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#### Abstract

The studies were carried out between $9^{\text {th }}$ July and $3^{\text {rd }}$ August 1996 ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ cruise) and $30^{\text {th }}$ April and $30^{\text {th }}$ May, 1997 ( $4^{\text {th }}$ cruise) in the Exclusive Economic Zone of Sarawak and the western part of Sabah. The species distribution, abundance, composition and length-weight relationships of some commercially important fish were investigated and compared for both cruises. The results indicates that the overall catch rate ranged from 3.5 to $194 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ and averaged at $55.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ cruise. For the $4^{\text {th }}$ cruise, it ranged from 10.9 to $90.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ and averaged at $50.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. During the $3^{\text {rd }}$ cruise, $46.9 \%$ of the catch were dominated by demersal fish followed by $41.6 \%$ trash fish, $7.8 \%$ pelagic fish and $3.1 \%$ cephalopod. Priacanthidae was the most dominant family, which made up of $14.1 \%$ of the catch followed by Nemipteridae (10.8\%), Carangidae (5.0\%), Lutjanidae (3.7\%) and Mullidae (2.1\%). The ten most dominant species found during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ cruise were 1. Priacanthus macracanthus ( $13.2 \%$ ), 2. Nemipterus bathybius (3.3\%), 3. Abalistes stellaris (2.8\%), 4. Arius spp.(2.5\%), 5. N. nematophorus (2.2\%), 6. Gymnocranius griseus (1.9\%), 7. N. marginatus (1.7\%), 8. Sepia spp. (1.7\%), 9. Decapterus spp. (1.6\%) and 10. Carcharhinus spp (1.3\%). During the $4^{\text {th }}$ cruise, the family Nemipteridae ( $12.7 \%$ ) formed the most dominant fish family followed by Carangidae (8.7\%), Mullidae (7.1\%), Lutjanidae ( $4.9 \%$ ) and Priacanthidae ( $2.2 \%$ ). The ten most dominant species were: 1. Loligo $s p p .(5.7 \%), 2$. Nemipterus bathybius (4.2\%), 3. Abalistes stellaris (4.0\%), 4. Upeneus moluccensi (3.8\%), 5. Nemipterus nemurus (3.8\%), 6. Gymnocranius griseus (3.2\%), 7. Carangoides malabaricus (3.2\%), 8. Plectorhynchus pictus (3.1\%), 9. Upeneus bensasi (2.4\%) and 10. Arius spp. (1.8\%). The morphometric study shows that the population of fish are normally distributed.
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## Introduction

In 1995, a total of 1,108,436 m.t. of marine fish was landed in Malaysia and valued at RM2.71 billion. Out of this total landings, 99,255 m.t. were landed in Sarawak and 166,462 m.t. in Sabah (Anon., 1995). Demersal fish was considered as one of the important fish resources in Sarawak and Sabah. The total landings by trawl net (which consisted mainly of demersal fish) were estimated at 61,958 m.t in Sarawak and 49,106 m.t. in Sabah. Pelagic fish production in Sarawak was very low (about 1,313 m.t. only) as compared to the landings in Sabah i.e. 28,875 m.t.

This paper compares the distribution, abundance, species composition and biological parameters of some commercially important fish species following the two surveys conducted using a research vessel, KK MANCHONG in the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) of Sarawak and the western part of Sabah. The sampling stations selected were based on the acoustic stations which were surveyed by MV SEAFDEC.

## Materials and Methods

Two surveys were conducted using KK MANCHONG, a research vessel of the Fisheries Research Institute (FRI), Sarawak Branch, Department of Fisheries, Malaysia on $9{ }^{\text {th }}$ July until $3^{\text {rd }}$ August 1996 ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ cruise) and $30^{\text {th }}$ April until $30^{\text {th }}$ May 1997 ( $4^{\text {th }}$ cruise) respectively. The principal characteristics of KK MANCHONG are given as follows:
Type/Hull : Fibre Reinforced Plastic (FRP)
Length $\quad: 27.50 \mathrm{~m}$
Breadth $\quad: 6.40 \mathrm{~m}$
Depth $\quad: 3.00 \mathrm{~m}$
Draft $\quad: 2.20 \mathrm{~m}$
Gross tonnage $: 150$ tons
Registered tonnage $: 45$ tons
Main engine $: 900 \mathrm{hp}$ Yanmar diesel engine
Speed (trial max.): 12.48 knots
Complement (crew and scientists): 22 persons

The sampling gear used in these studies was a high opening otter trawl net as shown in Figure 1 with a horizontal net opening of 20.5 m and a cod-end mesh size of 38 m . A diagrammatic presentation of the net is shown in Figure 1. The net was towed at 3.5 knots for a one-hour duration at a specific station which coincides with its acoustic station (Figure 2).
During the surveys the total catch of each haul was sorted out according to commercial fish and trash fish without considering size categories. Subsequently the commercial fish species were sorted according to demersal fish, pelagic fish, cephalopods, shrimps, crabs, shells and true trash fish i.e. those which have no commercial values.

The dominant fish species from each sampling station were kept frozen and brought back to the laboratory of the FRI, Sarawak Branch for further biological examinations. The measurements on total length $(L)$ an total body weight $(W)$ of individual fish to the nearest millimeter and gram respectively were made in the laboratory.

The frequency distribution patterns for a number of fish species in the combined samples from entire samplings stations were examined. Length-weight relationships were determined separately for each fish species. Equation of the form $W=a L^{b}$, where $a$ and $b$ are constants of regression, were fitted by transforming the data into logarithms and deriving the regression line by the least square method (Sparre and Venema, 1992).

## Results

## Overall catch rate

Altogether 17 stations were sampled successfully with one haul per station during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ cruise. During the $4^{\text {th }}$ cruise, only 12 stations were successfully trawled. Appendix 1 and 2 shows the overall catch rate of the various species caught from individual stations of the two cruises. The total catch (inclusive of both commercial and trash fish) from individual stations ranged from 3.5 kg to 194.0 kg for the $3^{\text {rd }}$ cruise and 10.9 to 90.5 kg for the $4^{\text {th }}$ cruise. The overall average catch rate for $3^{\text {rd }}$ cruise was $55.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. Of this, $32.6 \mathrm{~kg}(58.3 \%)$ were commercial fish and $23.3 \mathrm{~kg}(41.7 \%)$ trash fish (Table 1). During the $4^{\text {th }}$ cruise, the overall average catch rate was $50.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. It comprised of about 34.4 kg (68.7\%) commercial fish and 15.8 kg ( $31.3 \%$ ) trash fish (Table 2).
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Fig. 1 Design of the high opening trawl net used by KK Manchong


Fig. 2 Trawling stations of KK Manchong

## Species composition

Catch percentage by weight of each species and family caught during both cruises were shown in Table 1 and 2 respectively. During the $3^{\text {rd }}$ cruise, commercial fish formed $58.5 \%$ of the total catch while the remaining $41.5 \%$ made up of trash fish. Among the commercial fish, demersal fish group was the most dominant category making up $46.9 \%$ of the total catch followed by pelagic fish, $7.8 \%$ and cephalopods, $3.1 \%$.
During the $4^{\text {th }}$ cruise, commercial fish made up of $68.7 \%$ of the total catch and the remaining $31.4 \%$ was trash fish. The most dominant commercial fish group was demersal fish (44.6\%), followed by cephalopods ( $11.3 \%$ ) and pelagic fish ( $10.8 \%$ ). Table 3 below shows the summarized catch composition of the major fishery group from the entire sampling stations of the cruises.

Numerous species, genera and families of fish were caught during the surveys. Some of these were much more dominant than the others in terms of catch percentage by weight. During the $3^{\text {rd }}$ cruise (Table 1), the family Priacanthidae appeared to be the most dominant fish family (14.1\%), followed by Nemipteridae (10.8\%), Carangidae (5.0\%), Lutjanidae (3.7\%), and Mullidae (2.1\%). On the other hand, the family Nemipteridae (12.7\%) formed the most dominant fish family during the $4^{\text {th }}$ cruise (Table 2) followed by Carangidae (8.7\%), Mullidae (7.1\%), Lutjanidae (4.9\%) and Priacanthidae (2.2\%).

Ten most dominant species caught during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ cruise were: 1. Priacanthus macracanthus (13.2\%), 2. Nemipterus bathybius (3.3\%), 3. Abalistes stellaris (2.8\%), 4. Arius spp. (2.5\%), 5. Nemipterus nematophorus ( $2.2 \%$ ), 6. Gymnocranius griseus ( $1.9 \%$ ), 7. Nemipterus marginatus ( $1.7 \%$ ), 8. Sepia spp. (1.7\%), 9. Decapterus spp. (1.6\%) and 10. Carcharhinus spp. (1.3\%).

During the $4^{\text {th }}$ cruise, the ten most dominant species were: 1 . Loligo spp. (5.7\%), 2. Nemipterus bathybius (4.2\%), 3. Abalistes stellaris (4.0\%), 4. Upeneus moluccensi (3.8\%), 5. Nemipterus nemurus (3.8\%), 6. Gymnocranius griseus (3.2\%), 7. Carangoides malabaricus (3.2\%), 8. Plectorhynchus pictus (3.1\%), 9. Upeneus bensasi (2.4\%) and 10. Arius spp. (1.8\%).


#### Abstract

Abundance The highest overall catch rate during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ cruise was recorded at station $44(193.7 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$ and station $33(160.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$. The lowest catch rate was at station $35(3.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$ and station $10(9.7 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$. During the $4^{\text {th }}$ cruise, the highest overall catch rate was attained at station $31(90.46 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$ and station $7(82.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$. Meanwhile, the lowest overall catch rate was registered at station $16(12.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$ and station 9 ( $22.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ).

Commercial fish have an average catch rate of $32.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ cruise. The highest catch rate was at station $44,(119.3 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$ and station $33(94.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$ as shown in Figure 3. Demersal fish which was the most dominant among the fish group registered an average catch rate of $26.1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ and appeared to be most abundant at station 44 with catch rate of $106.7 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ and station $33(75.6 \mathrm{~kg}$ / hr). Pelagic fish which have an average catch rate of $4.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ was more abundant at station 33 and 44 with catch rate of $16.1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ and $11.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ respectively. Cephalopods attained an average catch rate of $1.7 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ and were more abundant at station 69 with catch rate of $10.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$.

During the $4^{\text {th }}$ cruise, commercial fish registered an average catch rate of $34.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. The highest catch rate was at station $27,(62.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$ and station $6,(51.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$ as shown in Figure 4 . Demersal fish attained an average catch rate of $22.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ and the highest catch rate was at station 27 ( 57.5 kg ) hr ). Pelagic fish have an average catch rate of $5.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ and was most abundant at station 7 and 6 with catch rate of $16.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr} 13.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. Cephalopods have an average catch rate of $5.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ and were more abundant at station $6(16.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$.

Trash fish have an average catch rate of $23.3 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ and were more abundant at station 44 (74.4 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) and station $7(71.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$ during the $3^{\mathrm{rd}}$ cruise. The trash fish was dominated by Pentaprion


Table 1 Average catch rate ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) and percentage composition from entire sampling stations (3rd Cruise )

| COMMERCIAL FISH | Total | Mean | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selar crumenophthalmus | 18.68 | 1.17 | 1.05 |
| Atule mate | 1.68 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Megalaspis cordyla | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Decapterus spp. | 33.71 | 2.11 | 1.64 |
| Atropus atropus | 1.55 | 0.10 | 0.09 |
| Alepes kalla | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Alectis cilliaris | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Carangoides armatus | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| C. hedlandensis | 0.21 | 0.05 | 0.01 |
| C. malabaricus | 21.11 | 1.32 | 1.16 |
| C. talamparoides | 1.23 | 0.08 | 0.06 |
| Carangoides sp. | 2.90 | 0.18 | 0.14 |
| Selar sp. | 0.43 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| Seriolina nigrofasciata | 8.38 | 0.52 | 0.44 |
| Uraspis uraspis | 4.33 | 0.27 | 0.21 |
| Carangidae | 94.52 | 5.91 | 4.94 |
| Pellona sp. | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| Amblygaster sp. | 26.01 | 1.63 | 1.26 |
| Clupeidae | 26.47 | 1.65 | 1.30 |
| Rastrelliger kanagurta | 0.93 | 0.06 | 0.05 |
| Scomber australascicus | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Scombridae | 1.10 | 0.07 | 0.05 |
| Sphyraena forsteri | 20.10 | 1.26 | 0.98 |
| Sphyraena langsar | 0.56 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| Sphyraena jello | 1.08 | 0.07 | 0.05 |
| Sphyraenidae | 21.75 | 1.36 | 1.06 |
| Chirocentrus dorab | 0.91 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| Parastromateus niger | 2.18 | 0.14 | 0.12 |
| Dussumieria sp. | 5.73 | 0.64 | 0.27 |
| Pelagic fish | 152.56 | 9.54 | 7.79 |
| Abalistes stellaris | 55.44 | 3.47 | 2.78 |
| Argyrops spinifer | 1.30 | 0.08 | 0.06 |
| Arius spp. | 32.12 | 2.01 | 2.51 |
| Ariomma indica | 16.16 | 1.01 | 0.79 |
| Bothidae | 0.35 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Carcharhinus spp. | 28.34 | 1.77 | 1.56 |
| Dasyatis sp. | 15.94 | 1.00 | 0.86 |
| Drepane longimana | 2.76 | 0.17 | 0.22 |
| Epinephelus areolatus | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| Epinephelus coioides | 12.98 | 0.81 | 0.63 |
| Epinephelus diacanthus | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Epinephelus heniochus | 1.23 | 0.08 | 0.06 |
| Epinephelus sexfasciatus | 2.45 | 0.15 | 0.12 |
| Serranidae | 16.81 | 1.05 | 0.82 |
| Gymnocranius griseus | 34.44 | 2.15 | 1.92 |
| Gymnura sp. | 3.03 | 0.19 | 0.15 |
| Lactarius lactarius | 0.43 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| Lutjanus malabaricus | 21.35 | 1.33 | 1.06 |
| Lutianus lineolatus | 11.53 | 0.72 | 0.91 |
| Lutjanus Iutjanus | 3.79 | 0.24 | 0.21 |
| Lutianus sebae | 1.37 | 0.27 | 0.05 |
| Lutjanus vitta | 18.31 | 1.22 | 0.89 |
| Pristipomoides multidens | 10.37 | 0.65 | 0.53 |
| Pristipomoides typus | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Lutjanidae | 66.40 | 4.15 | 3.66 |
| Parupeneus cinnabarinus | 13.73 | 0.86 | 0.74 |
| Upeneus bensasi | 1.85 | 0.12 | 0.16 |
| Upeneus moluccensis | 23.44 | 1.47 | 1.17 |
| Mullidae | 39.03 | 2.44 | 2.07 |
| Nemipterus bathybius | 61.02 | 3.81 | 3.31 |
| N. isacanthus | 2.80 | 0.18 | 0.15 |
| N. japonicus | 9.73 | 1.08 | 0.48 |
| N. marginatus | 35.30 | 2.52 | 1.68 |
| N. mesoprion | 13.94 | 0.87 | 0.68 |
| N. nematophorus | 42.02 | 2.63 | 2.16 |
| $N$. nemurus | 12.10 | 0.76 | 0.65 |
| N. oveniides | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| N. peronii | 17.76 | 1.18 | 0.96 |
| N. virgatus | 14.83 | 0.93 | 0.72 |
| Nemipteridae | 208.48 | 13.03 | 10.80 |
| Platax sp. | 2.38 | 0.15 | 0.12 |
| Plectorhynchus pictus | 11.39 | 0.71 | 0.86 |
| Pomadasys hasta | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| Psenopsis anomala | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Psettodes erumei | 16.21 | 1.01 | 0.81 |
| Priacanthus macracanthus | 268.24 | 16.76 | 13.19 |
| P. tayenus | 9.37 | 0.59 | 0.85 |
| Priacanthus sp. | 0.65 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| Priacanthidae | 278.26 | 17.39 | 14.07 |
| Rhynchobatus djeddensis | 10.33 | 0.65 | 0.60 |
| Saurida micropectoralis | 17.68 | 1.11 | 0.87 |
| Sciaenidae | 13.00 | 0.81 | 0.91 |
| Scolopsis taeniopterus | 4.82 | 0.30 | 0.26 |
| Sphyma mokarran | 3.99 | 0.50 | 0.19 |


| Demersal fish | 879.51 | 54.97 | 46.96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shells | 0.70 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| Trichiurus lepturus | 4.39 | 0.27 | 0.21 |
| Loligo duvauceli | 17.26 | 1.08 | 0.89 |
| Loligo chinensis | 7.34 | 0.46 | 0.38 |
| Sepioteuthis lessoniana | 2.54 | 0.16 | 0.17 |
| Sepia spp. | 33.65 | 2.10 | 1.67 |
| Cephalopods | 60.79 | 3.80 | 3.11 |
| Metapenaeus ensis | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Metapenaeopsis stridulans | 0.39 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Parapenaeopsis sp. | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Solenocera subnuda | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Trachypenaeus fulvus | 0.45 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| Shrimps | 1.06 | 0.07 | 0.05 |
| Panulirus polyphagus | 1.34 | 0.08 | 0.07 |
| Thenus orientalis | 2.53 | 0.17 | 0.14 |
| Crabs | 1.15 | 0.08 | 0.06 |
| TOTAL (COMMERCIAL) | 1104.02 | 69.00 | 58.45 |
| Trash fish |  |  |  |
| Aluterus monoceros | 6.29 | 0.39 | 0.33 |
| Anthiidae | 12.12 | 0.76 | 0.59 |
| Apogon spp. | 14.69 | 0.92 | 0.71 |
| Argyrops spinifer | 0.30 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Bothidae | 3.59 | 0.22 | 0.17 |
| Callionymidae | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Canthigaster sp. | 1.97 | 0.12 | 0.10 |
| Carangoides equala | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Coradion chrysozonus | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| Crabs | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dactyloptena sp. | 5.28 | 0.33 | 0.26 |
| Decapterus spp. | 1.17 | 0.07 | 0.06 |
| Diodon holocanthus | 13.75 | 0.86 | 0.79 |
| Dipterygonotus balteatus | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Echeneis naucrates | 1.04 | 0.06 | 0.05 |
| Eutherapon theraps | 1.19 | 0.07 | 0.11 |
| Fistularia petimba | 26.08 | 1.63 | 1.28 |
| Heterodontus sp. | 4.07 | 0.25 | 0.20 |
| Holocentrus sp. | 0.35 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Inimicus sinensis | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Lagocephalus spp. | 12.47 | 0.78 | 0.86 |
| Leiognathus spp. | 129.98 | 8.12 | 6.63 |
| Lepidotrigla sp. | 5.01 | 0.31 | 0.25 |
| Loligo duvauceli | 3.12 | 0.19 | 0.15 |
| Nemipterus marginatus | 0.65 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| Nemipterus nematophorus | 1.54 | 0.10 | 0.07 |
| Octopus sp. | 1.23 | 0.08 | 0.06 |
| Paramonacanthus spp. | 0.66 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Parascolpsis eriomma | 1.82 | 0.11 | 0.09 |
| Parupeneus cinnabarinus | 0.32 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Pentaprion Iongimanus | 146.45 | 9.15 | 7.13 |
| Platycephalus spp. | 1.15 | 0.07 | 0.06 |
| Pleuronectes spp. | 3.07 | 0.19 | 0.15 |
| Priacanthus macracanthus | 4.54 | 0.28 | 0.22 |
| Priacanthus tayenus | 29.31 | 1.83 | 1.43 |
| Pristotis jerdoni | 1.21 | 0.08 | 0.11 |
| Pterois sp. | 0.61 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| Pterocaesio chrysozona | 0.61 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| Rays | 0.55 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| Rhynchostracion nasus | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| Round sponges | 15.99 | 1.00 | 0.78 |
| Saurida micropectoralis | 82.11 | 5.13 | 4.18 |
| Saurida undosquamis | 46.35 | 2.90 | 2.27 |
| Saurida hoshinonis | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Saurida spp. | 36.40 | 2.27 | 1.77 |
| Scolopsis spp. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sea cucumber | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Sepia spp. | 4.91 | 0.31 | 0.24 |
| Shells | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Stolephorus sp. | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Scorpaena scabra | 4.39 | 0.27 | 0.21 |
| Starfish | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Tetrosomus sp. | 4.16 | 0.26 | 0.22 |
| Torquigener sp. | 2.29 | 0.14 | 0.11 |
| Triacanthus spp. | 0.76 | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| Upeneus sulphureus | 17.96 | 1.12 | 0.87 |
| Upeneus moluccensis | 49.44 | 3.09 | 2.42 |
| Upeneus spp. | 68.90 | 4.31 | 6.22 |
| Uranoscopus sp. | 0.80 | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| TOTAL (Trash) | 772.71 | 48.29 | 41.55 |
| TOTAL CATCH | 1876.73 | 117.30 | 100.00 |

Table 2 Average catch rate ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) and percentage composition from entire sampling stations (4th Cruise )

| COMMERCIAL FISH | Total | Mean | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selar crumenophthalmus | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Atule mate | 10.46 | 0.87 | 1.74 |
| Megalaspis cordyla | 0.91 | 0.08 | 0.15 |
| Decapterus spp. | 2.60 | 0.22 | 0.43 |
| Atropus atropus | 1.20 | 0.10 | 0.20 |
| Alepes kalla | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Alepes djedaba | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Alepes melanoptera | 0.52 | 0.04 | 0.09 |
| Alectis cilliaris | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Carangoides armatus | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C. hedlandensis | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C. malabaricus | 19.01 | 1.58 | 3.16 |
| c. talamparoides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Carangoides sp. | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Caranx sexfasciatus | 1.00 | 0.08 | 0.17 |
| Selar sp. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Seriolina nigrofasciata | 2.64 | 0.22 | 0.44 |
| Uraspis uraspis | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| R. djedeba | 2.60 | 0.22 | 0.43 |
| Selaroides leptolepis | 10.96 | 0.91 | 1.82 |
| Carangidae | 52.16 | 4.35 | 8.66 |
| Pellona sp. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Amblygaster sp. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Clupids | 0.32 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| Clupeidae | 0.32 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| Rastrelliger kanaguta | 4.80 | 0.40 | 0.80 |
| Scomber australascicus | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Scombridae | 4.80 | 0.40 | 0.80 |
| Sphyraena forsteri | 6.51 | 0.54 | 1.08 |
| Sphyraena langsar | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sphyraena jello | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sphyraenidae | 6.51 | 0.54 | 1.08 |
| Chirceentrus dorab | 0.40 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| Parastromateus niger | 0.40 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| Dussumieria sp. | 0.45 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| Pelagic fish | 65.04 | 5.42 | 10.80 |
| Abalistes stellaris | 24.25 | 2.02 | 4.03 |
| Argyrops spinifer | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Arius spp. | 10.70 | 0.89 | 1.78 |
| Ariomma indica | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Bothidae | 1.49 | 0.12 | 0.25 |
| Carcharhinus spp. | 1.40 | 0.12 | 0.23 |
| Dasyatis sp. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Drepane longimana | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Ephippus orbis | 4.40 | 0.37 | 0.73 |
| Epinephelus areolatus | 1.75 | 0.15 | 0.29 |
| Epinephelus coioides | 4.50 | 0.38 | 0.75 |
| Epinephelus diacanthus | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Epinephelus heniochus | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Epinephelus sexfasciatus | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Epinephelus spp. | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Serranidae | 6.51 | 0.54 | 1.08 |
| Gymnocranius griseus | 19.55 | 1.63 | 3.25 |
| Gymnura sp. | 1.70 | 0.14 | 0.28 |
| Lactarius lactarius | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Lutjanus malabaricus | 10.34 | 0.86 | 1.72 |
| Lutianus lineolatus | 0.90 | 0.08 | 0.15 |
| Lutianus utijanus | 1.70 | 0.14 | 0.28 |
| Lutianus sebae | 0.70 | 0.06 | 0.12 |
| Lutianus vitta | 1.04 | 0.09 | 0.17 |
| Pristipomoides multidens | 6.70 | 0.56 | 1.11 |
| Pristipomoides typus | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Pristipomoides spp | 0.35 | 0.03 | 0.06 |
| Pristipomoides pleurospilus | 7.70 | 0.64 | 1.28 |
| Lutjanidae | 29.43 | 2.45 | 4.89 |
| Parnpeneus cinnabarinus | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Parupeneus pleurospilus | 5.23 | 0.44 | 0.87 |
| Upeneus bensasi | 14.60 | 1.22 | 2.43 |
| Upeneus moluccensis | 22.62 | 1.89 | 3.76 |
| Mullidae | 42.45 | 3.54 | 7.05 |
| Muraenesox sp | 4.10 | 0.34 | 0.68 |
| Nemipterus bathybius | 25.40 | 2.12 | 4.22 |
| N. hexadon | 0.60 | 0.05 | 0.10 |
| N. isacanthus | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| N. japonicus | 7.50 | 0.63 | 1.25 |
| N. marginatus | 2.67 | 0.22 | 0.44 |
| N. mesoprion | 3.87 | 0.32 | 0.64 |
| N. nematophorus | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| N. nemurus | 22.62 | 1.89 | 3.76 |
| $N$. oveniides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| N. peronii | 7.80 | 0.65 | 1.30 |
| N. tolu | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| N. virgatus | 1.40 | 0.12 | 0.23 |
| Parascolopsis inermis | 0.95 | 0.08 | 0.16 |
| Pentapodus setosus | 3.70 | 0.31 | 0.61 |
| Nemipteridae | 76.71 | 6.39 | 12.74 |
| Platax sp. | 2.80 | 0.23 | 0.47 |
| Plectortynchus pictus | 18.80 | 1.57 | 3.12 |
| Pomadasys hasta | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| Pomadasys argenteus | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Psenopsis anomala | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Psettodes enumei | 2.70 | 0.23 | 0.45 |
| Priacanthus macracanthus | 8.65 | 0.72 | 1.44 |
| P. tayenus | 4.33 | 0.36 | 0.72 |
| Priacanthus sp. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Priacanthidae | 12.98 | 1.08 | 2.16 |
| Rhynchobatus djeddensis | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Saurida micropectoralis | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sciaenidae | 1.67 | 0.14 | 0.28 |
| Scolopsis taeniopterus | 6.10 | 0.51 | 1.01 |
| Sphyma mokaran | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |


| Demersal fish | 268.32 | 22.36 | 44.57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shells | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Trichiurus lepturus | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Loligo duvauceli | 15.24 | 1.27 | 2.53 |
| Loligo chinensis | 14.34 | 1.20 | 2.38 |
| Loligo sp | 34.14 | 2.85 | 5.67 |
| Sepioteuthis lessoniana | 1.06 | 0.09 | 0.18 |
| Sepia spp. | 2.94 | 0.25 | 0.49 |
| Cephalopods | 67.72 | 5.64 | 11.25 |
| Metapenaeus ensis | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Metapenaeopsis stridulans | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Parapenaeopsis sp. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Penaeus japonicus | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Solenocera subnuda | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Trachypenaeus fulvus | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| T. haumela | 0.87 | 0.07 | 0.14 |
| T. myops | 1.31 | 0.11 | 0.22 |
| Shrimps | 239 | 0.20 | 0.40 |
| Panulirus polyphagus | 0.49 | 0.04 | 0.08 |
| Thenus orientalis | 8.56 | 0.71 | 1.42 |
| Crabs | 0.75 | 0.06 | 0.12 |
| TOTAL (COMMERCIAL) | 413.27 | 34.44 | 68.65 |
| Trash fish |  |  |  |
| Aluterus monoceros | 0.53 | 0.04 | 0.09 |
| Alutera | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Anthiidae | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Apogon spp. | 1.76 | 0.15 | 0.29 |
| Argyrops spinifer | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Arothron sp. | 1.10 | 0.09 | 0.18 |
| Bothidae | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Callionymidae | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Canthigaster sp. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Carangoides equala | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Coradion chrysozonus | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Crabs | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dactyloptena sp. | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Decapterus spp. | 1.99 | 0.17 | 0.33 |
| Diodon holocanthus | 2.14 | 0.18 | 0.36 |
| Diodon spp. | 0.92 | 0.08 | 0.15 |
| Dipterygonotus batteatus | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Echeneis naucrates | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Eutherapon theraps | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fistulara petimba | 1.23 | 0.10 | 0.20 |
| Fistularia spp | 1.94 | 0.16 | 0.32 |
| Heterodontus sp. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Holocentrus sp. | 9.50 | 0.79 | 1.58 |
| Inimicus sinensis | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Labridae | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Lagocephalus spp. | 3.81 | 0.32 | 0.63 |
| Leiognathus spp. | 2.32 | 0.19 | 0.39 |
| Lepidotigiga sp. | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| Loligo duvauceli | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Lophodiodon | 0.38 | 0.03 | 0.06 |
| Nemipterus marginatus | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Nemipterus nematophorus | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Octopus sp. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Ostracion sp | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Paramonacanthus spp. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Parascolpsis eriomma | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Parapercids | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| Parupeneus cinnabarinus | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Pentaprion longimanus | 18.26 | 1.52 | 3.03 |
| Platycephalus spp. | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| Pleuronectes spp. | 3.76 | 0.31 | 0.62 |
| Priacanthus macracanthus | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Priacanthus tayenus | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Pristotis jerdoni | 14.40 | 1.20 | 2.39 |
| Pseudomonocanthus sp | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Pterois sp. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Pterocaesio chysozona | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Pterocaesis | 1.07 | 0.09 | 0.18 |
| Rays | 27.05 | 2.25 | 4.49 |
| Rhynchostracion | 0.90 | 0.08 | 0.15 |
| Rhynchostracion nasus | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Round sponges | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Saurida micropectoralis | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Saurida undosquamis | 15.12 | 1.26 | 2.51 |
| Saurida hoshinonis | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Saunida elongata | 5.55 | 0.46 | 0.92 |
| Saurida spp. | 14.60 | 1.22 | 2.43 |
| Saurida tumbil | 10.60 | 0.88 | 1.76 |
| Scolopsis spp. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sea cucumber | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sepia spp. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Shells | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Stolephorus sp. | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Scorpaena scabra | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Siganus oramin | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Sillago | 3.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 |
| Sillago sihama | 5.50 | 0.46 | 0.91 |
| Starish | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Synodous hoshinonis | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Tetrosomus sp. | 1.19 | 0.10 | 0.20 |
| Therapon theraps | 12.00 | 1.00 | 1.99 |
| Torquigener sp. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Triacanthus spp. | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Upeneus sulphureus | 25.00 | 2.08 | 4.15 |
| Upeneus moluccensis | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Upeneus spp. | 0.60 | 0.05 | 0.10 |
| Uranoscopus sp. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL (Trash) | 188.74 | 15.73 | 31.35 |
| TOTAL CATCH | 602.01 | 50.17 | 100.00 |

Table 3 Summary of the catch composition of the major fish group

| Fishery group | Total (kg) |  |  | Average catch (kg/hr) |  |  | Percentage (\%) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3rd cruise | 4th cruise |  | 3rd cruise | 4th cruise |  | 3rd cruise | 4th cruise |
| Demersal fish | 444.72 | 268.32 |  | 26.16 | 22.36 |  | 46.96 | 44.57 |
| Pelagic fish | 74.18 | 65.04 |  | 4.36 | 5.42 |  | 7.79 | 10.8 |
| Cephalopods | 29.32 | 67.72 |  | 1.72 | 5.64 |  | 3.11 | 11.25 |
| Shrimps | 0.49 | 2.39 |  | 0.03 | 0.2 |  | 0.05 | 0.40 |
| Crab | 0.54 | 0.75 |  | 0.04 | 0.06 |  | 0.06 | 0.12 |
| Shell fish | 0.60 | 0.00 |  | 0.04 | 0.00 |  | 0.06 | 0.00 |
| Trash fish | 395.81 | 188.74 |  | 23.28 | 15.73 |  | 41.55 | 31.35 |
| Others | 3.98 | 9.05 |  | 0.24 | 0.75 |  | 0.42 | 1.51 |
| Total catch | 949.63 | 602.01 | 55.86 | 50.16 | 100.00 | 100.00 |  |  |

longimanus, Leiognatus spp., Upeneus spp.and Saurida micropectoralis. During the $4^{\text {th }}$ cruise, trash fish registered an average catch rate of $15.7 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. Most trash fish were caught at station 31 ( 53.1 kg ) hr ) followed by station $7(41.1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$. The trash fish were dominated by Upeneus sulphureus, Pentaprion longimanus and Saurida spp.

Priacanthids, the most dominant among the fish family in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ cruise have an average catch rate of $7.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. The highest catch rate was at station $44,(100.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$ and was recorded only by Priacanthus macracanthus. Nemipterids attained an average catch rate of $6.1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. Nemipterus bathybius recorded the highest average catch rate of $1.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. Nemipterids were most abundant at station 33 with catch rate of $22.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. Carangidae, the third most dominant fish family registered an average catch rate of $2.8 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. In terms of individual species within the family, Decapterus spp. attained the highest average catch rate $(1.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$ and the others recorded an average catch rate of less than $1.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. Carangidae was most abundant at station 34 with catch rate of $8.7 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$.

During the $4^{\text {th }}$ cruise, the most dominant family i.e Nemipteridae have an average catch rate of $6.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. The highest catch rate was at station 27 with catch rate of $14.62 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. Carangidae attained an average catch rate of $4.3 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ and the highest catch rate was recorded at station $7(15.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$. Among the carangids, Atule mate registered the highest catch rate $(9.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$ at station 6 .

Table 4 Length-weight relationship of selected species

| Species | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{r}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selar crumenophthalmus | 123 | 0.0057 | 3.4063 | 0.92 |
| Decapterus kurroides | 56 | 0.0067 | 3.1937 | 0.88 |
| Decapterus ruselli | 204 | 0.0053 | 3.2989 | 0.91 |
| Decapterus macrosoma | 85 | 0.0076 | 3.1082 | 0.86 |
| C. malabaricus | 144 | 0.0104 | 3.2704 | 0.96 |
| Carangoides equala | 54 | 0.0323 | 2.8953 | 0.92 |
| Dussumieria spp. | 198 | 0.0095 | 3.0511 | 0.67 |
| Ariomma indica | 53 | 0.0244 | 3.0545 | 0.63 |
| Nemipterus bathybius | 250 | 0.0172 | 3.0350 | 0.98 |
| Nemipterus marginatus | 96 | 0.0152 | 3.1071 | 0.98 |
| Nemipterus mesoprion | 143 | 0.0139 | 3.1161 | 0.99 |
| Nemipterus nematophorus | 186 | 0.0194 | 2.9862 | 0.98 |
| Nemipterus nemurus | 79 | 0.0188 | 2.9585 | 0.90 |
| Nemipterus virgatus | 55 | 0.0212 | 2.9414 | 0.98 |
| Priacanthus macracanthus | 151 | 0.0142 | 2.9997 | 0.98 |

The $b$ values obtained ranged from 2.89 to 3.40 .


Fig. 3 Catch rate of commercial fish of individual station during 3rd cruise (9th - 3rd August 1996)


Fig. 4 Catch rate of commercial fish of individual station during 4th cruise (30th April - 30th May 1997)

## Length-Weight Relationship

The length-weight relationship of the selected species are as shown in Table 4 below:

## Discussions

The overall average catch rate from individual stations during $3^{\text {rd }}$ cruise $(55.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr})$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ cruise ( $50.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) is very low compared to $318 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ and $210 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ obtained from previous surveys within the same areas in 1972 (Mohammed Shaari et al, 1976a) and 1973 (Mohammed Shaari et al, 1976b) respectively. However, in the present survey, the number of hauls is very small in number (i.e. 17 and 12 hauls for $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ cruise respectively) as compared to 118 hauls during the previous survey (Mohammed Shaari et al, 1976b).

The other factor that might contributed to the low overall average catch rate of commercial fish after 17 and 12 hours trawling respectively is the openning of the trawl net. It could be that the net mouth did not open properly.

For an ideal fish which maintains the same shape, $b=3$, and this has occasionally been observed (Allen, 1938). In the present study, it seemed that the cube law is being obeyed as most of the $b$ values lies close to 3 . In general, the fish are also normally distributed. This was based on the findings by Carlender (1969) that fish with $b$ values equal to 3 are more representative of the population. It was found that the $b$ values for nemipterids varies excepts for 3 species ( $N$. nematophorus, $N$. nemurus and $N$. virgatus). This suggests that the nemipterids have different growth rate. The lower values of $b$ can be considered to be the result of biological features of the species.
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