# Distribution and Composition of Photosynthetic Pigments in the South China Sea, Area II: Sabah, Sarawak and Brunei Darussalam Waters

#### **Anond Snidvongs**

## ABSTRACT

Depth integrated biomass of phytoplankton in the southern part of the South China Sea off Sarawak, Brunei Darussalam and Sabah in July 1996 and May 1997 was represented by in situ fluorescence. The total biomass in the study area was not much different between the 2 surveys. However, the spatial distribution of biomass clearly showed a unique pattern for each cruise. In overall, the local biomass per unit area did not correlate strongly with surface mixed layer thickness and sea surface temperature, two indicators of upwelling used in this study. Yet, anomalously high biomass of phytoplankton found in the vicinity of Stations 37 and 38 for both survey periods could be partially related by upwelling nearby.

#### Introduction

Photosynthetic pigments, especially chlorophylls, has been widely used as an important indicator of biomass of phytoplankton in the ocean and freshwater bodies. The main reason is that chemical approaches which are less subjected to human errors and bias than most other biological or physical approaches can determine these pigments quite conveniently.

The technique of using submersible fluorometer to measure profile of photosynthetic pigment in the water column was first introduced in this region by SEAFDEC in 1995 (Snidvongs 1998). Some advantages of this technique are ability of detect fine scale variability with depth which is due to the swarming of plankton, and ability of show real time data while measuring. Also since 1995, subsurface chlorophyll maxima were clearly verified at about 50-100 m in most Southeast Asian Waters deeper than ca. 50 m. For most offshore water in the region, despite the very low surface concentration of pigment comparable to that in oligotrophic open ocean, the concentration of pigment at the subsurface pigment maxima could be up to 10 times the surface concentration.

In this paper, dynamic of phytoplankton biomass in the South China Sea Area 2, Sabah, Sarawak and Brunei Darussalam, between 2 periods, July 1996 (Cruise 34) and May 1997 (Cruise 41), will be analyzed in relation to major physical characteristics of the region, e.g. vertical migration of thermocline and sea surface temperature, which are correlated to upwelling and downwelling processes.

#### Methods

The relative concentration of photosynthetic pigment was measured by a Sea Tech submersible fluorometer. The data was averaged at every 1 dbar interval. The fluorescence biomass above instrument baseline (taken at 0.5 and 0.4 volts for Cruises 34 and 41, respectively) was integrated from sea surface to 200 m, or to the bottom if depth was less than 200 m. This depth integrated fluorescence eliminates the problem due to vertical migration of plankton with time of the day, and therefore data from different time or sun phase are comparable.

To calibrate fluorescence data into pigment mass unit, water samples from 3-5 selected depths at each station were collected. Five to seven liter of sample was vacuum filtered through Whatman GF/F membranes on board in shaded environment. Pigment was extracted by acetone in dark glass or polypropylene centrifuge tubes in a freezer. After grinding and centrifuge, the supernatant in a 50 mm path-length cell was scanned by a spectrophotometer from 400 to 750 nm. Blanks were also

centration using SCOR/Unesco (1966) equations (Strickland and Parsons, 1972). Concentration of chlorophyll a, b and c (in mg m-3) was linearly regressed against observed upcast fluorescence at each sampling depth. A correction factor was introduced to force the pigment concentration at the baseline, i.e. sub-photic zone, to be zero.

#### Results

Only chlorophyll a was found to be in significant concentrations in water samples collected (Table 1). The concentration was also well correlated linearly with in situ fluorescence (r2 = 0.93; Figure 1). The concentrations of the other two chlorophylls were low and poorly correlated with the in situ fluorescence and thus prohibited further analysis of those data.

Phytoplankton biomass per area in the study area as inferred from in situ fluorescence was especially high in the vicinity of St. 37 and 38 (Table 2), for both cruises. However, the plume of phytoplankton seemed to be from the northwest during Cruise 34 (Figure 2) and from the west during Cruise 41 (Figure 3). Phytoplankton biomass was concentrated more on the north side of the survey area during Cruise and more to the west during Cruise 41. However, despite this obvious difference in the spatial distribution of phytoplankton, the total biomass in the study area for both cruises was not much different from each other. The total biomass as chlorophyll a calculated using equation in Figure 1 would be 2,070 and 1,870 tons for the total survey area of 243,000 km2 for Cruises 34 and 41, respectively. The difference was less than 10%, which was surprisingly small.

## **Discussion and Conclusion**

The hypothesis that biomass of phytoplankton in the survey area would be induced by upwelling had been tested using the mixed layer depth as indicated by the Brunt-Vaisala Stability Frequency. Generally, the surface mixed layer thickness during Cruise 34 was an average of 41 m while during Cruise 41, the thickness was only 28 m. The difference of 13 m indicated more intense upward movement of the pycnocline in May 1997 than in July 1996, especially at Stations 13, 20, 21, 25 and 26 where the surface mixed layer was less than 20 m (Figure 3).

Sea surface temperature taken from 5 m below surface was also used as another indicator of upwelling. However, unlike surface mixed layer depth, sea surface temperature was lowest at Stations 74 and 78 during Cruise 41. Sea surface temperature was much more evenly distributed in Cruise 34 than in Cruise 41.

Despite the upward migration of pycnocline, an indication of upwelling, neither the total biomass of chlorophyll in the area nor the horizontal distribution of pigment show any clear responses to this physical oceanographic feature (Figure 5). Yet this still does not completely rule out a linkage between the two, since time lagging between nutrient input and massive plankton proliferation could take a week or so, and that could be easily missed by the 2 survey, about a year apart. This is suggested by a weak correlation between elevated chlorophyll biomass at Stations 26, 37 and 38 in Cruise 41 while was near to the suspected upwelling area, even though they did not perfectly coincided geographically.

ST	Depth	FLUR	Chlorophyll a	Chlorophyll b	Chlorophyll c		
	(m)	(V)	$(mg m^{-3})$	(mg m <sup>-3)</sup>	(mg m <sup>-3</sup> )		
Cruise	Cruise 41						
4	20	0.803	0.037	0.033	0.196		
4	60	3.219	0.543	0.239	0.769		
8	30	2.001	0.248	0.087	0.346		
8	40	2.148	0.251	-0.046	0.402		
12	60	3.896	0.204	-0.247	-0.697		
13	50	0.746	0.014	0.069	0.297		
13	90	1.427	0.12	0.13	0.434		
14	30	0.675	0.064	0.091	0.467		
15	10	0.623	-0.002	0.014	0.258		
16	30	1.173	0.114	0.061	0.209		
16	40	4.677	0.766	0.122	0.501		
16	50	4.279	0.697	0.14	0.539		
15	30	2.548	0.242	0.014	0.202		
15	50	3.405	0.493	0.092	0.134		
17	18	2.118	0.321	0.057	0.42		
17	25	2.453	0.338	0.066	0.55		
21	50	1.303	0.185	0.14	0.571		
22	71	1.986	0.195	0.103	0.596		
28	20	0.526	-0.018	-0.004	0.049		
28	65	2.845	0.404	0.074	0.139		
33	10	0.587	-0.054	-0.047	-0.097		
34	60	3.452	0.508	0.13	0.3		
35	80	2.242	0.249	0.063	0.16		
36	80	1.056	0.156	0.232	0.585		
42	70	2.612	0.336	0.103	0.208		
43	90	1.302	0.081	0.077	0.085		
44	60	2.424	0.347	0.066	0.026		
45	20	0.561	0.088	0.044	0.062		
45	50	2.093	0.424	0.319	0.652		
46	10	1.274	0.185	-0.017	-0.09		
46	19	4.433	0.81	0.061	0.569		
47	20	1.041	0.116	-0.007	-0.019		
48	40	1.821	0.162	-0.009	-0.087		
49	90	1.206	0.062	0.043	0.012		
51	30	0.613	0.035	-0.02	-0.003		
60	40	1.013	0.204	0.069	0.109		
76	20	0.519	0.027	-0.003	0.026		
77)	70	1.084	0.145	0.032	0.049		

# Table 1 Corrected chlorophyll a concentration in water samples

.

Table 2.	Fluorescence peak height (V	<li>') and deptl</li>	1 from	sea surface	at time	of casting,	and	depth
	intergrated fluorescence							-

Cruise 34				Cruise 41			
St.	Fluorescence Max (V)	Fluorescence Max Depth (m)	Depth Integrated Fiuorescence	St	Fluorescenc e Max (V)	Fluorescenc e Max Depth (m)	Depth Integrated Fluorescenc
1	1.923	36.3	11.92	1			<u> </u>
2	4.326	53.2	22.52	2	•		
3	4.834	66.1	81.53	3	5.261	34.3	143.176
4	3.685	61.2	37.17	4	4.098	61.2	80.706
5	3.942	54.2	89.59	5	2.748	47.2	74.28
6	3.572	40.3	19.43	6	3.842	33.3	59.192
/	3.319	20.4	48.95	/	3.216	25.4	55.181
0	3.05	50.5 63.1	45.28	0 0	2.002	40.3	23.230
10	2 818	76.1	49.20	9 10	3 148	70.1	84 299
11	2.662	67.1	63.84	11	2,956	63.1	84 903
12	2.511	78.1	77.99	12	4.58	60.2	135.712
13	2.656	73.1	73.16	13	2.534	81	74.679
14	2.93	70.1	64.76	14	2.433	63.1	72.773
15	4.948	54.2	68.88	15	3.913	45.2	93.785
16	3.807	40.3	99.1	16	4.876	51.2	101.443
17	2.365	26.4	22.24	17	2.277	26.4	19.794
18	5.141	44.3	41.85	18	2.856	46.2	24.477
19	4.038	56.2	69.86	. 19	2.815	67.1	87.547
20	3.095	08.1 76.1	81.73	20	2.409	71.1	00.424
21	2.911	70.1	91.7	21	2.397	04.1	66,604
22	3.016	58.2	90.9	22	2 212	70.1	75.089
24	2 718	56.2	105.36	20	3 583	73.1	107 355
25	2.192	66.1	56.39	25	2.01	63.1	60.285
26	2.972	69.1	84.67	26	2.585	60.2	115.737
27	4.527	63.1	95.26	27	2.978	67.1	76.184
28	3.521	67.1	71.53	28	4.399	65.1	80.121
29	3.823	52.2	43.23	29	2.945	54.2	26.19
30	2.555	29.3	22.4	30	1.912	33.3	13.248
31	4.607	18.4	18.53	31	2.95	19.4	13.347
32	2.88	31.3	16.32	32	2.204	31.3	16.726
33	3.747	46.2	34.29	33	2.494	50.2	17.246
34	5.614	63.1	54.85	34	2.602	/1.1	49.722
30	3.076	70.1	60.95	30	2.14	79	63 241
30	2.02	70 1	113 55	37	2 154	68.1	101 741
38	3 728	66 1	140 14	38	3 148	74 1	140 798
39	3,103	51.2	106.59	39	2.429	82	77.189
40	2.744	63.1	97.95	40	2.139	85	69,546
41	2.664	67.1	89.99	41	2.421	67.1	92.186
42	3.787	68.1	87.55	42	2.86	70.1	98.637
43	3.018	67.1	65.82	43	2.278	61.2	87.654
44	2.926	63.1	57.16	44	2.165	75.1	57.67
45	4.675	58.2	40.97	45	1.949	64.1	39.928
46	1.353	18.4	4.77	46	3.612	19.4	17.944
47	3.812	28.3	15.91	47	2.057	20.4	13.422
40	2.002	57.2 70.1	44.9	40	2.070	73.1	65.49
50	4 561	65.1	111 55	50	2.021	65.1	69 181
51	2 051	68.1	54 15	51	1 933	70.1	71 897
52	3.05	74.1	83.45	52	2.466	58.2	85.581
53	3.074	79	92.47	53	2.554	63.1	82.115
54	2.62	74.1	95.75	54	2.051	52.2	70.654
55	3.051	65.1	104.9	55	1.885	70.1	57.948
56	3.731	78	105.72	56	2.257	56.2	77.982
57	3.365	75.1	87.92	57	1.791	54.2	84.283
58	2.811	86	87.05	58	1.925	70.1	87.369
59	2.172	59.2	/8./	59	1.367	50.2	46.09
60	2.613	53.2	88.97	60	1.447	52.2	70 442
62	2.420	71.1	100.34	62	1.433	66 1	70.442 57.235
63	2 363	73 1	73.63	63	1.854	55.2	50 772
64	2 496	82.1	81.54	64	1.86	56.2	53 421
65	3,297	72.1	106.45	65	2,195	66.1	58.629
66	3.191	84.1	102.61	66	2.047	62.1	53.229
67	2.793	83.1	82.68	67	1.435	62.1	37.653
68	2.785	83.1	77.98	68	1.184	73.1	40.166
69	3.841	65.2	73.04	69	1.444	41.3	52.767
70	3.609	70.1	85.63	70	0.857	51.2	35.842
71	3.451	68.2	96.04	71	0.985	55.2	33.734
72	3.382	72.1	93.99	72	1.476	53.2	48.569
73	2.489	76.1	68.98	73	1.442	69.1	47.775
74	2.854	76.1	104.63	74	1.235	47.2	40.046
75	3.821	65.2	101.33	75	1.486	50.2	54.243
76	3.605	64.2	66.66	76	2.011	62.1	50.627
17	2.84	61.2 73.4	05./2 70.95	// 70	1.293	04.1	31.035
79	2.047	38.3	22.13	79	2.283	50.2	30.905



Fig. 1 Concentration of chlorophyll a, b and c in water samples collected during the Cruise 41 (top, Center, and bottom panels)



Fig. 2 Depth integrated fluorescense biomass (V)



Fig. 3 Surface mixed layer depth (m) for Cruise 34 and 41



Fig. 4 Sea surface (5m) temperature for the Cruise 34 and 41



Fig. 5 Relationships between integrated fluorescense biomass with surface mixed layer depth (upper panel) and with sea surface temperature (lower panel)