 | 1765.50 | 567.76 | 154.00 | 66.21 | 15.00 | 76.92 | 51.83 | 3019.72 |
| Prek Sangke | 847.38 | 702.50 | 629.72 | 262.50 | 3.00 | 25.00 | 8.33 | 67.50 | 2545.93 |
| Prek Tal | 167.50 | 256.63 | 548.57 | - | 133.75 | - | 2.29 | 37.60 | 1146.34 |
| Kampong Chin | 323.20 | 457.50 | 201,07 | 75.00 | 42.08 | - | 38.05 | 33.00 | 1169.90 |
| Total | 1660.58 | 3182.13 | 1947.12 | 491.50 | 245.04 | 40.00 | 125.59 | 189.93 | 7881.88 |
| No. of interviewees | 31 | 78 | 59 | 9 | 13 | 3 | 20 | 11 | 112 |
| Mean income | 53.57 | 40.80 | 33.00 | 54.61 | 18.85 | 13.33 | 6.28 | 17.27 | 70.37 |
| Calculated income |  |  | 33.00 |  | 18.85 |  |  |  |  |

Remark: Agri. $=$ Agriculture, Gen,$=$ General


Figure 14. Mean monthly income by profession

Part I-7 Relation between education level and income
a) Relation between education level and income (in USD), classify by age group

| Age group | Village |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prek Pros |  |  |  | Prek Sangke |  |  |  | Prek Tal |  |  |  | Kampong Chin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | None | P.S. | L.S.S. | U.S.S. | None | P.S. | L.S.S. | U.S.S. | None | P.S. | L.S.S. | U.S.S. | None | P.S. | L.S.S. | U.S.S. | None | P. | L.S.S. | U.S.S. |
| 16-24 | - | - | - | - | 60 | 145 | 227 | - | 20 | 105 | 17 | - | - | 48 | 120 | - | 40 | 99 | 121 | - |
| 25-35 | - | 90 | 68 | 75 | 77 | 85 | 163 | - | - | 41 | 25 | - | 45 | 54 | . | - | 61 | 67 | 85 | 75 |
| 36-45 | 75 | 53 | 112 | - | 59 | 90 | 31 | - | 36 | 112 | - | - | 60 | 60 | 13 | - | 57 | 79 | 52 | - |
| 46-55 | 54 | 75 | - | - | - | 44 | - | - | 121 | - | - | - | - | 49 | - | - | 88 | 56 | - | - |
| 56-65 | 68 | 68 | 86 | - | - | 66 | 63 | 67 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 | 67 | 74 | 67 |
| 66-75 | 41 | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - | $\checkmark$ | 119 | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80 | - | - | - |
| Avz.(USD) | 59 | 72 | 89 | 75 | 65 | 86 | 121 | 67 | 62 | 86 | 21 | $\checkmark$ | 53 | 53 | 67 | - | 61 | 74 | 83 | 71 |

b) Relation between education level and income

| Age <br> group | Number of interviewees |  |  |  |  | Average incomes (USD) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | P.S. | L.S.S. | U.S.S. | Total | None | P.S. | L.S.S. | U.S.S. |
| $16-24$ | 5 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 40 | 99 | 121 | - |
| $25-35$ | 4 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 24 | 61 | 67 | 85 | 75 |
| $36-45$ | 10 | 26 | 9 | 0 | 45 | 57 | 79 | 52 | - |
| $46-55$ | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 88 | 56 | - | - |
| $56-65$ | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 40 | 67 | 74 | 67 |
| $66-75$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 80 | - | - | - |
|  | 28 | 59 | 21 |  | 2 | 110 | 61 | 74 | 83 |

Remark P.S. = Primary School, L.S.S = Lower Secondary School, U.S.S = Upper Secondary School


Remark P.S. = Primary School, L.S.S $=$ Lower Secondary School, U.S.S $=$ Upper Secondary School
Figure 15. Education level and average monthly incomes (in USD)


Remark P.S. = Primary School, L.S.S = Lower Secondary School, U.S.S = Upper Secondary School
Figure 16. Total average monthly incomes by educational level

## Part I-8 Asset ownership by fishermen

a) Owner of fishing boats

| No. | Age group | Village |  |  |  | Total | Number of interviewees | Ratio of boat owner (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Prek Pros | Prek Sangke | Prek Tal | Kampong Chin |  |  |  |
| 1 | 16-24 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 15 | 73.3 |
| 2 | 25-35 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 17 | 24 | 70.8 |
| 3 | 36-45 | 11 | 9 | 2 | 9 | 31 | 46 | 67.4 |
| 4 | 46-55 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 16 | 68.8 |
| 5 | 56-65 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 55.6 |
| 6 | 66-75 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 100.0 |
|  | otal | 23 | 25 | 14 | 15 | 77 | 112 | 68.75 |



Figure 17. Owner of fishing boats by village
b) Asset ownership of fishermen (except fishing boat)

| Age group | Property |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hourse | Land | Farm. | Cow | Buff. | Pig | Chic. | Duck | Car | Moto. | Bic. | Others |  |
| 16-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Prek Sangke | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| Prek Tal | 7 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| Kampong Chin | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
| Sub-total: | 14 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 49 |
| 25-35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 7 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Prek Sangke | 9 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 31 |
| Prek Tal | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Kampong Chin | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 26 |
| Sub-total: | 23 | 22 | 13 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 88 |
| 36-45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 18 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 61 |
| Prek Sangke | 11 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 33 |
| Prek Tal | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| Kampong Chin | 12 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 43 |
| Sub-total: | 45 | 41 | 21 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 153 |
| 46-55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 10 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 42 |
| Prek Sangke | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Prek Tal | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Kampong Chin | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Sub-total: | 16 | 16 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 66 |
| 56-65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| Prek Sangke | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 |
| Prek Tal | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sub-total: | 9 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 43 |
| 66-75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Prek Tal | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sub-total: | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Prek Pros | 40 | 39 | 26 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 2 | - |
| Prek Sangke | 29 | 25 | 15 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 3 | - |
| Prek Tal | 18 | 18 | 14 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - |
| Kampong Chin | 22 | 21 | 13 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - |
| Total: | 109 | 103 | 68 | 36 | 22 | 14 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 12 | 7 | - |
| Summary (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Prek Pros | 97.6 | 95.1 | 63.4 | 31.7 | 14.6 | 17.1 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 14.6 | 4.9 | - |
| Prek Sangke | 100.0 | 86.2 | 51.7 | 27.6 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.5 | 13.8 | 10.3 | - |
| Prek Tal | 94.7 | 94.7 | 73.7 | 21.1 | 47.4 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | - |
| Kampong Chin | 95.7 | 91.3 | 56.5 | 47.8 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 4.3 | 8.7 | - |
| Average (\%) | 97.0 | 91.8 | 61.3 | 32.0 | 22.9 | 11.7 | 15.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 9.5 | 6.0 | - |

Remark: Bic. $=$ Bicycle, Moto. $=$ Motorbike, Chic. $=$ Chicken, Buff. $=$ Buffalo, Farm. $=$ Farm land


Remark: Bic. $=$ Bicycle, Moto.$=$ Motorbike, Chic. $=$ Chicken, Buff. $=$ Buffalo, Farm.$=$ Farm land
Figure 18. Asset ownership by fishermen (except fishing boat)
c) Asset ownership of fishermen by age group

| Age group | Property |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Hourse | Land | Farm. | Cow | Buff. | Pig | Chic. | Duck | Car | Moto. | Bic. | Others |
| $16-24$ | 14 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| $25-35$ | 23 | 22 | 13 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| $36-45$ | 45 | 41 | 21 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| $46-55$ | 16 | 16 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| $56-65$ | 9 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| $66-75$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Summary (total) | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Summary (Ave.\%) | $\mathbf{9 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0}$ |

Remark: Bic. $=$ Bicycle, Moto. $=$ Motorbike, Chic. $=$ Chicken, Buff. $=$ Buffalo, Farm. $=$ Farm land
d) Asset ownership of fishermen by age group (in percentage)

| Age group | Property |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hourse | Land | Farm. | Cow | Buff. | Pig | Chic. | Duck | Car | Moto. | Bic. | Others |
| 16-24 | 93.3 | 86.7 | 60.0 | 26.7 | 20.0 | 6.7 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 13.3 | 0.0 |
| 25-35 | 95.8 | 91.7 | 54.2 | 41.7 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 8.3 | 4.2 |
| 36-45 | 97.8 | 89.1 | 45.7 | 23.9 | 17.4 | 15.2 | 13.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 6.5 |
| 46-55 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 37.5 | 25.0 | 12.5 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 12.5 | 6.3 |
| 56-65 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 11.1 | 22.2 |
| 66-75 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total (average) | 97.8 | 94.6 | 67.3 | 30.9 | 19.9 | 9.7 | 11.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 9.4 | 6.5 |

Remark: Bic. $=$ Bicycle, Moto. $=$ Motorbike, Chic. $=$ Chicken, Buff. $=$ Buffalo, Farm. $=$ Farm Iand


Figure 19. Houses owner status

Preliminary Socio-Economic Survey in


Figure 20. Land owner status
Part I-9 Religion

| Village | Number of interviewees | Religion (Number) |  |  | Religion (Percentage\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Buddhist | Muslim | Christian | Buddhist | Muslim | Christian |
| Prek Pros | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Prek Sangke | 29 | 5 | 24 | 0 | 17.2 | 82.8 | 0 |
| Prek Tal | 19 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 5.3 | 94.7 | 0 |
| Kampong Chin | 23 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 95.7 | 0 | 4.3 |
| Total | 112 | 69 | 42 | 1 | 61.6 | 37.5 | 0.9 |



Figure 21. Religion of fishermen by total (in percentage)


Figure 22. Religion of fishermen by village (in percentage)

## Annex 5-2

## Analytical Result - Part II

Part II - 1 Number of fishing boats by Out-Board Motor (OBM)/In-Board Motor (IBM) and by licensed/unlicensed
a) Number of fishing boats (by Out-Board Motor/In-Board Motor) and fishing without boats

| Village | Number of fishers <br> interviewees | Without <br> boat | No engine | With Engine |  | Ownership |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 9 | 4 | 23 | 0 |
| Prek Pros | 39 | 4 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| Prek Sangke | 29 | 5 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| Prek Tal | 19 | 7 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Kampong Chin | 22 | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Remark: Without boat $=$ fishing without using boat; In Prek Sangke Village 2 fishers have 2 boats each .
b) Number of fishing boats by Out-Board Motor (OBM)/In-Board Motor (IBM) and by licensed/ unlicensed



Figure 1. Number of fishing boats by Out-Board Motor/In-Board Motor and by licensed/unlicensed


Figure 2. Number of fishing boat by total

## Part II - 2 Fishing gear used

Fishing gear used by total

|  |  | Fishing gears type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boat type | No. of boats | CGN | SGN | CT | FGN | MGN | MUGN | HPN | HFC | HFS | HK | SBN | CN |
| Without boat | 32 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| No engine | 58 | 4 | 0 | 37 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Outboard | 17 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Inboard | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total No of boats | 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total No. of gears | 138 | 4 | 1 | 54 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 43 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Percentage of gears(\%) | 100 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 39.1 | 7.2 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 31.2 | 1.4 | 9.4 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

Remarks: $C G N=$ Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN=Set bagnet/stow net, CN=Cast net


Figure 3. Fishing gear used by village


Remarks: $C G N=$ Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN=Set bagnet/stow net, $C N=$ Cast net

Figure 4 . Fishing gear used by total

## Part II - 3: Fishing gear used/type of fishing boat and number of crew

Fishing gear used/type of fishing boat and number of crew

| Type of | Non-* |  | Type of fishing boat and crew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fishing gear | boat owner | owner | No engine | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Average no. of crew | Outboard | Percentage \% | Average no. of crew | Inboard | Percentage \% | Average no. of crew |
| CGN | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | $\square$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| SGN | 0 | 1 | - | $-$ | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | $\checkmark$ | $-$ | $-$ |
| CT | 1 | 50 | 34 | 43 | 1 | 12 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| FGN | 0 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| MGN | 0 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | - | $-$ | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - |
| MUGN | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | $-$ | $-$ |
| HPN | 24 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 1 | $\cdot$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| HFC | 2 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | $-$ | - |
| HFS | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | $-$ | $-$ | $-$ |
| SBN | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | $\checkmark$ | - | $-$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Total | 32 | 79 | 58 | 73 | 8 | 17 | 22 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 1 |

Remarks: $C G N=$ Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN $=$ Set bagnet/stow net, $C N=$ Cast net
:Non-boat*=fishing without boats


Remarks: $C G N=$ Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN $=$ Set bagnet/stow net, $C N=$ Cast net

Figure 5. Average number of crew by type of fishing boat and fishing gear used

Part II - 4 Fishing season/fishing days/fishing hours by fishing method
a) Fishing days and fishing hours by fishing method

| Fishing <br> gear <br> used | No. <br> of <br> Boats | Average <br> number of fishing <br> days per year | No. <br> of <br> Boats | Average <br> number of fishing <br> hours per day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CGN | 3 | 227 | 3 | 9 |
| SGN | 1 | 35 | 1 | 5 |
| CT | 51 | 283 | 51 | 10 |
| FGN | 10 | 255 | 10 | 7 |
| MGN | 1 | 240 | 1 | 7 |
| MUGN | 2 | 276 | 2 | 11 |
| HPN | 34 | 235 | 34 | 6 |
| HFC | 2 | 72 | 2 | 7 |
| HFS | 6 | 214 | 6 | 6 |
| SBN | 1 | 240 | 1 | 10 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ |  |

Remarks: $C G N=$ Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN=Set bagnet/stow net, $C N=$ Cast net


Remarks: $C G N=$ Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, $H K=$ Hook, SBN=Set bagnet/stow net, $C N=$ Cast net

Figure 6 . Average fishing days per year by fishing method


Remarks: $C G N=$ Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN $=$ Set bagnet/stow net, $C N=$ Cast net

Figure 7. Average fishing hours per year by fishing method
b) Fishing seasons by fishing methods

| Fishing <br> gear <br> used | No. <br> of <br> HH | Average <br> number of fishing <br> season (months) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CGN | 3 | 12 |
| SGN | 1 | 5 |
| CT | 51 | 12 |
| FGN | 10 | 12 |
| MGN | 1 | 12 |
| MUGN | 2 | 12 |
| HPN | 34 | 12 |
| HFC | 2 | 12 |
| HFS | 6 | 12 |
| SBN | 1 | 12 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ |  |

## Part II - 5 Average fish catch per boat/day/year

Average fish catch per boat/trip (day)

| Type of | CGN |  | SGN |  | CT |  | FGN |  | MGN |  | MUGN |  | HPN |  | HFC |  | HFS |  | SBN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fishing boats | No. | Ave. (kg) | No. | Ave. (kg) | No. | Ave. (kg) | No. | Ave. (kg) | No. | Ave. <br> (kg) | No. | Ave. (kg) | No. | Ave. (kg) | No. | Ave. <br> (kg) | No. | Ave. (kg) | No. | Ave, (kg) |
| Without boat | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 24 | 3.4 | 2 | 1.7 | 5 | 6.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| No engine | 3 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 34 | 3.1 | 7 | 4.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 6.0 | 10 | 4.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.0 | 1 | 8.0 |
| Outboard | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 12 | 5.1 | 3 | 4.7 | 1 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Inboard | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 3 | 14.5 | 1 | 10.0 | 51 | 183.9 | 10 | 43.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 2 | 12.0 | 34 | 123.8 | 2 | 3.3 | 6 | 32.0 | 1 | 8.0 |
| Mean catch |  | 4.8 |  | 10.0 |  | 3.6 |  | 4.3 |  | 20.0 |  | 6.0 |  | 3.6 |  | 1.7 |  | 5.3 |  | 8.0 |

Remarks:CGN=Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN $=$ Set bagnet/stow net, $C N=$ Cast net
$\therefore$ No. $=$ Number of boats, Ave. $=$ Average quantity


Figure 8. Average fish catch per boat/trip (day)

## Part II - 6 Disposal of fishing catches

Disposal of fishing catches by total

|  | CGN |  |  |  | SGN |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Without boat | No engine | Outboard | Inboard | Without boat | No engine | Outhoard | Inboard |
| Family consumption (\%) | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
| For sale (\%) | 0.0 | 95.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 |
| Processing (\%) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | CT |  |  |  | FGN |  |  |  |
|  | Without boat | No engine | Outboard | Inboard | Without boat | No engine | Outboard | Inboard |
| Family consumption (\%) | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 15.0 | 0.0 |
| For sale (\%) | 100.0 | 97.7 | 99.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 97.6 | 85.0 | 0.0 |
| Processing (\%) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | MGN |  |  |  | MUGN |  |  |  |
|  | Without boat | No engine | Outboard | Inboard | Without boat | No engine | Outboard | Inboard |
| Family consumption (\%) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| For sale (\%) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 82.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Processing (\%) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | HPN |  |  |  | HFC |  |  |  |
|  | Without boat | No engine | Outboard | Inboard | Without boat | No engine | Outboard | Inboard |
| Family consumption (\%) | 5.2 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| For sale (\%) | 81.4 | 77.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Processing (\%) | 13.5 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 0,0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | HFS |  |  |  | SBN |  |  |  |
|  | Without boat | No engine | Outboard | Inboard | Without boat | No engine | Outboard | Inboard |
| Family consumption (\%) | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| For sale (\%) | 100.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Processing (\%) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family consumption (\%) | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For sale (\%) | 85.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Processing (\%) | 8.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Remarks: $C G N=$ Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, $H K=$ Hook, SBN=Set bagnet/stow net, CN=Cast net


Figure 9. Disposal of fishing catches by total

## Part II-7 Marketing outlets of fish catches

Marketing outlets of fish catches

| Gears | Middleman(\%) | Local market(\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CGN | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| SGN | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| CT | 45.9 | 0.0 |
| FGN | 5.4 | 3.6 |
| MGN | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| MUGN | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| HPN | 30.6 | 0.0 |
| HFC | 1.8 | 0.0 |
| HFS | 5.4 | 0.0 |
| SBN | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Total(\%) | 94.6 | 5.4 |

Remarks:CGN=Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN=Set bagnet/stow net, $C N=$ Cast net


Figure 10. Percentage of marketing outlets of fish catches by total

## Part II - 8 Average fish sales

Average fish sales

|  | CGN |  |  |  | SGN |  |  |  | CT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Without boat | No engine | Outboard | Inboard | Without boat | No engine | Outboard | Inboard | Without boat | No engine | Outboard | Inboard |
| For sale (\%) | 0.0 | 95.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 99.6 | 100.0 |
| Average sale (kg) | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 5.1 | 4.0 |
|  | FGN |  |  |  | MGN |  |  |  | MUGN |  |  |  |
|  | Without boat | No engine | Outboard | Inboard | Without boat No engine |  | Outboard | Inboard | Without boat No engine |  | Outboard | Inboard |
| For sale (\%) | 0.0 | 97.6 | 85.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 82.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Average sale (kg) | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | HPN |  |  |  | HFC |  |  |  | HFS |  |  |  |
|  | Without boat | No engine | Outboard | Inboard | Without boat | No engine | Outboard | Inboard | Without boat | No engine | Outboard | Inboard |
|  | 81.4 | 77.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Average sale (kg) | 2.8 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 1,6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SBN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Without boat | No engine | Outboard Inboard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For sale (\%) | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average sale (kg) | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Remarks: CGN=Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN=Set bagnet/stow net, CN=Cast net


Remarks: $C G N=$ Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN $=$ Set bagnet/stow net, $C N=$ Cast net

Figure 11. Average fish sales

## Part II - 9 Economics of fishing operation

a) Economics of fishing operation in average value per trip (USD)

| Fishing gear used | Without boat |  |  | No engine |  |  | Outboard |  |  | Inboard |  |  | TotalnumberofHH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of <br> HH | Average operation cost (USD) | Average income (USD) | No. of <br> HH | Average <br> operation <br> cost (USD) | Average income (USD) | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { No. } \\ \text { of } \\ \mathrm{HH} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Average operation cost (USD) | Average income (USD) | No. of <br> HH | Average operation cost (USD) | Average income (USD) |  |
| CGN | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.8 | 6.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 |
| SGN | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 13.5 | 30.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |
| CT | 1 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 34 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 12 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 4 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 51 |
| FGN | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 3 | 3.0 | 8.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10 |
| MGN | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.3 | 6.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |
| MUGN | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 |
| HPN | 24 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 10 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34 |
| HFC | 2 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 |
| HFS | 5 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6 |
| SBN | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |
| Total HH | 32 |  |  | 58 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 111 |

Remarks:CGN=Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN $=$ Set bagnet/stow net, $C N=$ Cast net
: HH=Household


Remarks: CGN=Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN=Set bagnet/stow net, CN=Cast net

Figure 12. Economics of fishing operation
b) Economics of fishing operation in average maintenance cost per year (USD)

| Fishing gear used | Without boat |  | No engine |  |  | Outboard |  |  | Inboard |  |  | TotalnumberofHH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of HH | Gear maintenance cost (USD) | No. of HH | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Gear } \\ \text { maintenance } \\ \text { cost (USD) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Boat <br> maintenance <br> cost (USD) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{No} . \\ \text { of } \\ \mathrm{HH} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Gear maintenance cost (USD) | Boat maintenance cost (USD) | No. of HH | Gear maintenance cost (USD) | Boat <br> maintenance <br> cost (USD) |  |
| CGN | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 61.8 | 8.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 |
| SGN | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 37.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |
| CT | 1 | 4.4 | 34 | 60.3 | 14.9 | 12 | 436.6 | 37.2 | 4 | 255.0 | 32.8 | 51 |
| FGN | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 58.1 | 5.4 | 3 | 36.0 | 11.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10 |
| MGN | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 18.0 | 15.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |
| MUGN | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 46.8 | 22.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 |
| HPN | 24 | 12.9 | 10 | 23.9 | 14.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34 |
| HFC | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0,0 | 2 |
| HFS | 5 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6 |
| SBN | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 62.8 | (No reply) | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |
| Total HH | 32 |  | 58 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 111 |

Remarks: CGN=Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, MGN=Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN $=$ Set bagnet/stow net, $C N=$ Cast net
: HH=Household


Remarks: $C G N=$ Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN $=$ Set bagnet/stow net, $C N=$ Cast net

Figure 13. Economics of fishing operation in average maintenance cost per year (USD)

## Part II-10 Source of credit and amount

a) Source of credit

| Fishing gear used | Taken Ioan |  | Source of credit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Commercial bank |  | Government agencies |  | Middlemen |  | Others |  |
|  |  |  | Fisheries | Non-fisheries | Fisheries | Non-fisheries | Fisheries | Non-fisheries | Fisheries | Non-fisheries |
| CGN | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SGN | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CT | 34 | 17 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| FGN | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MGN | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MUGN | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HPN | 14 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| HFC | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HFS | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| SBN | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 54 | 57 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 8 | 3 |
| Total |  | 111 | 22 |  | 0 |  | 22 |  | 11 | 55 |
| Total (\%) |  |  | 40 |  |  |  | 40 |  | 20 |  |

Remarks: $C G N=$ Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN=Set bagnet/stow net, CN=Cast net


Figure 14. Source of credit by total
b) Total amount of loan (in USD)

| Fishing gear used | Amount (USD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial bank |  | Government agencies |  | Middlemen |  | Others |  |
|  | Fisheries | Non-fisheries | Fisheries | Non-fisheries | Fisheries | Non-fisheries | Fisheries | Non-fisheries |
| CGN | 125.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 250.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CT | 1050.00 | 220.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 752.50 | 0.00 | 290.00 | 0.00 |
| FGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MUGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HPN | 325.00 | 250.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 61.75 | 0.00 | 250.00 | 152.50 |
| HFC | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HFS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| SBN | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Subtotal | 1600.00 | 470.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1139.25 | 0.00 | 540.00 | 154.50 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3903.75 |

Remarks:CGN=Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN=Set bagnet/stow net, $C N=$ Cast net


Remarks: $C G N=$ Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN=Set bagnet/stow net, $C N=$ Cast net

Figure 15. Source of credit and amount

Preliminary Socio-Economic Survey in
Commune Teuk Thla, Sihanoukville, Cambodia
b) Source of credit by village

| Fishing gear used | Taken loan |  | Source of credit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Commercial bank |  | Government agencies |  | Middlemen |  | Others |  |
|  | Yes | No | Fisheries | Non | Fisheries | Non | Fisheries | Non | Fisheries | Non |
| Prek Pros |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CGN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SGN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CT | 18 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| FGN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MGN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MUGN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HPN | 8 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| HFC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HFS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SBN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 26 | 13 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SGN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CT | 11 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| FGN | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MGN | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MUGN | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HPN | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| HFC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HFS | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SBN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 15 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Prek Tal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CGN | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SGN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CT | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FGN | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MGN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MUGN | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HPN | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HFC | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HFS | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| SBN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SGN | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CT | 5 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FGN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MGN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MUGN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HPN | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| HFC | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HFS | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SBN | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 12 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Summary | 54 | 57 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 8 | 3 |
| Total |  | 111 | 22 |  | 0 |  | 22 |  | 11 | 55 |

Remarks:CGN=Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN=Set bagnet/stow net, CN=Cast net
c) Total amount of loan (in USD) by village

| Fishing gear used | Amount (USD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial bank |  | Government agencies |  | Middlemen |  | Others |  |
|  | Fisheries | Non | Fisheries | Non | Fisheries | Non | Fisheries | Non |
| Prek Pros |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CT | 625.00 | 220.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 285.00 | 0.00 | 240.00 | 0.00 |
| FGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MUGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HPN | 300.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 150.00 | 120.00 |
| HFC | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HFS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SBN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Subtotal | 925.00 | 220.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 310.00 | 0.00 | 390.00 | 120.00 |
| Prek Sangke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CT | 225.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 317.50 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 |
| FGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MUGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HPN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.75 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| HFC | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HFS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SBN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Subtotal | 225.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 416.25 | 0.00 | 150.00 | 0.00 |
| Prek Tal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MUGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HPN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HFC | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HFS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| SBN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Subtotal | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| Kampong Chin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CGN | 125.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 250.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CT | 200.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 150.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MUGN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HPN | 25.00 | 250.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 32.50 |
| HFC | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| HFS | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SBN | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Subtotal | 450.00 | 250.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 413.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 32.50 |
| Summary | 1600.00 | 470.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1139.25 | 0.00 | 540.00 | 154.50 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3903.75 |

Remarks: $C G N=$ Crab gillnet, $S G N=$ Shrimp gillnet, $C T=$ Crab trap, $F G N=$ Fish gillnet, $M G N=$ Mackerel gillnet, MUGN=Mullet gillnet, HPN=Hand push net, HFC=Hand fishing crab, HFS=Hand fishing shellfish, HK=Hook, SBN=Set bagnet/stow net, CN=Cast net
d) Mean amount of loan (in USD) taken by each fishers

| Village | Mean amount (USD) |  |  |  |  |  | Mean amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial bank |  | Middlemen |  | Others |  |  |
|  | Fisheries | Non-fisheries | Fisheries | Non-fisheries | Fisheries | Non-fisheries |  |
| Prek Pros | 92.50 | 110.00 | 38.75 | 0.00 | 65.00 | 120.00 | 72.78 |
| Prek Sangke | 75.00 | 0.00 | 41.63 | 0.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 52.75 |
| Prek Tal | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Kampong Chin | 75.00 | 250.00 | 103.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 32.50 | 95.46 |
| Mean amount | 84.21 | 156.67 | 51.78 | 0.00 | 67.50 | 51.50 | 70.98 |



Figure 16. Source of credit and total amount by village


Figure 17. Source of credit and number of fishermen whom taking loan by village

Annex 5-3

## Analytical Result - Part III

## Part III: Gender role through time-consuming in working for a month

## Part III - 1 Involvement of women in fisheries

a) Involvement of women in fisheries by village

| Village | Fishing gear repair <br> \& preparation | Fishing | Fish <br> trading | Fish <br> processing | Fish <br> culturing | Others | No. of <br> participation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prek Pros | 12 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 41 |
| Prek Sangke | 11 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 29 |
| Prek Tal | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 19 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 23 |
| Total HH | 31 | 17 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 58 | 112 |
| Percentage (\%) | 27.7 | 15.2 | 17.9 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 51.8 |  |

Remark: $\mathrm{HH}=$ Household


Figure 1. Involvement of women in fisheries by village


Figure 2. Involvement of women in fisheries by total
b) Involvement of women in fisheries by village and age group

| Agegroup | Fishing gear repair \& preparation |  |  | Fishing |  |  | Fish trading |  |  | Fish processing |  |  | Fish culturing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of HH | Days | Hours | No. of HH | Days | Hours | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ \text { HH } \end{gathered}$ | Days | Hours | No. of HH | Days | Hours | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{No} \text { NH of } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Days | Hours |
| 16-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 1 | 20 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Tal | 4 | 14 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3 | 24.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sub-total | 5 | 17 | 1.0 | 1 | 3 | 24.0 | 1 | 5 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 25-35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 3 | 9 | 7.0 | 2 | 15 | 11.0 | 1 | 20 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 4 | 18 | 3.0 | 3 | 19 | 8.0 | 5 | 22 | 1.0 | 1 | 20 | 3.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Tal | 1 | 5 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 16 | 0.3 | 1 | 15 | 12.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sub-total | 8 | 10.7 | 3.7 | 5 | 17 | 9.5 | 8 | 19 | 0.6 | 2 | 17.5 | 7.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 36-45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 4 | 7 | 3.5 | 1 | 25 | 9.0 | 3 | 25 | 6.0 | 2 | 25 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 4 | 14 | 4.0 | 3 | 18 | 4.0 | 1 | 20 | 6.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Tal | 1 | 2 | 3.0 | 1 | 10 | 10.0 | 1 | 30 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 13 | 9.0 | 3 | 23 | 0.5 | 1 | 7 | 6.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sub-total | 9 | 8 | 3.5 | 8 | 16.5 | 8.0 | 8 | 24.5 | 3.3 | 3 | 16 | 3.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 46-55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 3 | 16 | 5.0 | 1 | 20 | 6.0 | 2 | 20 | 1.0 | 1 | 20 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 1 | 4 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Tal | 2 | 16 | 4.0 | 1 | 4 | 7.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sub-total | 6 | 11.8 | 3.7 | 2 | 12 | 6.5 | 2 | 20 | 1.0 | 1 | 20 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 56-65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 2 | 16 | 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 1 | 5 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 22 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Tal | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sub-total | 3 | 10.5 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 22 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 66-75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Tal | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 30 | 10.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sub-total | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 30 | 10.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 12 | 11 | 4.7 | 4 | 19 | 9.3 | 6 | 23 | 4.5 | 3 | 23 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 11 | 14 | 2.9 | 6 | 19 | 6.2 | 7 | 21 | 1.5 | 1 | 20 | 3.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Tal | 8 | 12 | 2.2 | 3 | 15 | 9.0 | 2 | 18 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 11 | 13.0 | 5 | 20 | 0.4 | 2 | 11 | 9.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 31 | 12 | 3.4 | 17 | 16 | 9.0 | 20 | 22 | 2.6 | 6 | 19 | 4.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |

Remark: HH=Household; Days=Days/month; Hours=Hours/day
c) Involvement of women in fisheries by age group

| Age group | Fishing gear repair \& preparation |  |  | Fishing |  |  | Fish trading |  |  | Fish processing |  |  | Fish culturing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of HH | Days | Hours | No. of HH | Days | Hours | No. of HH | Days | Hours | No. of HH | Days | Hours | No. of HH | Days | Hours |
| 16-24 | 5 | 17 | 1.0 | 1 | 3 | 24.0 | 1 | 5 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 25-35 | 8 | 11 | 3.7 | 5 | 17 | 9.5 | 8 | 19 | 0.6 | 2 | 18 | 7.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 36-45 | 9 | 8 | 3.5 | 8 | 17 | 8.0 | 8 | 25 | 3.3 | 3 | 16 | 3.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 46-55 | 6 | 12 | 3.7 | 2 | 12 | 6.5 | 2 | 20 | 1.0 | 1 | 20 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 56-65 | 3 | 11 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 22 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 66-75 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 30 | 10.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 31 | 12 | 3.4 | 17 | 16 | 9.0 | 20 | 22 | 2.6 | 6 | 19 | 4.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |

Part III - 2 Involvement of woman in household works and other businesses

| Village | Laboring and other <br> than fisheries | Hoursehold works | No. of <br> participation |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Prek Pros | 4 | 41 | 41 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 28 | 29 |
| Prek Tal | 5 | 19 | 19 |
| Kampong Chin | 3 | 17 | 23 |
| Total | 12 | 105 | 112 |
| Percentage(\%) | 10.7 | 93.8 |  |



Figure 3. Involvement of women in household works and other businesses by village


Figure 4. Involvement of women in household works and other businesses by total

Preliminary Socio-Economic Survey in
Commune Teuk Thla, Sihanoukville, Cambodia
b) Involvement of women in household works and other businesses by age group

| Age group | Laboring other than fisheries |  |  | Household works |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of HH | Days | Hours | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{No} \text { of } \\ \mathrm{HH} \end{gathered}$ | Days | Hours |
| 16-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 30 | 7.0 |
| Prek Tal | 3 | 7 | 6.0 | 8 | 30 | 9.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 30 | 12.0 |
| Sub-total | 3 | 7 | 6.0 | 12 | 30 | 9.3 |
| 25-35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 29 | 8.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 30 | 9.0 |
| Prek Tal | 1 | 20 | 8.0 | 2 | 30 | 8.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 2 | 25 | 7.0 | 5 | 30 | 7.0 |
| Sub-total | 3 | 23 | 7.5 | 24 | 30 | 8.0 |
| 36-45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 2 | 20 | 4.0 | 18 | 30 | 7.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 30 | 7.0 |
| Prek Tal | 1 | 20 | 8.0 | 4 | 30 | 7.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 1 | 30 | 6.0 | 9 | 30 | 6.0 |
| Sub-total | 4 | 23 | 6.0 | 42 | 30 | 6.8 |
| 46-55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 2 | 15 | 7.5 | 10 | 30 | 8.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 30 | 9.5 |
| Prek Tal | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 30 | 9.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 30 | 12.0 |
| Sub-total | 2 | 15 | 7.5 | 16 | 30 | 9.6 |
| 56-65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 30 | 5.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 30 | 9.0 |
| Prek Tal | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 30 | 9.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sub-total | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 30 | 7.7 |
| 66-75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 30 | 11.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Tal | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 30 | 4.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sub-total | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 30 | 7.5 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 4 | 18 | 5.8 | 41 | 30 | 7.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 28 | 30 | 8.0 |
| Prek Tal | 5 | 12 | 6.8 | 19 | 30 | 8.1 |
| Kampong Chin | 3 | 27 | 6.7 | 17 | 30 | 7.6 |
| Total | 12 | 18 | 6.4 | 105 | 30 | 7.6 |

Remark: $H H=$ Household ; Days=Days/month; Hours=Hours/day
c) Summary of Involvement of women in household work and other businesses by age group

| Age <br> group | Laboring other <br> than fisheries |  |  | Household <br> works |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of <br> HH | Days | Hours | No. of <br> HH | Days | Hours |
| $16-24$ | 3 | 7 | 6.0 | 12 | 30 | 9.3 |
| $25-35$ | 3 | 23 | 7.5 | 24 | 30 | 8.0 |
| $36-45$ | 4 | 23 | 6.0 | 42 | 30 | 6.8 |
| $46-55$ | 2 | 15 | 7.5 | 16 | 30 | 9.6 |
| $56-65$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 30 | 7.7 |
| $66-75$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 30 | 7.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6}$ |

Remark: HH=Household ; Days=Days/month; Hours=Hours/day

## Part III - 3 Involvement of men in fisheries

a) Involvement of men in fisheries by village

| Village | Fishing gear repair <br> \& preparation | Fishing | Fish <br> trading | Fish <br> processing | Fish <br> culturing | No. of <br> participation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prek Pros | 26 | 34 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 41 |
| Prek Sangke | 18 | 29 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| Prek Tal | 12 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Kampong Chin | 15 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 23 |
| Total HH | 71 | 102 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 112 |
| Percentage $(\%)$ | 63.4 | 91.1 | 9.8 | 3.6 | 0.0 |  |



Figure 5. Involvement of men in fisheries by village


Figure 6. Involvement of men in fisheries by total
b) Involvement of men in fisheries by age group

| Age group | Fishing gear repair \& preparation |  |  | Fishing |  |  | Fish trading |  |  | Fish processing |  |  | Fish culturing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of HH | Days | Hours | No. of HH | Days | Hours | No. of HH | Days | Hours | No. of HH | Days | Hours | No. of HH | Days | Hours |
| 16-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 2 | 23 | 1.3 | 6 | 17 | 7.5 | 2 | 23 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Tal | 6 | 21 | 3.0 | 8 | 21 | 6.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 2 | 28 | 2.0 | 3 | 20 | 7.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sub-total | 10 | 24 | 2.1 | 17 | 19 | 7.0 | 2 | 23 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 25-35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 4 | 10 | 8.0 | 7 | 23 | 8.0 | 2 | 25 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 3 | 24 | 5.0 | 8 | 24 | 9.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Tal | 2 | 23 | 1.0 | 2 | 23 | 10.0 | 1 | 15 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 4 | 15 | 0.5 | 5 | 24 | 10.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 15 | 12.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sub-total | 13 | 18 | 3.6 | 22 | 23 | 9.3 | 3 | 20 | 1.3 | 1 | 15 | 12.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 36-45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek. Pros | 13 | 15 | 5.0 | 14 | 25 | 7.5 | 3 | 25 | 0.5 | 1 | 25 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 9 | 20 | 5.5 | 10 | 25 | 7.0 | 1 | 20 | 6.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Tal | 1 | 30 | 0.3 | 2 | 19 | 8.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 7 | 20 | 3.0 | 12 | 21 | 9.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 7 | 6.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sub-total | 30 | 21 | 3.5 | 38 | 23 | 7.9 | 4 | 23 | 3.3 | 2 | 16 | 3.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 46-55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 6 | 17 | 7.0 | 9 | 22 | 7.5 | 1 | 20 | 0.5 | 1 | 20 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 2 | 7 | 2.5 | 2 | 20 | 5.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Tal | 1 | 1 | 7.0 | 2 | 28 | 7.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 2 | 29 | 1.0 | 2 | 24 | 11.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sub-total | 11 | 13 | 4.4 | 15 | 23 | 7.8 | 1 | 20 | 0.5 | 1 | 20 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 56-65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 2 | 30 | 1.5 | 3 | 25 | 8.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 2 | 18 | 1.3 | 3 | 24 | 9.0 | 1 | 22 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Tal | 2 | 16 | 1.5 | 2 | 9 | 8.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sub-total | 6 | 21 | 1.4 | 8 | 19 | 8.5 | 1 | 22 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 66-75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 1 | 25 | 7.0 | 1 | 25 | 7.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Tal | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 30 | 10.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sub-total | 1 | 25 | 7.0 | 2 | 28 | 8.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 26 | 16 | 5.6 | 34 | 24 | 7.7 | 6 | 24 | 1.1 | 2 | 23 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 18 | 19 | 4.2 | 29 | 23 | 7.8 | 4 | 22 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Tal | 12 | 20 | 2.7 | 17 | 21 | 7.6 | 1 | 15 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 15 | 21 | 2.0 | 22 | 22 | 9.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 11 | 9.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 71 | 18 | 4.0 | 102 | 22 | 8.0 | 11 | 22 | 1.3 | 4 | 17 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |

Remark: HH=Household; Days=Days/month; Hours=Hours/day
C) Summary of Involvement of men in fisheries by age group

| Age group | Fishing gear repair \& preparation |  |  | Fishing |  |  | Fish trading |  |  | Fish processing |  |  | Fish culturing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of HH | Days | Hours | No. of HH | Days | Hours | No. of HH | Days | Hours | No. of HH | Days | Hours | No. of HH | Days | Hours |
| 16-24 | 10 | 24 | 2.1 | 17 | 19 | 7.0 | 2 | 23 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 25-35 | 13 | 18 | 3.6 | 22 | 23 | 9.3 | 3 | 20 | 1.3 | 1 | 15 | 12.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 36-45 | 30 | 21 | 3.5 | 38 | 23 | 7.9 | 4 | 23 | 3.3 | 2 | 16 | 3.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 46-55 | 11 | 13 | 4.4 | 15 | 23 | 7.8 | 1 | 20 | 0.5 | 1 | 20 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 56-65 | 6 | 21 | 1.4 | 8 | 19 | 8.5 | 1 | 22 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 66-75 | 1 | 25 | 7.0 | 2 | 28 | 8.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 71 | 18 | 4.0 | 102 | 22 | 8.0 | 11 | 22 | 1.3 | 4 | 17.3 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |

Remark: $H H=$ Household ; Days=Days/month; Hours=Hours/day

Part III - 4 Involvement of men in household works and other businesses

| Village | Laboring and other <br> than fisheries | Hoursehold works | No. of <br> participation |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Prek Pros | 3 | 24 | 41 |
| Prek Sangke | 1 | 15 | 29 |
| Prek Tal | 8 | 10 | 19 |
| Kampong Chin | 5 | 15 | 23 |
| Total | 17 | 64 | 112 |
| Percentage(\%) | 15.18 | 57.14 |  |



Figure 7. Involvement of men in household works and other businesses by village


Figure 8. Involvement of men in household works and other businesses by total
b) Involvement of men in household work and other businesses by age group

| Agegroup | Laboring other than fisheries |  |  | Household works |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of HH | Days | Hours | No. of HH | Days | Hours |
| 16-24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 29 | 2.3 |
| Prek Tal | 4 | 11 | 6.0 | 5 | 25 | 3.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 1 | 3 | 5.0 | 3 | 23 | 4.0 |
| Sub-total | 5 | 7 | 5.5 | 12 | 26 | 3.1 |
| 25-35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 1 | 20 | 4.0 | 4 | 19 | 2.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 25 | 3.3 |
| Prek Tal | 1 | 20 | 8.0 | 1 | 30 | 0.5 |
| Kampong Chin | 2 | 25 | 7.0 | 4 | 28 | 1.3 |
| Sub-total | 4 | 22 | 6.3 | 13 | 25 | 1.8 |
| 36-45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 2 | 7 | 8.0 | 13 | 21 | 3.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 24 | 4.0 |
| Prek Tal | 2 | 15 | 8.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 2 | 17 | 6.0 | 7 | 22 | 2.0 |
| Sub-total | 6 | 13 | 7.3 | 25 | 22 | 3.0 |
| 46-55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 18 | 4.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 15 | 4.0 |
| Prek Tal | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 15 | 7.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 15 | 6.0 |
| Sub-total | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 16 | 5.3 |
| 56-65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 23 | 4.5 |
| Prek Sangke | 1 | 20 | 8.0 | 1 | 25 | 2.0 |
| Prek Tal | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 23 | 3.5 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sub-total | 1 | 20 | 8.0 | 5 | 23 | 3.3 |
| 66-75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 15 | 7.0 |
| Prek Sangke | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Prek Tal | 1 | 10 | 4.0 | 1 | 3 | 1.0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sub-total | 1 | 10 | 4.0 | 2 | 9 | 4.0 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Pros | 3 | 11 | 6.7 | 24 | 20 | 3.5 |
| Prek Sangke | 1 | 20 | 8.0 | 15 | 25 | 3.1 |
| Prek Tal | 8 | 13 | 6.5 | 10 | 22 | 2.9 |
| Kampong Chin | 5 | 17 | 6.2 | 15 | 23 | 2.4 |
| Total | 17 | 14 | 6.5 | 64 | 22 | 3.0 |

Remark: HH=Household ; Days=Days/month; Hours=Hours/day
c) Summary of Involvement of men in household works and other businesses by age group

| Age <br> group | Laboring other <br> than fisheries |  |  | Household <br> works |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of <br> HH | Days | Hours | No. of <br> HH | Days | Hours |
| $16-24$ | 5 | 7 | 5.5 | 12 | 26 | 3.1 |
| $25-35$ | 4 | 22 | 6.3 | 13 | 25 | 1.8 |
| $36-45$ | 6 | 13 | 7.3 | 25 | 22 | 3.0 |
| $46-55$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 16 | 5.3 |
| $56-65$ | 1 | 20 | 8.0 | 5 | 23 | 3.3 |
| $66-75$ | 1 | 10 | 4.0 | 2 | 9 | 4.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ |

Remark: HH=Household ; Days=Days/month; Hours=Hours/day

## Annex 5-4

## Analytical Result - Part IV

## Part IV: Role of fishermen participation in Community Fisheries (CF) activities or other fishery or community related activities

Part IV-1 Membership of Community Fisheries (CF) and other groups
a) Membership of CF and others group by village

| Village | Total | CF membership |  | Others | Percentage(\%) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | None | Member | Group | CF Non-member | CF Member |
| Prek Pros | 41 | 12 | 29 | $1^{*}$ | 29 | 71 |
| Prek Sangke | 29 | 6 | 23 | 0 | 21 | 79 |
| Prek Tal | 19 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 53 | 47 |
| Kampong Chin | 23 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 83 | 17 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ |

Remark: * 1 person was a member of 2 groups CF and other group


Figure 1. Membership of Community Fisheries group by village

-CF Non-member 日CF Member
Figure 2. Membership of Community Fisheries group by total

Preliminary Socio-Economic Survey in
Commune Teuk Thla, Sihanoukville, Cambodia
b) Roles of members in CF and other groups

| Village | CF |  | Others |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Member | Committee | Member |
| Prek Pros | 27 | 2 | $1^{*}$ |
| Prek Sangke | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| Prek Tal | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Kampong Chin | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

Remark: * I person was a member of 2 groups CF and other group
Part IV - 2 Involvement in organizational activities (day/hours per month/year)
a) Member's involvement in organization activities

| Membership status by village | None parti, in CF Act.(person) | Parti, in CF <br> Act.(person) | CF |  |  |  |  |  | Others |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Meeting (person) | Times/yr | Hrs./d. | Patrolling (person) | Times/yr | Hrs./d. | Meeting (person) | Times/yr | Hrs./d. |
| Prek Pros | 8 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Committee | 0 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 126 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Member | 8 | 19 | 18 | 29 | 5 | 3 | 20 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Prek Sangke | 6 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Committee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Member | 6 | 17 | 11 | 16 | 3 | 9 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Prek Tal | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Committee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Member | 3 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kampong Chin | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Committee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Member | 0 | 4 | 4 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 17 | 48 | 4■ | 82 | $2 \square$ | 16 | 164 | 29 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Percentage(\%) | 26 | 74 | 71 |  |  | 29 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| Average |  |  |  | 15 | 4 |  | 30 | 7 |  | 1 | 3 |

Remark: parti=participation, Act. =Activities, Hrs. $/ d=$ Hours/day


Figure 3. Community Fisheries' s member involve in the group activities by total (in percentage)


Figure 4. Period of member's in CF group and others group involve in the group activities

## Part IV - 3 Participation in community development works or group activities

a) Participation in community development works by age group and by total

| Age <br> group | Total household <br> of membership | Status |  | Participation of CF activities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Committee | Member | Patrolling | Percentage(\%) | Meeting | Percentage(\%) |  |
| $16-24$ | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1.8 | 1 | 1.8 |
| $25-35$ | 15 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 5.4 | 10 | 17.9 |
| $36-45$ | 30 | 1 | 29 | 8 | 14.3 | 16 | 28.6 |
| $46-55$ | 9 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 3.6 | 6 | 10.7 |
| $56-65$ | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 3.6 | 7 | 12.5 |
| $66-75$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4} \square$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 4}$ |



Figure 5. Participation in Patrolling and Meeting for CF activities classify by age group

Preliminary Socio-Economic Survey in
Commune Teuk Thla, Sihanoukville, Cambodia
b) Participation in community development works by age group and by village

| Age <br> group | Total household <br> of membership | Status |  | Participation of CF activities |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 6 - 2 4}$ |  |  |  |  | Member | Patrolling | Meeting |
| :---: |
| Prek Pros |

## Part IV - 4 Incentive of member's participation

a) Incentive of CF's member and other group member

| Local organization by village | Total household membership | Non-participation |  | Participation |  | Incentive |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage(\%) | No | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | in cash | in kinds |
| Prek Pros  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF | 29 | 8 | 28 | 21 | 72 | 21 |  |  |
| Others | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Prek Sangke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF | 23 | 6 | 26 | 17 | 74 | 17 |  |  |
| Others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prek Tal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF | 9 | 3 | 33 | 6 | 67 | 6 |  |  |
| Others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kampong Chin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 100 | 4 |  |  |
| Others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 65 | 17 |  | 48 |  | 48 | E | 1 |
| Percentage(\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Others |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 100 |



Figure 6. Status CF member whom participate in group s activities by village


Figure 7. Incentive of CF's member and other group by total

## Annex 5-5

## Analytical Result - Part V

## Part V: Problems, interests, needs and expectations

## I. PROBLEM

| Village | Prepros |  | Prek Sanke |  | Prek Tal |  | Kampo. Chin |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Issues | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| - Total interviewees | 41 |  | 29 |  | 19 |  | 23 |  | 112 |  |
| - No response / No comment | 2 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 5 |  |
| A. Issue in fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01. Encroachment by industrial fishing boats | 36 | 87.8 | 27 | 93.1 | 13 | 68.4 | 10 | 43.5 | 86 | 76.8 |
| 02. Declining fishery resources | 8 | 19.5 | 2 | 6.9 | 7 | 36.8 | 7 | 30.4 | 24 | 21.4 |
| 03. Climatic changes | 8 | 19.5 | 3 | 10.3 | 4 | 21.1 | 2 | 8.7 | 17 | 15.2 |
| 04. Price hike of bait fish (crab trap fishing) | 6 | 14.6 | 2 | 6.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 7.1 |
| 05. Obsolete or too small fishing boat | 1 | 2.4 | 2 | 6.9 | 1 | 5.3 | 2 | 8.7 | 6 | 5.4 |
| 06. Environmental degradation | 3 | 7.3 | 1 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.3 | 5 | 4.5 |
| 07. Poor fish catch by each fisher | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 15.8 | 1 | 4.3 | 4 | 3.6 |
| 08 . No intervention by the authorities for illegal fishing | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 13.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 3.6 |
| 09. Lack of money to procure fishing equipment | 3 | 7.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.3 | 4 | 3.6 |
| 10. Too many fishers in the sea | 1 | 2.4 | 1 | 3.4 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 2.7 |
| 11. Non access to the public credit scheme | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.8 |
| 12. Price hike of fuel | 2 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.8 |
| 13. Lack of knowledge in aquaculture | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 14. Invasion to the fishing ground by other fishers | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 15. Loss of fishing gear by theft | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. Issue in socio-economics/infrastructure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01. No water supply system | 7 | 17.1 | 6 | 20.7 | 3 | 15.8 | 3 | 13.0 | 19 | 17.0 |
| 02. Insufficient income to sustain a family | 6 | 14.6 | 4 | 13.8 | 1 | 5.3 | 4 | 17.4 | 15 | 13.4 |
| 03. Lack of medical care facilities | 2 | 4.9 | 2 | 6.9 | 3 | 15.8 | 7 | 30.4 | 14 | 12.5 |
| 04. No electricity supply system | 1 | 2.4 | 5 | 17.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 13.0 | 9 | 8.0 |
| 05. No other alternative job than fishing | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 6.9 | 1 | 5.3 | 3 | 13.0 | 6 | 5.4 |
| 06. Lack of road connection | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.3 | 2 | 8.7 | 4 | 3.6 |
| 07. Lack of public transportation | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 8.7 | 2 | 1.8 |
| 08. Poor house to live | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 8.7 | 2 | 1.8 |
| 09. No toilet facilities in a house | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.3 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 10. Lack of language education | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 11. Poor educational facilities | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 12. No job for family | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. Issue in agriculture / livestock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01. Sea water inflow into paddy field | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.4 | 2 | 10.5 | 1 | 4.3 | 4 | 3.6 |
| 02. Lack of fund to procure livestock | 1 | 2.4 | 2 | 6.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 2.7 |
| 03. Lack of knowledge on animal raising | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 04. Mice and insect infestation in paddy field | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 05. Breaking out fire in paddy field | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 06. Small paddy field | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.3 | 1 | 0.9 |

Figure 1: Problem by total


Figure 2: Problem by sector
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## 2. INTEREST



Figure 3: Interests by total



``` Training in animal husbandry

``` Trairing in marine engine repair/maintenance Training in agriculture
Training in initiation of any other business
Training to be a tailor
Training in aquaculture
\(\square\) Training in resources management
Leaming English language
- Training in boat building
Training to be a barber
Training in extension services
Training in fish trading
Training in fisheries regulation
Training in fisheries regulation enforcement
Introduction of passive fishing gear
Training in cooking
- Participation women's group activity
Continue studying in school
Study tour in civilized countries
```

Figure 4: Interests by sector


## 3. IMMEDIATE NEED

| Village | Prepros |  | Prek <br> Sanke |  | Prek Tal |  | Kamp. Chin |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Issues | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| - Total interviewees | 41 |  | 29 |  | 19 |  | 23 |  | 112 |  |
| - No response / No comment | 8 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 9 |  |
| A. Issue in fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01. Procurement of a motorized / larger boat | 9 | 22.0 | 3 | 10.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 10.7 |
| 02. Procurement of more fishing gear | 2 | 4.9 | 3 | 10.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 17.4 | 9 | 8.0 |
| 03. Government intervention to prevent illegal fishing | 3 | 7.3 | 4 | 13.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 6.3 |
| 04. An engine for the patrol boat | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 10.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 2.7 |
| 05. Construction of CF office building | 1 | 2.4 | 1 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.8 |
| 06. Well organized marketing system | 2 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.8 |
| 07. Public credit system with low interest | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 08. Kick-off fund for credit scheme to CF | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 09. Improvement of present fishing technology | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 10. Government subsidy for procurement of fishing equipment | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. Issue in socio-economics/infrastructure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01. Water supply system | 26 | 63.4 | 21 | 72.4 | 11 | 57.9 | 13 | 56.5 | 71 | 63.4 |
| 02. Construction of clinics/ hospitals | 5 | 12.2 | 9 | 31.0 | 11 | 57.9 | 14 | 60.9 | 39 | 34.8 |
| 03. Electricity supply system | 8 | 19.5 | 14 | 48.3 | 6 | 31.6 | 10 | 43.5 | 38 | 33.9 |
| 04. Construction of a toilet in the house | 3 | 7.3 | 14 | 48.3 | 5 | 26.3 | 2 | 8.7 | 24 | 21.4 |
| 05. Creation of employment opportunity | 1 | 2.4 | 6 | 20.7 | 2 | 10.5 | 4 | 17.4 | 13 | 11.6 |
| 06. Construction of roads | 4 | 9.8 | 1 | 3.4 | 1 | 5.3 | 5 | 21.7 | 11 | 9.8 |
| 07. Construction of a house | 4 | 9.8 | 3 | 10.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.3 | 8 | 7.1 |
| 08. Construction of more schools | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 15.8 | 3 | 13.0 | 6 | 5.4 |
| 09. Public transportation | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 8.7 | 2 | 1.8 |
| 10. More support from government/ NGOs | 2 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.8 |
| 11. Bicycles for children to commute to school | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 12. Laying telephone lines | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 13. Education facilities for the elderly | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.3 | 1 | 0.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. Issue in agriculture / livestock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01. Construction dikes to protect sea water flow-in to paddy field | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 21.1 | 1 | 4.3 | 5 | 4.5 |
| 02. Bigger land for cultivation | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.3 | 1 | 4.3 | 3 | 2.7 |
| 03. Procurement of more fertilizer | 1 | 2.4 | 1 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.3 | 3 | 2.7 |
| 04. More numbers of livestock | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 8.7 | 3 | 2.7 |
| 05. Development of irrigation system | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 10.5 | 1 | 4.3 | 3 | 2.7 |

Figure 5: Immediate needs by total
Construction of clinics/ hospitals

Figure 6: Immediate needs by sector
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## 4. EXPECTATION

| Village | Prepros |  | Prek Sanke |  | Prek Tal |  | Kamp. Chin |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Issues | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| - Total interviewees | 41 |  | 29 |  | 19 |  | 23 |  | 112 |  |
| - No response / No comment | 0 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  | 3 |  |
| A. Topics in fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01. Changing profession to animal husbandry | 7 | 17.1 | 4 | 13.8 | 3 | 15.8 | 3 | 13.0 | 17 | 15.2 |
| 02. Changing profession to factory labouring | 5 | 12.2 | 5 | 17.2 | 1 | 5.3 | 4 | 17.4 | 15 | 13.4 |
| 03. Operation with a bigger and motorized boat | 7 | 17.1 | 1 | 3.4 | 3 | 15.8 | 2 | 8.7 | 13 | 11.6 |
| 04. Continue fishing occupation | 2 | 4.9 | 1 | 3.4 | 4 | 21.1 | 5 | 21.7 | 12 | 10.7 |
| 05 . Changing profession to any other job than fisheries | 3 | 7.3 | 1 | 3.4 | 1 | 5.3 | 3 | 13.0 | 8 | 7.1 |
| 06. Well controlled resources management system | 2 | 4.9 | 1 | 3.4 | 3 | 15.8 | 3 | 13.0 | 9 | 8.0 |
| 07. Changing profession to agriculture | 3 | 7.3 | 1 | 3.4 | 1 | 5.3 | 1 | 4.3 | 6 | 5.4 |
| 08. Changing profession to aquaculture | 2 | 4.9 | 1 | 3.4 | 2 | 10.5 | 1 | 4.3 | 6 | 5.4 |
| 09. Continue fishing with improved fishing methods | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 6.9 | 1 | 5.3 | 3 | 13.0 | 6 | 5.4 |
| 10. Job opportunities available during off-fishing seasons | 5 | 12.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 4.5 |
| 11. Establishing a fish processing factory | 4 | 9.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 3.6 |
| 12. Changing profession to a driver/a mechanic | 1 | 2.4 | 1 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.3 | 3 | 2.7 |
| 13. Changing profession to trading | 2 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.8 |
| 14. Continue fishing but not for the next generation | 2 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.8 |
| 15. Expanded fish marketing channels | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 16. Aquaculture projects in the area | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. Topics in socio-economics/infrastructure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01. Created job opportunities for family | 3 | 7.3 | 4 | 13.8 | 2 | 10.5 | 8 | 34.8 | 17 | 15.2 |
| 02. Good education facilities for children | 2 | 4.9 | 6 | 20.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 39.1 | 17 | 15.2 |
| 03. Construction of a spacious house | 3 | 7.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 3.6 |
| 04. Improved medical services | 1 | 2.4 | 2 | 6.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 2.7 |
| 05. More assistance from the government/NGOs | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.8 |
| 06. More civilized lives for children | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 8.7 | 2 | 1.8 |
| 07. Improved public transportation system | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 08. Sufficient income to sustain their families | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 09. Established English language school in the area | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 10. Institutionalization of government credit scheme | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 11. Purchase a motor-cycle | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.3 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 12. Purchase of a car | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. Topics in agriculture / livestock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01. Increased numbers of livestock | 4 | 9.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.3 | 5 | 4.5 |
| 02. Obtained more land for agriculture | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.8 |
| 03. Strict control of cutting trees in the mountain | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.9 |

Figure 7: Expectations in the future by total


Figure 8: Expectations in the future by sector




[^0]:    * Calculated based on 20 fishing days per month

